SFG2047

## FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OF NIGERIA



# Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Water Resources (FMAWR)

## PROJECTS COORDINATING UNIT (PCU) OF THE FEDERAL MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND WATER RESOURCES

PEST MANAGEMENT PLAN (PMP)

## FOR THE FADAMA III PROJECT

## **Final Report**

Eartfiguard Limited Sustainable (Development Consultants Suite 45, (3 Floor), Gorrs Own 'Plaza, 4 Takum Close, Area 11, Calk, E.C.T Abuja, Nigeria 'Bo., 12428, Garki, F.C.T Abuja, Nigeria

Email: eartiwareseginadcom

April, 2007

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATION	NS!
PART 1: BACKGROUND	4
Fadama Agriculture	4
Pest problems in the Fadama	5
Pest management and pesticide use policy	7
PART 2: COMPONENTS OF THE PMP	8
Pest Management Plan	
Objectives	8
Activities and expected results	9
PART 3: IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY	14
Capacity building	14
Institutional arrangements	
Coordination responsibilities	15
Monitoring and evaluation	16
Sustainability of processes and results	
PART 4: WORK PLAN AND BUDGET	17
ANNEXES	
Annex 1: List of banned pesticides	18
Annex 2: Crop and livestock protection products approved for use by NAFD	AC18
Annex 3: The PMP development methodology	20

#### INTRODUCTION

The pest management plan (PMP) of the original project was disclosed on the 4<sup>th</sup> of May, 2007. It was subsequently updated re-disclosed under the Additional Financing of FADAMA III on 5<sup>th</sup> April, 2013 in Nigeria, and on the 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 at the World Bank infoshop respectively. The updated PMP is being re-disclosed now to include the North East Food Security and Livelihood Emergency Support, as part of the required safeguards due diligence. However, the anticipated activities that will be financed by the Bank under this AF are not materially different from those funded by original project, therefore no new safeguards policies are triggered. In addition, the anticipated significant environmental and social adverse impacts are consistent with category B projects (site specific, noncumulative and relatively easy to manage to acceptable levels) and do not exceed the scope of what was envisaged from the original project.

This pest management plan has been prepared as part of the ESMF in the context of the Fadama III project. This is an agricultural and rural development project supported by the World Bank and based on the Community-Driven Development (CDD) approach strategy, explicitly supported by the Country Partnership Strategy (CPS).

This project has been prepared to address poverty in rural communities. The Government's strategy for raising rural productivity and incomes rests on five pillars: (i) increasing crop yields and livestock productivity; (ii) producing higher-value crops and livestock; (iii) reducing crop and non-crop losses and reducing costs of producer inputs; (iv) strengthening the forward and backward linkages in the rural economy that stimulate investment, employment, and incomes in rural non-farm enterprises; and (v) reducing conflict between various land and water resources user groups.

Fadama (a Hausa word) are usually low-lying plains underlined by shallow aquifers and found along Nigeria's major river systems. Such lands are especially suitable for crop irrigation and fishing, and traditionally provide feed resources and water for livestock. Growth potential of this land is enormous, but only very partially developed. The Fadama I project which closed in 1999 and the ongoing Fadama H Project successfully adopted the small-scale irrigation development approach to utilize this potential. The cumulative impact of these earlier successful projects attests to the robustness of the small-scale and community-based approach to fadama development.

Although the ongoing Fadama II project is already recognized as a "good practice example" of a water resources management project by both the Government and the Independent Evaluations Group (1EG) of the World Bank, it is only a drop in the ocean, as the support provided under it meets only a very small portion of the needs of the poor in Nigeria, especially since only 18 of the 37 States of the country are covered.

The proposed Fadama III project will build on these successes and expand the scope of the project to include the remaining 19 States', plus the Fadama II States which have demonstrated

Abia, Anambra, Ebonyi, Enugu, Aka 'born, Bayelsa, Cross River, Delia, Edo, Rivers, Ekiti, Ondo, Osun, Benue, Nasarawa, Yobe, Kano, Sokoto and Zamfara.

successful performance, especially in terms of disbursement and pro-poor impact, as well as support more diversified livelihood activities. The overarching development objective of the Fadama III Project is to sustainably increase the incomes of fadama users (thereby contributing to reduction of poverty, increased food security and achievement of a key MDG).

