

**INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET
APPRAISAL STAGE**

Report No.: ISDSA9154

Date ISDS Prepared/Updated: 06-Jun-2014

Date ISDS Approved/Disclosed: 06-Jun-2014

I. BASIC INFORMATION

1. Basic Project Data

Country:	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Project ID:	P151157
Project Name:	BiH Floods Emergency Recovery Project (P151157)		
Task Team Leader:	David Michaud		
Estimated Appraisal Date:	05-Jun-2014	Estimated Board Date:	30-Jun-2014
Managing Unit:	ECSUW	Lending Instrument:	Investment Project Financing
Sector(s):	Irrigation and drainage (25%), General energy sector (25%), General transportation sector (25%), General water, sanitation and flood protection sector (25%)		
Theme(s):	Natural disaster management (100%)		
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?			Yes
Financing (In USD Million)			
Total Project Cost:	100.00	Total Bank Financing:	100.00
Financing Gap:	0.00		
Financing Source			Amount
Borrower			0.00
IDA Credit from CRW			100.00
Total			100.00
Environmental Category:	B - Partial Assessment		
Is this a Repeater project?	No		

2. Project Development Objective(s)

The Project Development Objective (PDO) is to meet critical needs and restore functionality of infrastructure essential for public services and economic recovery in floods affected areas.

3. Project Description

The Project consists of three components: (i) Emergency Disaster Recovery Goods; (ii) Rehabilitation of Key Public Infrastructure; and (iii) Project Implementation Support and Capacity Building.

Component 1: Emergency Disaster Recovery Goods (US\$40.0 million). The recent floods have created significant strains on the supply chains for a variety of goods crucially needed to ensure the continuity of public services and economic opportunities. For example, some coal mines have been flooded, resulting in an restriction of supply to the main thermal power plants; flooded areas have been traditionally oriented towards agriculture and agribusiness which were severely affected by floods, resulting in fodder and forage shortages and the urgent need for seeds and fertilizers for reseeded. The Project will therefore finance, through the use of a positive list, the purchase of goods urgently needed during the recovery phase. The positive list will include logistical goods, reconstruction goods, emergency goods, energy and power sector goods as well as agricultural recovery goods, with an initial priority set of fuel and food, agricultural goods and emergency goods. See Annex 2 for further details.

Component 2: Rehabilitation of Key Public Infrastructure (US\$57.0 million). Component 2 will support the rehabilitation of both local and regional public infrastructure on the basis of the Recovery Needs Assessment. The impact of the floods was concentrated on 60 municipalities in the central, northwest and northeast parts of the country; and in many of these public services delivery were severely impacted. This Component will therefore finance the rehabilitation or reconstruction of high priority, public service delivery infrastructure in the worst affected areas. This component is designed under a framework approach in which activities will be identified in a demand-driven manner, based on the on-going Recovery Needs Assessment. The project will put particular emphasis on Rural Development, Energy Supply, Transport and Water and Sanitation activities but other public services (education, health) will be considered on a case-by-case basis. The Component will be divided in two sub-components that will follow somewhat different project cycles, depending on whether the infrastructure is local or regional (see Annex 2 for details):

Subcomponent 2A: Regional Infrastructure Rehabilitation (US\$30 million). Component 2A will support the rehabilitation of strategic, regional-level public infrastructure such as transport links, distribution and transmission infrastructure, dikes and other strategic public infrastructure. Definition of the priorities for Subcomponent 2A activities will be based on the on-going Recovery Needs Assessment, as well as availability of other sources of funding. Overall sector financial envelopes will be set at entity level by the respective Steering Committees; Entity line ministries will then take the lead in defining concrete activities for their sector and provide the PIUs with a list of relevant activities.

Subcomponent 2B: Local Infrastructure Rehabilitation (US\$27 million). Component 2B will be focused on local-level infrastructure, such as water supply schemes, local roads and bridges etc. The implementation process for Subcomponent 2B will be based on the following sequence of steps; the first step (Recovery Needs Assessment) started on May 29, 2014 and is expected to be complete by June 18, 2014. Subsequent steps will proceed immediately thereafter, in parallel with the formal project approval and effectiveness process. The Project's Operating Manual will provide further details on the process and in particular on the allocation of funds and prioritization of municipalities.

