

INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET

APPRAISAL STAGE

Report No.: ISDSA13034

Date ISDS Prepared/Updated: 12-May-2015

Date ISDS Approved/Disclosed: 27-May-2015

I. BASIC INFORMATION

1. Basic Project Data

Country:	Pacific Islands	Project ID:	P155542
Project Name:	Pacific Resilience Program (P155542)		
Task Team Leader(s):	Denis Jean-Jacques Jordy, Michael Bonte-Grapentin		
Estimated Appraisal Date:	09-Mar-2015	Estimated Board Date:	19-Jun-2015
Managing Unit:	GSURR	Lending Instrument:	Investment Project Financing
Sector(s):	Flood protection (60%), Public administration- Financial Sector (40%)		
Theme(s):	Natural disaster management (60%), Climate change (40%)		
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?			No
Financing (In USD Million)			
Total Project Cost:	2.22	Total Bank Financing:	1.32
Financing Gap:	0.00		
Financing Source			Amount
BORROWER/RECIPIENT			0.00
IDA Grant			1.32
Special Climate Change Fund			0.90
Total			2.22
Environmental Category:	B - Partial Assessment		
Is this a Repeater project?	No		

2. Project Development Objective(s)

The objective of the Program is to strengthen early warning, resilient investments and financial protection of participating countries.

3. Project Description

Since 1950, the Pacific Island Countries have incurred approximately \$3.2 billion dollars in damage costs as a result of climate change and natural disasters. The Pacific Islands remain one of the most vulnerable regions of the world to climate change. Hence, there is widespread acceptance of the need to strengthen disaster early warning and preparedness, and to mainstream disaster risk and climate change into development planning and financing. Accordingly, a draft Strategy for Climate and Disaster Resilient Development (SRDP) has been prepared through a comprehensive and ongoing engagement process, and involves national and regional stakeholders representing a wide variety of interests across the Pacific Islands region. The PREP will facilitate current Pacific regional efforts which are aimed at ensuring that climate change and disaster risk issues are given prominence in the sustainable development agenda and will directly support the implementation of the SRDP, which in turn, provides a strong framework for the PREP.

The Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat (PIFS) is a political grouping of 16 independent and self-governing states, with a mission through the Framework for Pacific Regionalism of ensuring the effective implementation of the Leaders' decisions for the benefit of the people of the Pacific. PIFS is chair of the Council of Regional Organizations (CROP) and co-chair of the CROP Executive Sub-Committee on Climate Change and Disaster Resilient Development and plays a key coordination role for the SRDP. PIFS engages in, and monitors, the region's efforts to combat climate change and its impacts and has thus been a strong advocate of the region's needs for increased support from the international community to assist in efforts to overcome the challenges posed by climate change.

The PIFS will be responsible for implementing regionally implemented project initiatives under PREP Components 3 (Disaster Risk Financing) and 4 (Regional Program Management and Coordination) as follows:

A. Disaster Risk Financing

This component will provide Technical Assistance and finance the preparatory activities which will be required to better define a medium and long term disaster risk financing framework (e.g., mutual insurance fund), which is envisaged would be financed and implemented in Phase II of the Program. It will also provide technical assistance to assess the country capacity and as needed help prepare them to access to global climate funds, especially the Green Climate Fund.

B. Regional Program Management and Coordination

The objective of this sub-component is to provide efficient regional coordination of the different country Projects and the coordination and implementation of activities that will be executed at the regional level. This will be achieved through the establishment of a Regional Coordination Unit (RCU), which will be established within the PIFS. The RCU will provide overall coordination for the Program, report on the overall Program implementation status to the Regional Steering Committee, provide monitoring of the regional and countries performance indicators, liaise with the main stakeholders on relevant issues related to the Program and provide information and communication on the Program's achievements.

4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)

The PIFS component of PREP will provide technical assistance. There are no relevant salient

physical characteristics as all activities will be administrative. All activities with potential safeguard implications will be nationally implemented under separate Project IDs. While the PIFS will provide technical assistance to the participating countries this does not extend to safeguards management, which will be the responsibility of the individual countries.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

Nicholas John Valentine (GSURR)

Ross James Butler (GSURR)

6. Safeguard Policies	Triggered?	Explanation (Optional)
Environmental Assessment OP/BP 4.01	No	The PIFS component of PREP does not involve any environmental or social interactions. All safeguard issues will be managed by participating countries.
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	
Forests OP/BP 4.36	No	
Pest Management OP 4.09	No	
Physical Cultural Resources OP/BP 4.11	No	
Indigenous Peoples OP/BP 4.10	No	
Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	No	
Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	
Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	No	
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:
The PIFS component of PREP will provide technical assistance only, with no safeguard issues or impacts anticipated. Responsibility for safeguards implementation rests with the participating countries who must comply with the requirements of the program ESMF.
2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:
Indirect environmental or social impacts are not anticipated from the proposed PIFS activities under PREP. Responsibility for safeguards is devolved to the participating countries who will be subject to the requirements of the program ESMF.
3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse

impacts.
N/A
4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.
N/A
5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.
Consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies was undertaken in the individual participating countries. During implementation, PIFs will have a role in the grievance redress mechanism, with grievances able to be elevated to the PIFS Regional Coordination Unit for resolution.

B. Disclosure Requirements

If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level

The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information			
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]
All Safeguard Policies			
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]

III. APPROVALS

Task Team Leader(s):	Name: Denis Jean-Jacques Jordy, Michael Bonte-Graptin	
<i>Approved By</i>		
Practice Manager/ Manager:	Name: Abhas Kumar Jha (PMGR)	Date: 27-May-2015