

<b>Integrated Safeguards Data Sheet (ISDS)</b>	
<b>Section I – Basic Information</b>	
Date ISDS Prepared/Updated: January 26, 2005	Report No.:43469
<b>A. Basic Project Data</b>	
<b>A.1. Project Statistics</b>	
Country: Burkina Faso	Project ID: P078596
Project: Capacity Building Project	TTL: Helene Grandvoinet
Total project cost (by component):	
Negotiations Date: January 31, 2005	Loan/Credit amount(\$m): IDA: 7.0
Board Date: March 22, 2005	
Other financing amounts by source:	(\$m.)
Managing Unit: AFTPR	Sector: General public administration sector (100%)
Lending Instruments: Technical Assistance Loan	
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency recovery? Yes? [ ] No? [x])	
Environmental Category:	C
<b>A.2. Project Objectives</b>	
<p>The development goal of the project is to improve administration structures and processes in light of the decentralization policy, in order to yield measurable impact on provision of services to the citizens of Burkina. This would be achieved by establishing a reform process that will improve human and financial management systems and entrench a culture of capacity building in the administration. Activities financed under the Grant will focus on cross-cutting issues (decentralization, human resources management, public expenditure management, policy cycle) and on ownership and sustainability of the activities (reliance on local training institutes, linkages between capacity building activities financed by external financing and national budget through coordination with PRSCs and organization of budget requests from ministries including institutional development component).</p>	
<b>A.3. Project Description</b>	
<p>The instrument is a five-year technical assistance project.</p> <p>The project supports the implementation of the reform program in five ministries: the four cross-cutting central ministries (Finances and Budget, Economy and Development, Civil Service, and Territorial Administration and Decentralization); and the Ministry of Health. The Ministry of Health is targeted since it has undertaken substantial institutional reforms and has a key role in the attainment of the objectives of the PRSP<sup>1</sup>. Each of the five ministries has adopted crucial reform measures or sector programs, but implementation remains a challenge. This is the case for the Ministry of Finance and Budget and the Ministry of Economy and Development - Budget</p>	

<sup>1</sup> The Ministry of Education is supported by a program which includes a substantial institutional component, and will thus not be assisted through this project.

<p>Management Strengthening Action Plan (PRGB) -, for the Ministry of Civil Service and State Reform (MFPRE) - Comprehensive Reform of Public Administration (RGAP) - , for the Ministry of Territorial Administration and Decentralization - Framework Laws on Decentralization (TODs), and for the Health Ministry (MH) - National Program of Health Development (PNDS)- . These five ministries requested the support of IDA and they were assisted in the design of an institutional action plan during the preparation of the project. The goal of the project is thus to focus on the implementation aspects of these reforms and programs. Implementation of institutional plans of the ministries will be coordinated with support to the two main local public sector training institutes, the Public Administration School (ENAM) and the Financial Administration School (ENAREF). The project will also support the Prime Minister's office to strengthen its key role of inter-ministerial coordination, starting with an analysis of its current capacity to fulfill its mission.</p>		
<p>A.4. Project Location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis:</p> <p>Countrywide. Project is not likely to have any environmental impact on natural habitats or any other areas cited above.</p>		
<p><b>B. Check Environmental Category</b> A <input type="checkbox"/>, B <input type="checkbox"/>, C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>, FI <input type="checkbox"/></p>		
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>		
<p><b>C. Safeguard Policies Triggered</b></p>		
	Yes	No
<a href="#">Environmental Assessment</a> (OP/BP/GP 4.01)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<a href="#">Natural Habitats</a> (OP/BP 4.04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<a href="#">Pest Management</a> (OP 4.09)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<a href="#">Cultural Property</a> (draft OP 4.11 - <a href="#">OPN 11.03-</a> )	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<a href="#">Involuntary Resettlement</a> (OP/BP 4.12)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<a href="#">Indigenous Peoples</a> (OD 4.20)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<a href="#">Forests</a> (OP/BP 4.36)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<a href="#">Safety of Dams</a> (OP/BP 4.37)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<a href="#">Projects in Disputed Areas</a> (OP/BP/GP 7.60)*	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<a href="#">Projects on International Waterways</a> (OP/BP/GP 7.50)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

\* By supporting the proposed project, the Bank does not intend to prejudice the final determination of the parties' claims on the disputed areas

