

Integrated Safeguards Data Sheet (ISDS)	
Section I – Basic Information	
Date ISDS Prepared/Updated: April 7, 2005	Report No.:AC1162
A. Basic Project Data	
A.1. Project Statistics	
Country: Mali	Project ID: P040653
Project: Mali Rural Community Development Project (RCDP)	TTL: Daniel Moreau
Total project cost (by component):	
Appraisal Date: February 1 st , 2005	Loan/Credit amount(\$m): IDA: 60
Board Date: July 12, 2005	
Other financing amounts by source:	Beneficiaries: US\$m. 4.0
Managing Unit: AFTS4	Sectors: General agriculture, livestock, fishing and forestry sector (50%);Other social services (30%);Sanitation (5%); Rural micro-industry (15%).
Lending Instruments: Specific Investment Loan	
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency recovery)? Yes? <input type="checkbox"/> No? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Environmental Category :	B
A.2. Project Objectives The development objective of the project is to improve the living conditions of project-supported rural communities in terms of access to basic socio-economic services, sustainable income increase, and improved natural resource management practices. The project would achieve its objective through community empowerment, capacity building (targeting rural communities, rural communes and suppliers of support services to communities), and investments in social, socio-economic, environmental, and economic, sub-projects implemented by these communities.	
A.3. Project Description The project components will be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Capacity Building: community empowerment and local governance improvement through local capacity building for all stakeholders (communities, community-support institutions, including NGOs, and deconcentrated public and private service providers, and small private enterprises); B Communal Initiatives Fund, CIF. financial and technical support services for investments with public-good characteristic (social and environmental) within the Communal Development and Investment Plans; C. Local Productive Initiatives Fund, LPIF. financial and technical support services for local community-based investments with private-good characteristic, and; D. Project management, Monitoring and Evaluation, Knowledge management. 	

Component	Indicative Costs (US\$M)	% of Total	Bank financing (US\$M)
	14.0	21.9	14.0
A. Capacity Building			
B. Communal Initiatives Fund (CIF)			
• B1. Communal demand-driven Investments Grants	13.2	20.6	11.2
• B2. Communal Technical Support services	3.4	5.3	3.4
C. Local Productive Initiatives Fund (LPIF)			
• C1. Local demand-driven Investments Grants	20.0	31.3	18.0
• C2. Local Technical Support services	4.0	6.3	4.0
D. Project management Monitoring and Evaluation, Knowledge Management	9.4	14.6	9.4
	64.0	100	60.0
Total Project Costs			

A.4. Project Location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis:

Project activities will be undertaken in four regions (Mopti, Timbuktu, Segou and Sikasso). The project will ensure that the protected areas are not affected by project activities.

Although there are culturally sensitive areas in Mali such as ancient burial sites and sacred groves, the proposed activities of the project will have no impact on cultural property. Where there is a possibility that project activities will encroach on these areas, project activities will be diverted to ensure that these culturally and socially sensitive areas are avoided.

B. Check Environmental Category A [], B [X], C [], FI []

Comments:

C. Safeguard Policies Triggered

	Yes	No
Environmental Assessment (OP/BP/GP 4.01)	[x]	[]
Natural Habitats (OP/BP 4.04)	[]	[x]
Pest Management (OP 4.09)	[x]	[]
Cultural Property (draft OP 4.11 - OPN 11.03 -)	[]	[x]
Involuntary Resettlement (OP/BP 4.12)	[x]	[]
Indigenous Peoples (OD 4.20)	[]	[x]
Forests (OP/BP 4.36)	[]	[x]
Safety of Dams (OP/BP 4.37)	[]	[x]
Projects in Disputed Areas (OP/BP/GP 7.60)*	[]	[x]

* By supporting the proposed project, the Bank does not intend to prejudice the final determination of the parties' claims on the disputed areas

