

**INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET
ADDITIONAL FINANCING**

Report No.: ISDSA1142

Date ISDS Prepared/Updated: 08-Dec-2014

Date ISDS Approved/Disclosed: 26-Mar-2015

I. BASIC INFORMATION

1. Basic Project Data

Country:	South Asia	Project ID:	P152586
		Parent Project ID:	P126425
Project Name:	Governance Support Project for KP FATA and Balochistan (P152586)		
Parent Project Name:	KP/FATA Governance Reforms (P126425)		
Task Team Leader(s):	Sher Shah Khan		
Estimated Appraisal Date:	14-Nov-2014	Estimated Board Date:	30-Dec-2014
Managing Unit:	GGODR	Lending Instrument:	Investment Project Financing
Sector(s):	Sub-national government administration (100%)		
Theme(s):	Other accountability/anti-corruption (25%), Public expenditure, financial management and procurement (25%), e-Government (20%), Other public sector governance (20%), Judicial and other dispute resolution mechanisms (10%)		
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?			Yes
Financing (In USD Million)			
Total Project Cost:	4.00	Total Bank Financing:	0.00
Financing Gap:	0.00		
Financing Source			Amount
Borrower			0.00
Trust Funds			4.00
Total			4.00
Environmental Category:	C - Not Required		
Is this a Repeater project?	No		

2. Project Development Objective(s)

A. Original Project Development Objectives – Parent

The project development objective (PDO) is to improve the delivery efficiency of the PCNA program through institutional strengthening and support of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and FATA, while responding to priority needs of the PCNA governance program.

B. Current Project Development Objectives – Parent

To strengthen the capacity of government departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP), FATA and Balochistan to help support efficient delivery of the PCNA program in KP and FATA and related development programs in Balochistan.

C. Proposed Project Development Objectives – Additional Financing (AF)

3. Project Description

The proposed Second Additional Financing (AF – 2) of US\$ 4.0 million aims to enhance the Governance Support Project's impact and development effectiveness by scaling up Project activities. The proposed additional grant comprises of an allocation of US\$ 3.2 million to Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA), US\$ 0.8 million to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP).

Project Summary Overview:

The proposed AF-2 makes no change to the GSP Project Development Objective (PDO), Project structure, and closing date. All proposed additional activities would be covered under the existing PDO and implemented under the existing components. Similarly, it is proposed to maintain the existing Project closing date of June 30, 2015. In turn, the proposed AF-2 suggests the following revisions:

- (i) A scaling up of project activities under the two existing components.
- (ii) A thorough revision of the Project Development Objective (PDO) indicators and intermediate indicators to better reflect the outcomes of the Project.
- (iii) A revised GSP financing plan taking into account the additional funds.

AF-2 proposes additional support for capacity development of line departments and ISUs to sustain priority activities under Component 1. Component 1 of the original GSP focused on developing adequate capacity of the KP and FATA ISUs and line departments. The AF-1 subsequently modified this component to include support for the Balochistan ISU and line departments. The AF-1 restructuring also provided funds to facilitate project preparation in KP and FATA. Further ISU capacity-building is needed for better harmonization, to prioritize a robust pipeline of projects, and progressively infuse capacity in line departments through Project Implementation Units (PIUs). For this to happen, PIUs are expected to report on their needs to ISUs, thus empowering ISUs to decide on the support they need and build their legitimacy through different institutional mechanisms. These mechanisms would ensure delivery of services and provision of resources, to line departments and PIUs. Moreover, increased ISU capacity should facilitate other MDTF project performance by reducing the burden of cross cutting functions, and enabling the project resources to focus on technical and fit to purpose solutions. This capacity is also expected to help prepare the ISUs for such a role, in the event of an MDTF Round II.

Component 2 will continue rapid response to deepen implementation of existing governance reforms and to support government-led new priorities within the PCNA framework. Component 2 of the original GSP focused on providing support to implement critical governance interventions as

envisaged under the PCNA. This includes extensive technical handholding that is required due to low capacity in these entities. These activities aim to contribute to the PCNA Strategic Objective I (SO 1) – to improve the effectiveness and responsiveness of the state for restoring citizen trust. To this end, the RRF also comprises of citizen-centric activities focused on service delivery. In this regard, activities such as, among others, the pre- and post-budget citizens consultations; Right to Public Services Commission; RTI Commission; Citizens Report Card in KP; e-Citizen Grievance Redressal Mechanism at the Peshawar High Court; FATA Anti-Corruption Hotline; and development of linkages between the public sector and academia (especially focusing on the involvement of youth and faculty members from the covered areas – for instance, FATA Economic and Social Indicators Assessment and KP CRC) could be provided further support. The continued support could sustain the gains made and add development effectiveness value.

The proposed AF-2 would increase the total amount of the GSP Project from US\$ 8.75 million to US \$ 12.75 million. The additional grant funding of US\$ 4 million is proposed to be allocated as follows: US\$ 0.8 million to KP and 3.2 million to FATA. Following the KP and FATA government’s requests, the MDTF Steering Committee endorsed these grant allocations. Consequently, GoP requested the Bank for AF-2 on August 29, 2014 (for KP), and August 26, 2013 (for FATA). As a result of the proposed AF-2, the total allocation to Component I would be increased by US\$ 1.6 million (from US\$ 4.58 million to US\$ 6.18 million) for capacity support including provision of TA, further institution building of line departments and the ISUs in KP and FATA. Component II would be increased by US\$ 2.40 million (from US\$ 4.17 to US\$ 6.57 million) to deepen existing governance reforms as well as support new government-led priority needs.

4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)

The areas of Federally Administered Tribal Areas of Pakistan (FATA), and the provinces of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Balochistan.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

Chaohua Zhang (GSURR)

Javaid Afzal (GENDR)

6. Safeguard Policies	Triggered?	Explanation (Optional)
Environmental Assessment OP/BP 4.01	No	
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	
Forests OP/BP 4.36	No	
Pest Management OP 4.09	No	
Physical Cultural Resources OP/BP 4.11	No	
Indigenous Peoples OP/ BP 4.10	No	
Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	No	

Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	
Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	No	
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:
The GSP is already rated a Category C Project in terms of Safeguard Policies.
2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:
The GSP is already rated a Category C Project in terms of Safeguard Policies.
3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.
The GSP is already rated a Category C Project in terms of Safeguard Policies.
4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.
The GSP is already rated a Category C Project in terms of Safeguard Policies. However during implementation of the second AF, Implementing Agencies are committed to follow Environmental and Social Screening and Assessment Framework (ESSAF) developed for MDTF to address any unforeseen environment and social impacts.
5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.
The GSP is already rated a Category C Project in terms of Safeguard Policies.

B. Disclosure Requirements

If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level

The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information		
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]
All Safeguard Policies		

Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]

III. APPROVALS

Task Team Leader(s):	Name: Sher Shah Khan	
<i>Approved By</i>		
Regional Safeguards Advisor:	Name: Francis V. Fragano (RSA)	Date: 02-Mar-2015
Practice Manager/Manager:	Name: Alexandre Arrobbio (PMGR)	Date: 26-Mar-2015