<b>Section II – Key Safeguard Issues and Their Management</b>
<b><i>D. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues.</i></b>
D.1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts.
Not applicable
D.2 Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area.
Not applicable
D.3. Describe the treatment of alternatives (if relevant)
Not applicable
D.4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.
Not applicable
D.5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.
Not applicable

<b><i>F. Disclosure Requirements Date</i></b>		
<i>Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	.../.../...	or Not Applicable (Not applicable)
Date of “in-country” disclosure	.../.../...	or Not Applicable (Not applicable)
Date of submission to InfoShop	.../.../...	or Not Applicable (Not applicable)
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	.../.../...	or Not Applicable (Not applicable)
<i>Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
<i>Indigenous Peoples Development Plan/Framework:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
<i>Pest Management Plan:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
<i>Dam Safety Management Plan:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why.		
<b>Section III – Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level (To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision meeting)</b>		
<b><i>OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:</i></b>		<u>Yes</u> <u>No</u>
Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?		No
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?		
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?		N/A
<b><i>OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:</i></b>		<u>Yes</u> <u>No</u>
Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?		No
If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of other (non-critical) natural habitats, does the project include mitigation measures acceptable to the Bank?		N/A

<b><i>OP 4.09 - Pest Management:</i></b>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Does the EA adequately address the pest management issues?		N/A
Is a separate PMP required?		No
If yes, are PMP requirements included in project design?		N/A
<b><i>Draft OP 4.11 (OPN 11.03) - Cultural Property:</i></b>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Does the EA include adequate measures?		N/A
Does the credit/loan incorporate mechanisms to mitigate the potential adverse impacts on physical cultural resources?		N/a
<b><i>OD 4.20 - Indigenous Peoples:</i></b>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has a separate indigenous people development plan been prepared in consultation with the Indigenous People?		N/A
If yes, then did the Regional Social Development Unit review and approve the plan?		N/A
If the whole project is designed to benefit IP, has the design been reviewed and approved by the Regional Social Development Unit?		N/A
<b><i>OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement:</i></b>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has a resettlement action plan, policy framework or policy process been prepared?		No
If yes, then did the Regional Social Development Unit review and approve the plan / policy framework / policy process?		N/A
<b><i>OP/BP 4.36 – Forests:</i></b>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has the sector-wide analysis of policy and institutional issues and constraints been carried out?		No
Does the project design include satisfactory measures to overcome these constraints?		N/A
Does the project finance commercial harvesting, and if so, does it include provisions for certification system?		N/A
<b><i>OP/BP 4.37 - Safety of Dams:</i></b>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have dam safety plans been prepared?		No
Have the TORs as well as composition for the independent Panel of Experts (POE) been reviewed and approved by the Bank?		N/A
Has an Emergency Preparedness Plan (EPP) been prepared and arrangements been made for public awareness and training?		N/A
<b><i>OP 7.50 - Projects on International Waterways:</i></b>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have the other riparians been notified of the project?		N/A
If the project falls under one of the exceptions to the notification requirement, then has this been cleared with the Legal Department, and the memo to the RVP prepared and sent?		N/A
What are the reasons for the exception?		N/A
Please explain:		N/A
Has the RVP approved such an exception?		N/A
<b><i>OP 7.60 - Projects in Disputed Areas:</i></b>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has the memo conveying all pertinent information on the international aspects of the project, including the procedures to be followed, and the recommendations for dealing with the issue, been prepared, cleared with the Legal Department and sent to the RVP?		N/A

Does the PAD/MOP include the standard disclaimer referred to in the OP?		N/A
<b><i>BP 17.50 - Public Disclosure:</i></b>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?		N/A
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?		N/A
<b><i>All Safeguard Policies:</i></b>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of the safeguard measures?		N/A
Have safeguard measures costs been included in project cost?		N/A
Will the safeguard measures costs be funded as part of project implementation?		N/A
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures?		N/A
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?		N/A
<i>Signed and submitted by:</i>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>
Task Team Leader:	Helene Grandvoinet, AFTPR	
Project Safeguards Specialist 1:	Kristine Ivarsdotter, AFTS1	
Project Safeguards Specialist 2:		
Project Safeguards Specialist 3:		
<i>Approved by:</i>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>
Regional Safeguards Coordinator:	Thomas Walton, AFTSD	
Comments:		
Sector Manager:	Helga Muller, AFTPR	
Comments:		