Projects on International Waterways (OP/BP/GP 7.50)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Section II – Key Safeguard Issues and Their Management	
<i>D. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues.</i>	
<p>D.1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts.</p> <p>The RCDP is not expected to have a significant negative environmental and social impact. The possibility still exists of some environmental impact and because neither the beneficiaries nor the activities are not yet been identified. The Borrower prepared an Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) for the project. It includes the following: (i) systematization of environmental and social impact assessment for all identified sub-projects before entering into a funding agreement with communities; (ii) training of staff and partners of the national and regional coordination units; (iii) establishment and implementation of an intersect consultation framework for the environmental control and monitoring; (iv) reinforcement of coordination meetings of harmonization and consultation at all levels among stakeholders; and (vi) concerted efforts of NGOs and mass media for the execution of an Information-Education-Communication program aimed at improving environmental management. The recommendations of the safeguard documents will be reflected in the Project Implementation Manual (PIM).</p>	
<p>D.2 Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area.</p> <p>No such long term impacts are anticipated.</p>	
<p>D.3. Describe the treatment of alternatives (if relevant)</p> <p>N.A.</p>	
<p>D.4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.</p> <p>The ESMF was disclosed in-country on February 1st, 2005. Radio broadcasts and newspapers announcements informed the population of the availability of the Report at the following ministries: Economy and Finances; Social Development, Solidarity and Senior Citizens; Agriculture; Livestock and Fisheries; Environment and the National Archives.</p>	
<p>D.5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.</p> <p>Stakeholders include farmers, herders, small rural enterprises, service providers, involved at the various levels of the rural economy.</p>	

Support will be provided to the targeted local communities and communes in the implementation of environmental and social mitigation plans, and the integration of safeguard issues in the local/communal development plans.

<i>F. Disclosure Requirements Date</i>		
<i>Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	01/27/2005 (final version)	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	02/01/2005	
Date of submission to InfoShop	01/27/2005	
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	.../.../... or Not Applicable	
<i>Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
<i>Indigenous Peoples Development Plan/Framework:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
<i>Pest Management Plan:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
<i>Dam Safety Management Plan:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why.		
Section III – Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level (To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision meeting)		
<i>OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	X	
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?	X	
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?	X	
<i>OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?		X
If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of other (non-critical) natural habitats, does the project include mitigation measures acceptable to the Bank?		

<i>OP 4.09 - Pest Management:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Does the EA adequately address the pest management issues?	X	
Is a separate PMP required?		X
If yes, are PMP requirements included in project design?		
<i>Draft OP 4.11 (OPN 11.03) - Cultural Property:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Does the EA include adequate measures?		N/A
Does the credit/loan incorporate mechanisms to mitigate the potential adverse impacts on physical cultural resources?		
<i>OD 4.20 - Indigenous Peoples:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has a separate indigenous people development plan been prepared in consultation with the Indigenous People?		N/A
If yes, then did the Regional Social Development Unit review and approve the plan?		
If the whole project is designed to benefit IP, has the design been reviewed and approved by the Regional Social Development Unit?		
<i>OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has a resettlement action plan, policy framework or policy process been prepared?		N/A
If yes, then did the Regional Social Development Unit review and approve the plan / policy framework / policy process?		
<i>OP/BP 4.36 – Forests:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has the sector-wide analysis of policy and institutional issues and constraints been carried out?		N/A
Does the project design include satisfactory measures to overcome these constraints?		
Does the project finance commercial harvesting, and if so, does it include provisions for certification system?		
<i>OP/BP 4.37 - Safety of Dams:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have dam safety plans been prepared?		N/A
Have the TORs as well as composition for the independent Panel of Experts (POE) been reviewed and approved by the Bank?		
Has an Emergency Preparedness Plan (EPP) been prepared and arrangements been made for public awareness and training?		
<i>OP 7.50 - Projects on International Waterways:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have the other riparians been notified of the project?		N/A
If the project falls under one of the exceptions to the notification requirement, then has this been cleared with the Legal Department, and the memo to the RVP prepared and sent?		
What are the reasons for the exception?		
Please explain:		
Has the RVP approved such an exception?		
<i>OP 7.60 - Projects in Disputed Areas:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has the memo conveying all pertinent information on the international aspects of the project, including the procedures to be followed, and the recommendations for dealing with the issue, been prepared, cleared with the Legal Department and sent to the RVP?		N/A

Does the PAD/MOP include the standard disclaimer referred to in the OP?		
<i>BP 17.50 - Public Disclosure:</i>		
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	X	
<i>All Safeguard Policies:</i>		
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of the safeguard measures?	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have safeguard measures costs been included in project cost?	X	
Will the safeguard measures costs be funded as part of project implementation?	X	
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures?	X	
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	X	
<i>Signed and submitted by:</i>		
Task Team Leader:	<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>
Daniel Moreau		
Project Safeguards Specialist 1:	Amadou Konare	
Project Safeguards Specialist 2:		
Project Safeguards Specialist 3:		
<i>Approved by:</i>		
Regional Safeguards Coordinator:	<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>
Thomas Walton		
Comments:		
Sector Manager:	Mary Barton-Dock	
Comments:		