Activities to be developed namely in the component 2 "Small-scale community-owned infrastructure" will entail investment fund for community-driven sub-projects that include small scale rural infrastructures, infrastructures for sustainable Natural Resources Management including improved conservation of soils and agronomic practices and water harvesting techniques. Other components addresses advisory services and inputs supports; in addition to strengthening capacity of community-based associations for a variety of activities such as participatory planning and implementation, project design, participatory consultations, and micro-project implementation.

Some of these activities related to productive investments could lead to increase agricultural activities which in turn could bring about the use of pesticides (purchase of pesticides or

Spraying equipment) as farmers are finding some ways of improving their harvests. Increase of pesticides uses can be harmful to both the environment and public health. In accordance with the World Bank safeguard policies (OP 4.09 pest management) this pest management plan has been prepared to ensure that future sub projects do not engage in unsafe pest management.

The objective of this policy is to promote the use of biological or environmental control methods and reduce reliance on synthetic chemical pesticides and ensures that health and environmental hazards associated with pesticides are minimized. In Bank-financed agricultural operations, pest populations are normally controlled through Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approaches such as biological control, cultural practices, and the development and use of crop varieties that are resistant or tolerant to the pest.

The Bank may finance the purchase of pesticides when their use is justified under an IPM approach and following some criteria concerning selection of pesticides:

- (a) They must have negligible adverse human health effects.
- **(b)** They must be shown to be effective against the target species.
- (c) They must have minimal effect on non-target species and the natural environment. The methods, timing, and frequency of pesticide application are aimed to minimize damage to natural enemies. Pesticides used in public health programs must be demonstrated to be safe for inhabitants and domestic animals in the treated areas, as well as for personnel applying them.
- (d) Their use must take into account the need to prevent the development of resistance in pests.

One of objectives of the pest management is to assess the capacity of the country's regulatory framework and institutions to promote and support safe, effective, and environmentally sound pest management and to incorporate in the project components to strengthen such capacity.

#### SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **CONTEXT**

This pest management plan (PMP) addresses the third National Fadama Development Project (also called Fadama 111) need to monitor and mitigate negative environmental and social impacts of the project and promote ecosystem management. The PMP provides an information basis for stakeholder groups to establish functional mechanisms enabling fadama farmers to identify, understand and manage pest and vector problems in the further development of fadama agriculture, reduce personal and environmental health risks associated with pesticide use, and protect beneficial biodiversity such as natural enemies of pests and pollinators in the farmers' efforts to increase productivity.

The PMP also raises the need for fadama farmers to understand and respond to the external IPM environment affecting farmers' livelihoods. For example, quarantine pests, alien invasive species and stringent minimum pesticide residue levels limit the potential for farmers to benefit from international trade opportunities. Collaborative linkages between the project and international 1PM groups will help to bring relevant expertise and supporting IPM resources developed

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pests = insects, mites, pathogens/diseases, weeds and other organisms that are detrimental to crop and livestock growth and productivity.

elsewhere to strengthen national and local capacity to address pest problems faced by fadama farmers, develop a national IPM policy to encourage national and local compliance? With international conventions and guidelines on pesticides, and to further develop IPM.

