

**INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET
APPRAISAL STAGE**

Report No.: ISDSA15798

Date ISDS Prepared/Updated: 05-Jan-2016

I. BASIC INFORMATION

1. Basic Project Data

Country:	Sudan	Project ID:	P148349
Project Name:	Sudan Social Safety Net Project (P148349)		
Task Team Leader(s):	Randa G. El-Rashidi		
Estimated Appraisal Date:		Estimated Board Date:	29-Jan-2016
Managing Unit:	GSP01	Lending Instrument:	Investment Project Financing
Sector(s):	Other social services (100%)		
Theme(s):	Social Safety Nets/Social Assistance & Social Care Services (50%), Rural non-farm income generation (20%), Conflict prevention and post-conflict reconstruction (20%), Gender (10%)		
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?			No
Financing (In USD Million)			
Total Project Cost:	3.50	Total Bank Financing:	0.00
Financing Gap:	0.00		
Financing Source			Amount
Borrower			0.00
Multi-donor Trust Fund for North Sudan			3.50
Total			3.50
Environmental Category:	B - Partial Assessment		

2. Project Development Objective(s)

The objective of the Project is to strengthen the capacity of the Ministry of Welfare and Social Security (MoWSS) to transparently and effectively implement the CT Program, and to pilot a PSN approach for poor households.

3. Project Description

The proposed SSN Project adopts a two-pronged approach. It does so by: (i) strengthening the MoWSS's capacity for safety net program implementation; and (ii) piloting a second generation PSN approach that effectively complements the CT Program in the medium term, with potentially positive effects not only on household consumption and income (through cash transfers), but also on building

the productive asset-base of households. This is reflected in the two components of the Project: (i) strengthening the implementation of the CT Program; and (ii) piloting the PSN.

4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)

Component 1 provides technical assistance and other support to the cash transfer program, which operates nationally. Component 2, for which OP/BP 4.01 and 4.12 are triggered, will be piloted in the state of North Kordofan.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

John Bryant Collier (GEN07)

Varalakshmi Vemuru (GSU07)

6. Safeguard Policies	Triggered?	Explanation (Optional)
Environmental Assessment OP/BP 4.01	Yes	Component 2 will provide financing for small-scale public works at the community level, and thus OP/BP 4.01 is triggered. Expected impacts are likely to be localized, temporary, and easily mitigated through sensible construction management techniques. To help strengthen the capacity of the implementing agencies and ensure that the proposed Project is implemented in a socially responsible and environmentally sound manner, an Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) and checklist have been prepared. The ESMP checklist establishes a mechanism to determine and assess the potential environmental and social impacts of productive safety net investments and set out appropriate mitigation, monitoring, and institutional measures to avoid and/or minimize the expected environmental and social impacts to an acceptable level.
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	The project will not affect natural habitats.
Forests OP/BP 4.36	No	The proposed project does not include any activities that would require triggering OP 4.36.
Pest Management OP 4.09	No	The project does not support any activities that might involve the use of pesticides, thus OP 4.09 is not triggered.
Physical Cultural Resources OP/BP 4.11	No	The scope of activities to be supported will be known later and the presence of physical cultural resources (e.g. graveyards, sites of religious/ritual significance, known or unknown local structures or sites of historic or cultural importance) within the project area may not be known at this stage. However, the scope of activities is very small and is not expected to pose risks of damaging physical cultural resources.
Indigenous Peoples OP/BP 4.10	No	There are no indigenous people expected to be present in the project area.

Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	Yes	It is possible that implementation of Component 2 could require land to be acquired, some relocation, and/or restriction of access to sources of livelihoods, and thus OP/BP 4.12 is triggered. Since the exact locations and the number of people to be impacted are not known at this time, a Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) has been prepared which outlines measures to avoid and minimize resettlement as well as procedures for voluntary land donation. The draft RPF has been disclosed in country (December 28, 2015) and in the InfoShop (December 29, 2015).
Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	The project does not involve construction or reliance on dams.
Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	No	The project does not impact on international waterways.
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	This policy is not applicable for the project area.

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:
Impacts are expected only under Component 2. They are likely to be localized, temporary, and easily mitigated through sensible construction management techniques.
2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:
None are expected.
3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.
No alternatives were considered relevant.
4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.
To help strengthen the capacity of the implementing agencies and ensure that the proposed Project is implemented in a socially responsible and environmentally sound manner, an Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) and checklist have been prepared. Based on the experience of implementing the Community Development Project (CDF), the Community Support Unit (previously known as CDF) will be implementing Component 2 and has the capacity to deliver the ESMP. An RPF has been prepared that outlines measures to avoid and minimize resettlement as well as procedures for voluntary land donation. The CSU also has the experience for the implementation of the RPF.
5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.
The ESMP and the RPF were consulted upon with communities, traditional leaders, women, government administration and others in the North Kordofan state where the pilot will be

implemented. The documents have incorporated consultation findings and have been finalized. They were disclosed at the MoWSS website and the World Bank infoshop.

B. Disclosure Requirements

Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other	
Date of receipt by the Bank	29-Dec-2015
Date of submission to InfoShop	29-Dec-2015
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	////
"In country" Disclosure	
Sudan	28-Dec-2015
<i>Comments:</i>	
Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process	
Date of receipt by the Bank	29-Dec-2015
Date of submission to InfoShop	29-Dec-2015
"In country" Disclosure	
Sudan	28-Dec-2015
<i>Comments:</i>	
If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.	
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:	
N.A.	

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level

OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment	
Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit or Practice Manager (PM) review and approve the EA report?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement	
Has a resettlement plan/abbreviated plan/policy framework/process framework (as appropriate) been prepared?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
If yes, then did the Regional unit responsible for safeguards or Practice Manager review the plan?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Is physical displacement/relocation expected?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] TBD [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Provided estimated number of people to be affected	

Is economic displacement expected? (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihoods)	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] TBD [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Provided estimated number of people to be affected	
The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information	
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
All Safeguard Policies	
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No [<input type="checkbox"/>] NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]

III. APPROVALS

Task Team Leader(s):	Name: Randa G. El-Rashidi	
<i>Approved By</i>		
Safeguards Advisor:	Name: Johanna van Tilburg (SA)	Date: 06-Jan-2016
Practice Manager/ Manager:	Name: Dena Ringold (PMGR)	Date: 08-Jan-2016