Component 3: Project Implementation Support and Capacity Building (US\$3 million). This component will finance the management of the Project and any support needed for the identification

and implementation of sub-activities. The component will also provide technical assistance and capacity building on ensuring future improved disaster resilience. There will be two sub-components:

Subcomponent 3A: Project Implementation Support (US\$2.0 million). The subcomponent will cover the incremental costs of the Project Implementation Units (Agriculture PIU in the FBiH, and the Agriculture PCU – in the RS) housed in the respective entity level Ministries of Agriculture, Water Management, and Forestry, which will be responsible for implementing the Project in their respective entities. The RS APCU will also be responsible for the fiduciary arrangements and contracting in the Brcko District part of the project. These units, which are already managing several Bank-funded projects, will hire/supplement the required staff to carry out the responsibilities of the PIU, including coordination, reporting, financial management, procurement, social and environmental safeguards (see Implementation Arrangements, Section IV). Furthermore, the PIUs will also need international technical assistance to extent their capacity in the new areas covered under this Project. The units might also need additional equipment, and operating costs to secure their effective operation. This subcomponent will also cover the costs of a small project implementation team (PIT) that will be established in Brcko to oversee and coordinate the project activities. Finally the component will also cover any necessary monitoring and evaluation, as well as auditing costs.

Subcomponent 3B: Capacity Building for Disaster Resilience (US\$1.0 million). The aim of this subcomponent would be to improve BiH's capacity to move to a more proactive approach of risk management rather than a responsive approach. The project would support improving disaster resilience capacity at the national level and at the local level through strengthening the knowledge on risk assessment, planning, workshops, drills and targeted training for decision makers, practitioners and communities. The implementation of this component would complement and be closely coordinated with the existing Bank engagement in the Flood Management field through notably through the recently approved BiH Drina Flood Protection Project that will fund protective infrastructure on the Drina river; the proposed GEF Drina River Basin Management Project (US\$10 million) that will provide TA, hydro-meteorological equipment; and the committed, West Balkan Investment Framework-funded TAs to develop an Investment Prioritization Framework for the Drina basin to develop and implement a regional Joint Flood Management Strategy for the Sava River.

4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)

The project will be implemented throughout the flood affected area in the North-East part of the country, spanning both entities (RS and FBiH) as well as the Brcko District.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

Vera Dugandzic (ECSSO)

Esma Kreso (ECSSEN)

6. Safeguard Policies	Triggered?	Explanation (Optional)
Environmental Assessment OP/ BP 4.01	Yes	Due to the nature of reconstruction works, relatively minor and easily mitigated environmental impacts such as dust, noise, waste management, proper management of obsolete equipment and traffic impacts can be foreseen. An ESSF has been prepared by the World Bank

		team outlining the procedure through which an ESMF and RPF will be prepared as a condition for Disbursement. The ESMF will provide a screening framework to avoid activities corresponding to Category A or triggering additional safeguards policies, as well as guidance on developing site-specific Environmental Management Plans (where needed).
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	The Project will not finance any interventions in protected areas or natural habitats of exceptional value or importance.
Forests OP/BP 4.36	No	No activities in forests will be supported. No logging or clearing, other than wastes caused by the flooding.
Pest Management OP 4.09	No	
Physical Cultural Resources OP/BP 4.11	No	No works on cultural heritage sites is envisaged within the project. The ESSF and subsequently the ESMF will include a chance finds procedure for all categories of cultural heritage, UXO and mass graves.
Indigenous Peoples OP/BP 4.10	No	
Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	Yes	The Project will not finance resettlement or permanent land acquisition, but due to the nature of works temporary land acquisition may be required. As such, a precautionary RPF will be developed and disclosed prior to Disbursement.
Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	
Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	Yes	The Project triggers the International Waterways policy, but due to the fact that the Project will involve solely reconstruction of infrastructure impacted by the May 2014 flooding, there will be no changes in the water quality or quantity to the downstream riparians. As such, an Exclusion to Notification Memo has been agreed at the Decision Meeting.
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:

The Project is expected to have minor, temporary and readily mitigated environmental impacts that can occur during the reconstruction works, that include noise and dust, waste management, removal and demolition of infrastructure parts to be reconstructed, and removal of old equipment and utilities to be rehabilitated. The negative impacts anticipated for the Project activities are easily mitigated by the appropriate due diligence documents, in order to meet the triggered OP 4.01 on Environmental Assessment.

The Bank Operational Policy on Involuntary Resettlement (OP 4.12) has been triggered in view of the fact that the infrastructure rehabilitation and reconstruction works in some cases may lead to possible land acquisition. Land requirements are expected to be minor as the rehabilitation investments will be carried out mainly on municipally owned land, or other government owned land. However, restoration and/or rebuilding of damaged facilities to some extent might have certain adverse social impact if the works would require some temporary acquisition of private land for securing the right-of-way. Since the size, scale and location of subprojects cannot be determined at the project preparation stage, the Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) and Environment and Social Management Framework (ESMF) will be prepared, providing guidance for preparation and implementation of ESMPs and RAPs as required to mitigate potential environmental and resettlement impacts.

Given the emergency response nature of the project, in order to facilitate the project processing, the World Bank team has prepared an Environmental and Social Safeguards Framework . This ESSF sets out how the safeguard policies will be applied during the preparation and implementation of the project, including the deferring the requirement for preparation and disclosure of the ESMF and RPF into the project implementation stage. Preparation and disclosure of the ESMF and RPF will be a condition for disbursement of project funds under Components 2 and 3.