#### RECOMMENDED ACTION

- 1. Diagnose pest problems affecting fadama crop and livestock production as the basis for FCA members to develop a shared vision on priority needs and IPM opportunities.
- 2. Develop the capacity of FCA members (especially the farmers) to understand and manage pest problems through farmer participatory learning approaches with complementary participatory research on feedback issues emanating from farmers' field experiences.
- 3. Introduce and promote microbial pesticides and botanicals as alternatives to harmful pesticide regimes and thereby reduce environmental and personal health risks in fadama agriculture: With partnership inputs from the SP-IPM for sustainable access to microbial pesticides.
- **4.** Establish biodiversity monitoring schemes for early warning on changes in pest and vector status, natural enemy complexes, pollinators, and detect migratory pests and introduction of alien invasive species: With partnership inputs from Nigeria node (at IARIABU) of the West African Network for Taxonomy (WAFRINET) and IITA biodiversity center for identification services.
- 5. Develop/update a national IPM policy including national legislations governing the manufacture, registration, importation, distribution and use of pesticides in order to promote fadama compliance with the World Bank's safeguard Policies, OP 4.09 and BP 4.01, and other international conventions and guidelines on pesticide use: With partnership inputs from FAO Regional Crop Protection Office, FAORAFA in Ghana and the Global IPM Facility for assistance to develop a national IPM policy document and establish a national IPM advisory and oversight committee (multi-stakeholder composition).

#### **BUDGET**

USD 440,000 will be required to effectively implement the proposed activities over five years. The budget is distributed as 49% for capacity building, 25% for advisory services, 15% for environmental management, and 11% for Fadama III management.

#### PART 1:

#### BACKGROUND FADAMA

#### **AGRICULTURE**

In Nigeria, 69 million hectares of the total land area of 193 million hectares have potentials for crop and livestock production in diverse agro-ecologies (Table 1). Approximately 80% of the rural population is engaged in agriculture, and account for about 95% of production, mostly as a major economic activity. The farms are mainly small in sized (0.1 to 6 ha) and farming is largely under rain-fed conditions. A number of Federal government initiatives aim to increase agricultural production and reduce poverty.

These initiatives include the National Special Program on Food Security (NSPFS) being implemented with technical assistance from the FAO. The IFAD's Community-based Agricultural and Rural Development Programme (CBARDP) and Roots and Tubers Expansion Programme (RTEP). Presidential Initiatives covering key arable crops like cassava and rice, as well as livestock, fisheries, and tree crops, and the ongoing Second National Fadama Development Project (Fadama II) financed by the World Bank and the African Development Bank (AfDB).

The proposed Fadama III project scope will be national. It will include, first and foremost, the 19 states which did not benefit from the Fadama II project and the Fadama II States that meet the eligibility criteria. The Project's target group include: (a) the direct and indirect beneficiaries (farmers, pastoralists, fishermen, nomads, traders, processors, hunters and gatherers; (b) the disadvantaged groups (widows, the handicap, the sick and economically inactive—from HIV/AIDS or other diseases and other groups at risk; and (c) service providers, including private operators, professional/semi-professional associations operating in the project zone.

Fadamas are flood plains and low-lying areas underlined by shallow aquifers and found along Nigeria's major river systems. The proposed project targets approximately 16 million household members, organized in approximately 80,000 Fadama User Groups (FUGs) and 37780 Fadama Committee Associations (FCAs) in nineteen States (plus selected Fadama II States that meets the eligibility criteria) distributed across varied ecological zones. The Fadama agriculture is characterized by mixed cropping and livestock production. The major crops are cereals (e.g., maize, rice, wheat and sorghum), vegetables (e.g., onion, garlic, footed pumpkin, cabbage, garden-egg, carrots, lettuce, cucumber, potatoes, pepper and okra), grain legumes (cowpea), tuber crops such as potatoes, mostly under small scale irrigation systems.

In Northern Nigeria, the crops are in mono crops (mostly rice, wheat and vegetables), mixed cropping systems (e.g., maize/sorghum/millet-cowpea, maize/sorghum/millet-groundnut, and maize-cowpea with or without vegetables), double cropping systems (e.g. a mixed crop of maize/millet-cowpea-leafy vegetables as rain fed crops followed by mixed vegetables of onions tomato - peppers as dry season irrigated crops; a second double cropping involves mixed rain fed crops of millet-cowpea with monoculture of onions tomatoes, or pepper in the dry season). In double cropping, the timely planting of successive crops and choice of short duration varieties

are the most important strategies used to avoid conflicts of crop competition.					

