

**INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET
ADDITIONAL FINANCING**

Report No.: ISDSA13396

Date ISDS Prepared/Updated: 02-Jun-2015

Date ISDS Approved/Disclosed: 04-Jun-2015

I. BASIC INFORMATION

1. Basic Project Data

Country:	Turkey	Project ID:	P154259
		Parent Project ID:	P106284
Project Name:	Land Registration and Cadastre Modernization Project - Second Phase (P154259)		
Parent Project Name:	Turkey Land Registration and Cadastre Modernization Project (P106284)		
Task Team Leader(s):	Elif Ayhan		
Estimated Appraisal Date:	18-Jun-2015	Estimated Board Date:	27-Aug-2015
Managing Unit:	GSURR	Lending Instrument:	Investment Project Financing
Sector(s):	Central government administration (90%), Sub-national government administration (10%)		
Theme(s):	Land administration and management (50%), Administrative and civil service reform (20%), Municipal governance and institution building (10%), e-Government (10%), Tax policy and administration (10%)		
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?			No
Financing (In USD Million)			
Total Project Cost:	130.00	Total Bank Financing:	91.00
Financing Gap:	0.00		
Financing Source			Amount
Borrower			39.00
International Bank for Reconstruction and Development			91.00
Total			130.00
Environmental Category:	B - Partial Assessment		

Is this a Repeater project?	No
------------------------------------	----

2. Project Development Objective(s)

A. Original Project Development Objectives – Parent

The overall goal of the project is to contribute to government agenda to improve quality and effectiveness of public services through spreading and making effective e-government applications. The specific objective of the proposed project is to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the land registry and cadastre services. This objective will be achieved through: (i) renovating and updating cadastre maps to support digital cadastre and land registry information; (ii) making the digital land registry and cadastre information available to public and private entities (iii) improving customer services in land registry and cadastre offices; (iv) improving human resources in the Turkish Land Registry and Cadastre Agency (TKGM); and (v) developing policies and capacity to introduce best international practices in property valuation.

B. Proposed Project Development Objectives – Additional Financing (AF)

The objective of the Project is to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the land registry and cadastre services through: (i) renovating and updating cadastre maps to support digital cadastre and land registry information; (ii) making the digital land registry and cadastre information available to public and private entities; (iii) improving customer services in land registry and cadastre offices; (iv) improving human resources in TKGM; and (v) developing policies and capacity to introduce best international practices in property valuation in Turkey.

3. Project Description

The proposed changes of the additional finance project include:

- (i) finance costs associated with scaling up of cadastre renovation works so as to complete all high priority parcels as foreseen by the Government at the beginning of Land Registry and Cadastre Modernization Project (LRCMP) and also improve cadastre data using other available methods such as digitization;
- (ii) key technical cooperation components such as improved service delivery, human resources and institutional development and property valuation will continue without any major change in component definition;
- (iii) no further support for model office construction as TKGM now rolls out the model office concept with government resources nationwide after implementing it at three cities under the original loan;
- (iv) parallel co-financing of project activities by the Government around 30 percent using the Bank's procurement methods which provide more efficient outcomes in terms of time and cost; and
- (v) revision of indicators and targets in the results framework to capture and monitor the AF outcomes and allow for consolidation of project results.

There are not outstanding issues related to the original loan so far and the additional finance for the project will not have any implications related to the model office activities and no new construction works will be added to the project.

4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)

N/A

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

Esra Arıkan (GENDR)

Zeynep Durnev Darendeliler (OPSOR)

6. Safeguard Policies	Triggered?	Explanation (Optional)
Environmental Assessment OP/BP 4.01	Yes	Changes foreseen for the additional financing will not have any impacts related to environmental impacts or safeguard requirements. Cadastre renovation works, technical assistance for improved service delivery, use of procurement methods will not add any extra environmental safeguard requirements to the project. Since the simple construction works are still ongoing under the original loan, it was decided that the environmental category of this AF is kept as 'B'. All the environmental safeguard documents and implementation arrangements are in place for the original loan, so there will be no environmental safeguard requirements neither for the preparation nor for the implementation of this additional finance project. However OP4.01 is triggered for the Project because of the activities under the original loan, and not because of the activities under the AF.
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	
Forests OP/BP 4.36	No	
Pest Management OP 4.09	No	
Physical Cultural Resources OP/BP 4.11	Yes	OP4.11 was triggered for the original the loan There are no specific activities under the AF which suggests that OP4.11 has to be applied but it is kept triggered for the entire Project.
Indigenous Peoples OP/ BP 4.10	No	
Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	No	The proposed Additional Financing does not present social issues different from and not covered under the original loan. Cadastre renovation under Component 1 seeks to update and rectify outdated and erroneous cadastral information. This does not involved involuntary land acquisition or existing any change in property rights, therefore OP 4.12 was not triggered under the original project and need not be triggered under this project. This cadastral renovation improves records and remedies deficiencies in ownership documentation, which has the positive social impacts of ensuring that owners are able to more easily document ownership of better defined plots

