

**INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET  
APPRAISAL STAGE**

**Report No.: ISDSA1179**

**Date ISDS Prepared/Updated:** 13-Feb-2015

**Date ISDS Approved/Disclosed:** 13-Feb-2015

**I. BASIC INFORMATION**

**1. Basic Project Data**

<b>Country:</b>	Burundi	<b>Project ID:</b>	P149176
<b>Project Name:</b>	Strengthening Institutional Capacity for Government Effectiveness Project (P149176)		
<b>Task Team Leader(s):</b>	Marco Larizza		
<b>Estimated Appraisal Date:</b>	09-Feb-2015	<b>Estimated Board Date:</b>	22-Apr-2015
<b>Managing Unit:</b>	GGODR	<b>Lending Instrument:</b>	Investment Project Financing
<b>Sector(s):</b>	Central government administration (70%), Public administration- Information and communications (10%), Public administration- Other social services (10%), Public administration- Energy and mining (10%)		
<b>Theme(s):</b>	Tax policy and administration (40%), Economic statistics, modeling and forecasting (20%), Macroeconomic management (20%), Public exp enditure, financial management and procurement (20%)		
<b>Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?</b>			No
<b>Financing (In USD Million)</b>			
Total Project Cost:	26.00	Total Bank Financing:	20.00
Financing Gap:	0.00		
<b>Financing Source</b>			<b>Amount</b>
BORROWER/RECIPIENT			0.00
IDA Grant			20.00
BELGIUM, Govt. of (Except for MOFA - DGIC)			6.00
Total			26.00
<b>Environmental Category:</b>	C - Not Required		
<b>Is this a Repeater project?</b>	No		

**2. Project Development Objective(s)**

The Project Development Objective (PDO) is to: Improve fiscal management by strengthening Government institutional capacity to produce timely statistics for policy making, mobilize domestic revenue, improve public expenditure controls and improve mining regulatory framework.

### 3. Project Description

The proposed operation covers 4 key areas deemed critical to achieve its development objectives, and its design has been informed by solid analytical work. The project is organized in 4 components, namely: (i) Modernizing Revenue Policy and Administration; (ii) Strengthening Public Expenditure Management and control; (iii) Improving ISTEERU Institutional Capacity; and (iv) Improving Mining Sector Governance

Component 1: Modernizing Revenue Policy and Administration (11 Million US\$)

- Sub-component 1.1: Strengthening Tax Policy Functions at the MFPDE (0.7 million US\$)
- Sub-component 1.2: Support to Macroeconomic Modelling (0.6 million US\$)
- Sub-component 1.3: Modernization of tax administration via an Integrated Tax Administration System and Risk-Based Audit Management System (8.7 million US\$)
- Sub-component 1.4: Develop Effective Collection of Mining Revenues (1 million US\$)

Component 2: Strengthening Public Expenditure Management and Control (including project coordination - 9 million US\$)

- Sub-component 2.1: Strengthening Capacity to Plan, Coordinate, and Build Consensus on the Implementation of the Government's Public Finance Reforms (5.5 million US\$)
- Sub-component 2.2: Enhanced Functionality and Use of the New Integrated Public Financial Management Systems (1 million US\$)
- Sub-component 2.3: Establishing the Building Blocks for the Implementation of Program-Budget (1 million US\$)
- Sub-component 2.4: Strengthening External Audit and Control Functions (1.5 million US\$)

Component 3: Improving ISTEERU's Institutional Performance (3 million US\$)

- Sub-component 3.1: Promote a result-based management system to strengthen ISTEERU performance (0.5 million US\$)
- Sub-component 3.2: Strengthen ISTEERU Human Resource Management System (0.6 million US\$)
- Sub-component 3.3: Improve the quality of statistics and support production of timely data (1.4 million US\$)
- Sub-component 3.4: Improve data access and dissemination of national statistics and micro data (0.5 million US\$)

Component 4. Improve Regulatory capacity and mine practices (3 million US\$)

- Sub-component 4.1: Support organizational reforms at the Ministry of Energy and Mines (1.4 million US\$)
- Sub-component 4.2: Establishing regulatory guidelines for ASM operations and ensuring their enforcement (1.1 million US\$)
- Sub-component 4.3: Improving Mining Data Transparency (0.5 million US\$)

#### 4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)

Since the project will primarily focus on building institutional capacity and provide advisory services, environmental impact assessments are not required. The project is therefore classified under Environmental Category “C”. Minor works might include the refurbishment of office space for key implementing agencies (ISTEEBU; Ministry of Energy and Mines) to install adequate equipment. Such repairs/refurbishment will be done according to national and local laws and regulations. The scope of intervention in the mining and land sector is also limited to upstream reform and advisory services, thereby not likely to have any significant effects on the country’s environment. Moreover, the new mining code includes much more stringent environmental regulations and safeguards, and the mining regulations under preparation by the Government will include clear provision for the environment safeguards.

#### 5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

Alexandra C. Bezeredi (OPSOR)

6. Safeguard Policies	Triggered?	Explanation (Optional)
Environmental Assessment OP/BP 4.01	No	
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	
Forests OP/BP 4.36	No	
Pest Management OP 4.09	No	
Physical Cultural Resources OP/BP 4.11	No	
Indigenous Peoples OP/BP 4.10	No	
Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	No	
Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	
Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	No	
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	

## II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

### A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

<b>1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:</b>
N/A
<b>2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:</b>

N/A
<b>3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.</b>
N/A
<b>4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.</b>
N/A
<b>5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.</b>
N/A

### ***B. Disclosure Requirements***

<b>If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.</b>
<b>If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:</b>
N/A

### ***C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level***

<b>The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information</b>	
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>All Safeguard Policies</b>	
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]

### **III. APPROVALS**

Task Team Leader(s):	Name: Marco Larizza	
<b><i>Approved By</i></b>		
Practice Manager/ Manager:	Name: Guenter Heidenhof (PMGR)	Date: 13-Feb-2015