Table 1: Major crops cultivated in the agro-ecological zones

Agro-ecological Zone	Participating State	Principal Crops/livestock
Humid Forest (including Coastal Swamp and rain- forest  Savanna (transition) (including Guinea and derived Savanna)  Sudan Savanna	lmo, Lagos, Ogun and Oyo  Kaduna, Niger, Plateau, FCT, Taraba, Kwara and Kogi  Adamawa, Bauchi, Gombe, Borno, Kebbi, Katsina and Jigawa	Floated pumpkin, rice, maize, okra, garden eggs, pepper, tomato, amaranthus; sheep and goat, pigs.  Rice, wheat, maize, sorghum, okra, garden eggs, pepper, tomato, onion, sugarcane, carrot, lettuce, green peas, footed pumpkin, cowpea, soybean; cattle, sheep and goat.
		Tomato, garlic, onion, pepper, wheat, rice, sorghum, sugarcane, cowpea, soybean, cucumber, cabbage, carrot; cattle sheep and goat.

The overarching development objective of the Fadama III Project is to sustainably increase the incomes of fadama users (thereby contributing to reduction of poverty, increased food security and achievement of a key MDG). The PDO is to be achieved through an integrated approach including provision of matching grants to expand and diversify livelihood opportunities as well as the associated training and technical assistance support to empower the small and poor beneficiary fadama user groups with resources and the skills needed to properly use these resources for their own development.

The beneficiaries (farmers, pastoral fists, fishermen, nomads, traders, processors, hunters and gatherers) will be encouraged to organize themselves into economic interest groups, named Fadama User Groups (FUGs), each having, on average, around 25 members. In addition, they will be encouraged to establish Fadama Community Associations (FCAs), which are apex organizations of on average 10 FUGs at the community level. The objective is to reach 5 FCAs per Local Government, or 1900 FCAs in the 380 LGs of the 19 eligible States

At the end of five years, Fadama III expects that 75% of the FCAs would have successfully implemented their respective Local Development Plans (LDPs) and other project supported activities, and that 50% of the target men and women fadama users would have increased their real income by 25% compared to baseline figures. A number of production constraints, e.g., erratic availability of water, pest damage, could challenge the realization of these milestones by the FCAs

#### PEST PROBLEMS IN THE FADAMAS

In 1994, a technical review workshop on the Fadamas summarized that the "lack of data to define the importance of pests and diseases in fadama agriculture has retarded the progress of crop protection". The development situation has not changed much since then. The only comprehensive pest list of fadama agriculture is still the one produced for the Northern Nigeria in 1994 (Table 2).

## Table 2: Crop pest list in fadama fields of Northern Nigeria, 1994

Crop Pest

Vegetables

1. Tomatoes Nematodes

(Meloidogyne spp)

Crickets
Fruit worm
(Ileliothis spp)
Whiteflies

2.Onion Crickets

Thrips

3.Okra Flea beetle (*Podagrica* 

spp) Cotton bollworm

(Dysdercus spp)

Nematodes (Meloidogyne

spp)

#### Cereals

Bunchy top diseases Leaf curl disease Bushy stunt disease Vascular wilt (*Pseudomonas* solanacearum) Fusarium spp Selerotium spp Grey leaf blotch (*Alternaria* alternala) Purple blotch (*Alternaria porri*)

Onion anthracnose (Colletotrichum cingulata) Onion rot (Fusarium oxysporium)

Powdery mildew (Erysiphe cichoracearum) Leaf mosaic Leaf mosaic virus

I. Rice

Nematodes (Aphelenchoides besseyi; Hirshmanniella grazilis; 11. oryza; H. spinicaudata)

Stem borers (Sesamia calamitis; Chilo zacconius; M al i arph a separete I I a)

Blast (Pyricularia oryzae)

Brown leaf spot (Cochliobolus miyabeanus)

Black kernel (*Curvularia* spp)
Foot and root rot (*Drechsera*rostrata; fusarium equiseti; F.
culmorum; F. acuminatum)
Rusts (Stem rust, brown rust and leaf
rust)

