

**INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET  
ADDITIONAL FINANCING**

**Report No.: ISDSA12359**

**Date ISDS Prepared/Updated:** 18-Mar-2015

**Date ISDS Approved/Disclosed:** 18-Mar-2015

**I. BASIC INFORMATION**

**1. Basic Project Data**

<b>Country:</b>	Nigeria	<b>Project ID:</b>	P154660
		<b>Parent Project ID:</b>	P130865
<b>Project Name:</b>	NG-Polio Eradication Support - Additional Financing (P154660)		
<b>Parent Project Name:</b>	NG-Polio Eradication Support (FY13) (P130865)		
<b>Task Team Leader(s):</b>	Ayodeji Oluwole Odutolu, Ana Besarabic Bennett, Shunsuke Mabuchi		
<b>Estimated Appraisal Date:</b>	02-Mar-2015	<b>Estimated Board Date:</b>	10-Apr-2015
<b>Managing Unit:</b>	GHNDR	<b>Lending Instrument:</b>	Investment Project Financing
<b>Sector(s):</b>	Health (90%), Other social services (10%)		
<b>Theme(s):</b>	Child health (90%), Social Inclusion (10%)		
<b>Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?</b>			No
<b>Financing (In USD Million)</b>			
Total Project Cost:	200.00	Total Bank Financing:	200.00
Financing Gap:	0.00		
<b>Financing Source</b>			<b>Amount</b>
BORROWER/RECIPIENT			0.00
International Development Association (IDA)			200.00
Total			200.00
<b>Environmental Category:</b>	B - Partial Assessment		
<b>Is this a Repeater project?</b>	No		

**2. Project Development Objective(s)**

#### **A. Original Project Development Objectives – Parent**

The development objective of the proposed Project is to assist, as part of a global polio eradication effort, the Government of Nigeria to achieve and sustain at least 80% coverage with OPV immunization in every state in the country

#### **B. Current Project Development Objectives – Parent**

#### **C. Proposed Project Development Objectives – Additional Financing (AF)**

To assist the Recipient, as part of a global polio eradication effort, to achieve and sustain at least 80% coverage with oral polio vaccine immunization in every state in the Recipient's territory, and sustain national routine immunization coverage.

### **3. Project Description**

The revised Project Development Objective (PDO) is to assist the Government of Nigeria, as part of a global polio eradication effort, to achieve and sustain at least 80% coverage with oral polio vaccine immunization in every state in the country, and to sustain national coverage for routine immunization.

The Federal Government of Nigeria (FGON) made an urgent request to the Bank for the proposed additional financing (AF) which will provide critically needed funds to: (i) avoid any disruption in polio eradication activities; (ii) prevent a deterioration in routine immunization (RI); and (iii) take advantage of opportunities to introduce new vaccines that will have a large impact on under-5 mortality. The FGON made the request because it has previously made large investments in polio eradication, RI, and new vaccines but is facing a critical shortfall in revenues due to declining oil prices.

The activities under the AF build on a very strong network of development partners (DPs) that have helped Nigeria make progress. All of the funds under the AF will be coursed through WHO and UNICEF who have a large and well-functioning presence on the ground. These are the arrangements that the FGON itself has used and which have been successfully used during the original project.

The Bank has provided about \$300 million to support the polio eradication program in Nigeria over the last 12 years through the previous project (which also included 3 additional financings) and the current project. Now that Nigeria is on the cusp of interrupting wild polio transmission, there is an opportunity to ensure that an acute financing gap does not derail hard earned gains.

The original project was approved on July 12, 2012, for an amount of US\$95 million, and has a closing date of July 31, 2015. The proposed additional financing would extend the closing date to July 31, 2017.

#### **4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)**

The project will take in all 36 states of the federation including the Federal Capital Territory (FCT).