Recently developed ESMF and RPF for the Drina Flood Protection Project (approved on May 16, 2014) will be revised and adapted to suit the specificities of the project. The documents have been prepared in compliance with the Bank's OP 4.01 and OP 4.12 policies and relevant RS and FBiH legislation with the aim to minimize and mitigate adverse impacts on environment and affected population. Both documents have been prepared following disclosure and public consultations in the areas that are also relevant for this project such as Bijeljina, Gorazde, Sarajevo and Banja Luka.

The project triggers the International Waterways policy. Proposed Project activities however only entail rehabilitation or reconstruction of existing infrastructure and as such fall under the exception to the notification requirement under paragraph 7(a) of OP 7.50, Projects on International Waterways. A memo outlining the rationale has been prepared by the team and exception has been granted by Bank Management.

2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:

Through the establishment of proper waste management procedures under the project activities, and awareness raising of the local population , the project could also have positive environmental impacts that could be long-lasting.

3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.

The ESSF enlists the criteria for screening and exclusion of subprojects corresponding to Category A and other subprojects that may have irreversible impacts or trigger additional policies. These provisions will also feed into the ESMF that the Client will prepare prior to Disbursement. It also contains measures such as screening, consultations, preparation and implementation of the Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), if required, and extension of entitlements. The mitigation measures, following provisions of the ESMF and RPF will subsequently be integrated into the subproject planning, design, implementation, operation and maintenance. In addition, social inclusion measures to address the special needs of the women, vulnerable families and marginalized communities have been incorporated as well as guidelines for prior and informed consultation with the respective municipalities/communities, grievance redress, information disclosure and monitoring and evaluation.

4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.

To ensure prompt and efficient implementation, the arrangement with the existing PIUs (APCU in the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management in RS, and PIU in Ministry of Agriculture, Water Management and Forestry in FBiH) implementing several Bank-funded projects (agriculture/rural development; irrigation development; flood protection and forestry) will also implement this Project. The PIUs are familiar with the provisions of the Bank's safeguards policies, their implementation and monitoring in line with the provisions set out in the relevant safeguards instruments - ESMF/EMPs and RPF/RAPs. Experienced safeguards specialists are assigned in the PIUs. However, given the magnitude of the work ahead, the PIUs will be strengthened with additional safeguards staff.

5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.

As noted, the RPF and ESMF developed for the Drina Flood Protection Project have been prepared with prior and informed consultations in Bijeljina, Gorazde, Banja Luka and Sarajevo which almost entirely overlap with the flooded areas under this Project. As such, the revised ESMF and RPF will be re-disclosed, without repeated consultations. Moreover, community consultations will be carried out during project implementation in the process of selecting and prioritizing the subprojects to be rehabilitated in line with the expressed needs of the affected communities .

The ESMF and RPF are condition for disbursement of project funds under Components 2 and 3. The updated versions of ESMF and RPF will be submitted to the World Bank team in a draft form by September 8, 2014. Pending review and comments from the World Bank team, the ESMF and RPF will be disclosed by September 26, 2014, both in country and through the World Bank Infoshop. All subsequent, site-specific EMPs and RAPs where needed, will be disclosed with public consultations at the local, community level prior to start of works.

B. Disclosure Requirements

Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other	
Date of receipt by the Bank	08-Sep-2015
Date of submission to InfoShop	26-Sep-2014
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	

"In country" Disclosure	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	26-Sep-2014
<i>Comments:</i> The ESMF and RPF will be prepared and disclosed by September 26, 2014, as a condition for disbursement of project funds.	
Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process	
Date of receipt by the Bank	08-Sep-2014
Date of submission to InfoShop	26-Sep-2014
"In country" Disclosure	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	26-Sep-2014
<i>Comments:</i> The ESMF and RPF will be prepared and disclosed by September 26, 2014, as a condition for disbursement of project funds.	
If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.	
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:	

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level

OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment			
Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit or Sector Manager (SM) review and approve the EA report?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement			
Has a resettlement plan/abbreviated plan/policy framework/process framework (as appropriate) been prepared?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
If yes, then did the Regional unit responsible for safeguards or Sector Manager review the plan?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]
OP 7.50 - Projects on International Waterways			
Have the other riparians been notified of the project?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
If the project falls under one of the exceptions to the notification requirement, has this been cleared with the Legal Department, and the memo to the RVP prepared and sent?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Has the RVP approved such an exception?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information			
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
All Safeguard Policies			

Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]

III. APPROVALS

Task Team Leader:	Name: David Michaud	
<i>Approved By</i>		
Regional Safeguards Advisor:	Name: John R. Butler (RSA)	Date: 06-Jun-2014
Sector Manager:	Name: Toshiaki Keicho (SM)	Date: 06-Jun-2014