Smut: loose smut

#### 2. Wheat Quelea birds (Quelea quelea)

Grasshoppers (Hieroglypus daganensis; Aiolopus similatrix; Oedaleus senegalensis; Namadacris seplemfaciata)

Nematodes (Meloidogyna incognita; Javancia)

Termites (Microtermes lepidus)

Aphids (Rhoplasosiphum maidis; Methapolophium spp; Microsiphum spp)
Stem borer (Sesamia calamistis)

Vegetable and cowpea production is a particular economic agricultural activity in many parts of the Fadamas and good examples where IPM can reduce farmers' dependence on harmful pesticide regimes and increase income earning opportunities. Farmers often respond to pest infestations in these crops by heavy applications of pesticides which threaten environmental quality and pose risks to human and livestock health. Pesticides used in vegetable agroecosystems, for example, include WHO toxicity Class 1 a materials such as parathion, and Class 1b materials such as Furadan/carbofuran. The incautious dependence on chemical pest control options undermines national economic growth through farmers' non-compliance with trade barriers on pesticide residues in export produce. According to EC directive 91/414, for example, approximately 80% of the active ingredients used in Africa will be banned for use in Europe, and 1PM is a fast-emerging trade policy issue.

Earthguards Limited: Sustainable Development Consultants

#### PEST MANAGEMENT AND PESTICIDE USE POLICY

The general pest control objectives in the existing (1988) agricultural policy for Nigeria are to:

- Control, and/or eradicate and maintain good surveillance of the major economic pests whose outbreaks are responsible for large-scale damage/loss to agricultural production.
- Provide protection to man and animals against vectors of deadly diseases.

Towards this end, there are IPM implementation cases amongst the key crops in fadama agriculture. For example, for control of root knot nematodes in tomato and okra, farmers are encourage to integrate resistant crop varieties with seed dressing and compatible crop rotation schemes to prevent build up of the pests. For downy mildew control in maize, farmer training by the Rice/Maize center in Ibadan has promoted the integration of resistant crop varieties with seed dressing (using Apron plus), timely identification, rouging and burning of affected plants and general farm hygiene. Similarly, 1PM recommendations for control of the African Rice Gall Midge include combination of resistant crop varieties with seed dressing, timely planting, pest monitoring to guide pesticide applications.

Generally, however, in the responses to actual and potential pest threats to intensify agriculture, the Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Water Resources (FMAWR) annually purchases and distributes pesticides (through tender) to the States. A number of national institutions play different roles in the pesticide supply, and the Federal Government had issued a number of legislation and institutional framework using four main organizations (FMEH, FMH, NAFDAC and FMLP) for the regulation of the distribution and use of pesticides. The current legislative tools are:

- FEPA Decree 58 of 1988 as amended by Decree 59 of 1992 and 1999 but complemented by rules and regulations such as FEPA S.1.5, FEPA S.1.9 dealing with disposal and distribution/use of pesticides.
- NAFDAC Decree 15 of 1993, as amended by Decree 19 of 1999.
- The Factories Acts 1990 being implemented by the Factories Inspectorate Division of FMLP.
- The Harmful Waste (Special Criminal Provisions etc) Decree 42 of 1988 being implemented by FMEH.
- Based on the approval of the agencies mentioned above, Annexes 1 and 2 lists current banned and approved Pesticides stocks in Nigeria.

FMAWR acts upon request of the Federal Pest Control Services which prepares the lists of pesticide needs for its operation. For example, N100 million was allocated on request in year 2001 through the Ecological Disaster Fund. In addition, the Federal Government purchased and distributed spraying equipment and protective gears. This and similar examples of pest related threats to investment projects, such as the ongoing Fadama II and the proposed Fadama III, highlight the need for robust Pest Management Plans as integral components of project implementation.