#### **5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists**

Edda Mwakaselo Ivan Smith (GSURR)

---

<b>6. Safeguard Policies</b>	<b>Triggered?</b>	<b>Explanation (Optional)</b>
Environmental Assessment OP/BP 4.01	Yes	The EA is upgraded to B. There is effective provision in the National Health Care Waste Management Plan but the government will develop protocols during implementation for health care waste management at the health facility level.
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	The project does not affect or involve natural habitats.
Forests OP/BP 4.36	No	The project does not involve forests or forestry.
Pest Management OP 4.09	No	The project does not involve pest management.
Physical Cultural Resources OP/BP 4.11	No	The project does not affect or involve physical cultural resources.
Indigenous Peoples OP/BP 4.10	No	There are no Indigenous Peoples in the project area.
Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	No	The Project does not involve nor will it finance land acquisition leading to involuntary resettlement or restrictions of access to resources or livelihoods.
Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	N/A
Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	No	N/A
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	N/A

## **II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management**

### ***A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues***

<p><b>1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:</b></p> <p>The Project is not envisaged to cause an potential large scale, significant and /or irreversible impacts. The environmental risks and the environmental category of additional financing will be upgraded to B.</p> <p>There is no construction financed under the project. Overall environmental impact of the Project is likely to be positive with potentially significant environmental benefits with medical waste disposal as the main risk. Vaccination is potentially a significant source of waste generation, especially through expired vaccines due to poor stock management and cold chain. However in the context of Nigeria this is of modest environmental concern since the volume of waste from wasted vaccine vials is small and because they are sterilized vaccines which do not present a public health or environmental risk. The overall social impacts of the Project are likely to be positive with main issue being utilization of services. No land requirements or restriction of access to sources of livelihoods or involuntary resettlement of any kind under the Project.</p>
<p><b>2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:</b></p>

There is no potential indirect or long-term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area.
<b>3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.</b>
Not applicable.
<b>4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.</b>
<p>Nigeria has demonstrated its commitment to mitigating adverse social and environmental impacts in the implementation of a range of World Bank projects. There are adequate legal and institutional frameworks in the country to ensure compliance with World Bank safeguards policies. On September 4, 2013, the Nigerian Federal Executive Council (FEC) approved a new National Strategic Healthcare Waste Management policy, including National Strategic Healthcare Waste Management Plan and Guideline for the country. The fact that Ministers of Environment and Health jointly presented the memo seeking Council's approval for the adoption of the National Healthcare Waste Management policy, underscores the high level of the commitment of the Government toward improving the situation of the sector. Annex 3 presents a summary of the new policy context, including applicable plans and guidelines.</p> <p>Generally, Nigeria is considered to have a fairly complete set of regulations and legal instruments however consistent implementation of monitoring and enforcement measures remain a challenge.</p>
<b>5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.</b>
<p>Key stakeholders include: (i) poor and vulnerable groups, including populations in the three states affected by the state of emergency, Yobe, Borno, and Adamawa, and vulnerable groups such as women and children who face a disproportionate risk of mortality and morbidity due to avertable causes; (ii) state and local governments; (iii) the government at the federal level, including the National Primary Healthcare Development Agency (NPHCDA); and (iv) the development partner community, including UNICEF and WHO who will implement the project as well as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and JICA.</p>

### ***B. Disclosure Requirements***

<b>Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other</b>	
Date of receipt by the Bank	////
Date of submission to InfoShop	////
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	////
<b>"In country" Disclosure</b>	
Nigeria	04-Sep-2013
<i>Comments:</i> Federal Executive Council approval and disclosure	
<b>If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.</b>	
<b>If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:</b>	
Not applicable.	

### ***C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level***

<b>OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment</b>		
Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]    NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information</b>		
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]    NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]    NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>All Safeguard Policies</b>		
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]    NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]    NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]    NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]    NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]

### III. APPROVALS

Task Team Leader(s):	Name: Ayodeji Oluwole Odutolu, Ana Besarabic Bennett, Shunsuke Mabuchi	
<b><i>Approved By</i></b>		
Practice Manager/ Manager:	Name: Trina S. Haque (PMGR)	Date: 18-Mar-2015