		and to benefit from secondary effects of improved records, such as access to credit. Component 2's objective of improved service delivery for land registry and cadastral services has been monitored under the original project through citizen satisfaction surveys, which will continue under the Additional Financing. Gender-disaggregated results from these surveys are also incorporated into the project result framework. Significant improvement in citizen satisfaction regarding service delivery has been recorded so far
Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	
Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	No	
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

<p>1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:</p> <p>Changes foreseen for the additional financing will not have any impacts related to environmental impacts or safeguard requirements. Cadastre renovation works, technical assistance for improved service delivery, use of procurement methods will not add any extra environmental safeguard requirements to the project. Since the simple construction works are still ongoing under the original loan, it was decided that the environmental category of this AF is kept as 'B'. All the environmental safeguard documents and implementation arrangements are in place for the original loan, so there will be no environmental safeguard requirements neither for the preparation nor for the implementation of this additional finance project.</p> <p>The proposed Additional Financing does not present social issues different from and covered under the original loan. Cadastre renovation under Component 1 seeks to update and rectify outdated and erroneous cadastral information. This does not involved involuntary land acquisition or existing any change in property rights. However OP 4.12 is triggered for the entire project, because of the activities under the original loan but not because of the activities under the AF.</p> <p>The cadastral renovation improves records and remedies deficiencies in ownership documentation, which has the positive social impacts of ensuring that owners are able to more easily document ownership of better defined plots and to benefit from secondary effects of improved records, such as access to credit. Component 2's objective of improved service delivery for land registry and cadastral services has been monitored under the original project through citizen satisfaction surveys, which will continue under the Additional Financing. Gender-disaggregated results from these surveys are also incorporated into the project result framework. Significant improvement in citizen satisfaction regarding service delivery has been recorded so far.</p>
<p>2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:</p>

N/A
3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.
N/A
4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.
<p>The Borrower/Turkish Land Registry and Cadastre Agency (TKGM) has prepared an environmental management framework (EMF) for the original loan, specifically to address appropriate mitigation and monitoring measures for the model office activities. And during the implementation of the original loan TKGM prepared site-specific environmental management plans (EMPs) for 3 model offices. The model office in Bursa has already been completed and the construction works for the Kilis and Kahramanmaraş offices are ongoing under the original loan. Additional finance package will not include any activities involving construction works.</p> <p>TKGM is responsible for the implementation and monitoring of the Banks safeguards policies and resulting environmental management and mitigation measures. TKGM fulfills its responsibility related to environmental management of the project activities. TKGM also sent staff to safeguard training events organized by the Bank.</p>
5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.
<p>The EMF was disclosed in-country in local language and in English through the TKGM's website on December 10, 2007, as well as at the Infoshop in Washington on December 10, 2007 for the original project. This additional finance package will not have any safeguard requirements and there will not be a need for a stakeholder consultation to discuss environmental and social issues.</p>

B. Disclosure Requirements

Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other	
Date of receipt by the Bank	09-Dec-2007
Date of submission to InfoShop	09-Dec-2007
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	////
"In country" Disclosure	
Turkey	09-Dec-2007
<i>Comments:</i> The EMF was disclosed in-country in local language and in English through the TKGM's website on December 10, 2007, as well as at the Infoshop in Washington on December 10, 2007.	
If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.	
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:	

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level

OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment

Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit or Practice Manager (PM) review and approve the EA report?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
OP/BP 4.11 - Physical Cultural Resources	
Does the EA include adequate measures related to cultural property?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Does the credit/loan incorporate mechanisms to mitigate the potential adverse impacts on cultural property?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information	
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
All Safeguard Policies	
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]

III. APPROVALS

Task Team Leader(s):	Name: Elif Ayhan	
Approved By		
Practice Manager/ Manager:	Name: Jorge A. Munoz (PMGR)	Date: 04-Jun-2015