#### **PART 2: COMPONENTS OF THE**

#### PMP PEST MANAGEMENT PLAN

This Pest Management Plan (PMP) addresses the Fadama III project; and it is an update on the ongoing Fadama III project. It stresses the need to monitor and mitigate negative environmental and social impacts of the project and promote ecosystem management.

The PMP provides an information basis for stakeholder groups to establish functional mechanisms enabling fadama farmers to identify, understand and manage pest and vector problems in the further development of Fadama agriculture, reduce personal and environmental health risks associated with pesticide use, and protect beneficial biodiversity such as natural enemies of pests and pollinators in the farmers' efforts to increase productivity.

It further raises the need for fadama farmers to understand and respond to the external IPM environment affecting farmers' livelihoods. For example, quarantine pests, alien invasive species and stringent minimum pesticide residue levels limit the potential for farmers to benefit from international trade opportunities. Collaborative linkages between the project and international 1PM groups will help to bring relevant expertise and supporting 1PM resources developed elsewhere to strengthen national and local capacity to address pest problems faced by fadama farmers, develop a national IPM policy to encourage national and local compliance with international conventions and guidelines on pesticides, and to further develop 1PM.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

Table 3 summarizes the PMP matrix of objectives and activities. The PMP will enable Fadama III project to monitor pests and disease vectors and mitigate negative environmental and social impacts associated with pest/vector control in fadama agriculture and promote agro-ecosytem management. The plan provides fadama decision-makers and fadama users groups with clearer guidelines on integrated pest management (1PM) approaches and options to reduce crop and livestock losses with minimal personal and environmental health risks. Overall, the PMP will empower crop and livestock farmer groups to contribute significantly to household and national economies.

The specific objectives of the PMP are to:

- Assist fadama users to plan and design location specific IPM activities.
- Promote participatory approaches in IPM for farmers to learn, test, select and implement "best-bet" IPM options to reduce losses due to arthropod pests, diseases and weeds.
- Promote biodiversity monitoring to serve as early warning systems on pest status, alien

invasive species, beneficial species, and migratory pests.

- Establish linkages to develop a national IPM policy to promote IPM and compliance with international conventions and guidelines on pesticide use in fadama agriculture.
- Monitor and evaluate the benefits of IPM including its impact on food security, 'the environment and health.

### **ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS**

Table 4 outlines the matrix of activities, expected results, milestones and performance indicators of the PMP.

Table 3: Planning matrix for the Pest Management Plan 'of the Fadama 111 Protect

Narrative summary	Expected results	Performance indicators	Assumptions/risk
Goal: Empower crop and livestock farmers to contribute significantly to household and national economies through environmentally friendly pest management practices.	Food security enhanced, environmental quality improved, crop and livestock productivity and farmers' income increased	Evidence of improvements in food availability, level of poverty, and environmental protection in FCAs.  continue to support food security	<ul> <li>National security remains</li> <li>Government policies programme</li> </ul>
Purpose  1. In the immediate future, halt reverse losses cause by pests in order to increase profitability of Fadama agriculture.	Medium-term results/outcomes     Farmers in FCAs prioritize their pest identify IPM opportunities to mitigate negative environmental and social impacts associated with pesticides.	<ul> <li>Availability of sufficient food.</li> <li>Perception of state agencies regarding value of IPM in fadama agriculture.</li> <li>Level of compliance with World Bank</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>tc.</li> <li>Level of compliance with World Bank etc.</li> </ul>	
2. In the longer term, strengthen national and local capacity	• Farmers in FCAs adopt ecologically sound options to reduce crop and livestock losses with minimal.	Level of chemical control practices	

reduce environmental and health risks associated with pest management practices in the Fadamas.	personal and environmental health risks.  • Fadama decision makers provided with clearer guidelines enabling then to promote 1PM approaches and options in fadama agriculture	■Types and level of use of alternatives to synthetic pesticides	
	Collaborate linkages established to develop a national <b>IPM</b> policy to promote compliance with international conventions and guidelines on pesticide use		

Table 4: Components activities and expected results of the PMP

Activities Expected results Milestones Performance indicators	Assumptions/ri
---	----------------

- 1. Record stakeholders' overviews on crop and livestock pests.
- 2. Conduct field diagnosis to specifypests' Thai culture to undermine Fadama agriculture.
- 3. Identify farmers' coping mechanisms and researcher recommended IPM options against the pests.
- 4. Develop and explain historical profile of pesticide use and other pest control practices in the Fadamas.
- 5. Specify partnership opportunities at local, national and international levels to assist in the implementation of the PMP

Result 1: Members of FCAs and other relevant stakeholder groups develop common understanding of key pest problems and agree on corrective action

- Pest problems diagnosed and related IPM opportunities identified
- Potential constraints farmers may face in the use of the technologies specified
- Pest lists including quarantine pests and alien invasive species developed.
- Potential for improving existing pest control practices assessed
- Pest monitoring schemes for early warning on alien invasive species and migratory pests are organized and functional
- Action plan for location-specific 1PM activities developed
- PMP implementation mechanism developed by each cluster of 10 neighboring FCAs

- Type and nature of participatory methods for problem analysis
- Documented information on the status of pests and natural enemies of pest and pollinators in fadama agriculture.
- Inventory of alien invasive species and quarantine pests
- Types and availability of natural enemies for use in biological control of named pest
- Types and availability of microbial pesticides and botanical pesticides to replace chemical pesticides
- Type and number of crop rotation schemes to reduce build up of named pest species
- Type of composting and mulching as alternatives to mineral fertilizers
- List of principal actors and of partners

Social, economic and political situation remain stable

## Table 4 (contd.): Components activities and expected results of the PMP

Activities Expected results	Milestones	Performance	Assumptions/risk
-----------------------------	------------	-------------	------------------

- 1. Develop participatory learning modules (PLM) in line with farmers identified training needs
- 2. Conduct short to medium term training of farmer support groups on skills relevant to the PLMs
- 3. Organize international study visits on specialized IPM skills of relevance to the PLMs
- 4. Intensify training of men and women farmers in 1PM knowledge and skills.
- 5. Promote farmer-led extension to increase secondary adoption of proven IPM options.
- 6. Strengthen researcherfarmer- extension linkages through participatory research on issues emerging from farmer training
- 7. Develop/disseminate IPM decision-support information resources for field agents, farmers, policy makers, and the general public

Result 2: Human resource capacity for IPM delivery and implementation developed.

In partnership with Nigeria/FAO project TCP/NIR/2903 (I) on sustainable legumes and cereal production through Integrated production and pest integrated management for synergy of efforts in participatory learning approaches, and with the CGIAR System wide Program on IPM (SP-IPM) for supporting IPM resources.

- One IPM orientation workshop per group of 50 FCAs organized in yr 1
- PLM for crop/livestock and pest management practices developed and adapted to suit local needs
- 3 sets of 2 week training of trainers courses for 90 extension agents (30 per of 3 sub-regions) completed
- At least 9000 farmers trained through participatory/experiential learning at 300 sites (clusters of 10 FCAs per site)
- At least 3 sets of study visits organized for at most 12 technical support staff
- Farmers accurately relate pests to respective damage symptoms; recognize natural enemies/biological control agents against the pests; test a range of IPM options and select "bestbet" options to implement and adopt.
- At least 30% of trained farmers undertake participatory extension; and at least 50% farmers adopt new IPM options in targeted crop or livestock
- At least 70% of information materials developed is disseminated and used by extension agents and farmers.
- Significant reduction in pest damage by at least 30% of baseline data in target crop/livestock

- Type and number of PLMs developed
- Type of IPM skills covered in study visits by agric staff
- Number of farmers' learning groups implemented
- Gender and number of extension agents and of farmers trained.
- Gender and number of trained farmers engaged in participatory extension
- Extent to which new knowledge/skills are used by extension agents & farmers to promote adoption of IPM options
- Number & type of IPM information materials developed/disseminated
- Number and type of new 1PM options introduced and adopted.
- Gender and number of farmers adopting IPM technologies.
- Area of crops under IPM Incremental benefits due to pest control
- Type and number of userfriendly taxonomic keys for pest and natural enemy recognition by farmers

Farmers adopt and apply new improved technologies.

Fadama users and their service providers comply with international conventions guiding pesticide use and MRLs in trade

Critical mass of staff trained remain within the fadama communities Table 4 (contd.): Components activities and expected results of the PMP

Activities Expected results	Milestones	Performance indicators	Assumptions/ri
-----------------------------	------------	------------------------	----------------

- 1. Test and promote botanical alternatives to synthetic pesticides.
- 2. Test and promote microbial alternatives to synthetic pesticides
- 3. Develop/update a national IPM policy including legislation to govern the manufacture, importation, distribution and use of pesticides
- 4. Establish a national IPM advisory and oversight committee to guide national and local compliance with World Bank safeguard Policies, OP 4.09 and BP
- 4.01 and other international conventions concerning pesticide use
- 5. Sensitize the population on IPM issues and activities through formal and informal educational channels and public awareness campaigns

Result 3: Harmful pesticide regimes replaced by environmentally friendly alternatives

*In partnership with the:* 

- /. SP-IPM for sustainable access to microbial pesticides.
- 2. FAO Regional Crop Protection Office, FAORAFA in Ghana and the Global IPM Facility for assistance to develop a national IPM policy document and establish a national IPM advisory and oversight committee (multi- stakeholder composition).
- 3. Nigeria node (at IARIABU) of the West African Network for Taxonomy (WAFRINET) and IITA biodiversity center for identification services.

- Local commercial enterprises initiated and/or strengthened to produce and/or market botanical pesticides
- At least one botanical pesticide widely used in place of chemical pesticides
- At least one microbial pesticide registered and widely used in place of chemical pesticides
- Surveillance systems to protect fadama agriculture from banned/harmful pesticide regimes is fully operational
- Existing pesticide regulations are fully enforced
- A multi-stakeholder National IPM advisory and oversight committee established to guide compliance with international conventions and guidelines on pesticide use, and promote the 1PM development.
- Radio and other public campaigns on impact of pesticides in agriculture, environment and health conducted through radio and TV spots, mass field days, rural market days, information workshops, and focus groups discussions campaign

- Level of reduction in chemical pesticide use; type and number of pesticides replaced by botanical or microbial pesticides
- Number of commercial enterprises engaged in the production of botanical pesticides; and quality of the p<sup>r</sup>oducts
- Volume of sale of microbial and botanical pesticides
- Level of compliance with World Bank safeguard policies by fadama farmers and pesticide dealers/service providers
- Effectiveness of the 1PM advisory and oversight committee
- Number of pest surveillance groups and pesticide law enforcement mechanisms
- Effectiveness of public awareness of

Government
and
development
partners
remain
committed to
international
conventions
and guidelines
on safe
pesticide use
Critical mass of
staff trained
remain within

the fadama

communities

#### **PART 3: IMPLEMENTATION STATEGY**

#### **CAPACITY BUILDING**

The success of IPM depends largely on developing and sustaining institutional and human capacity to facilitate informed decision making by farmers, and empower farmers to integrate scientific and traditional knowledge to solve location-specific problems, and respond to market opportunities. Poor communication between farmers, extension agents and researchers has often led to poorly-targeted research or to poor adoption of promising options generated by research. The full benefits of investments in agricultural research thereby remain untapped under these circumstances. Farmer participatory research (FPR) and participatory learning (PL) approaches in capacity building efforts help to bridge this gap and make research results more understandable and useful by farmers. This is particularly the case in knowledge intensive disciplines such as IPM.

In IPM, there is the need for farmers to accurately identify and diagnose pests and pest problems, understand trophic relationships that underpin biological control opportunities, and use such knowledge to guide pesticide and other kinds of interventions. Through the participatory approaches Fadama III will build local capacity to ensure rapid spread and adoption of ecologically sound and environmentally friendly management practices in fadama communities. The farmers will learn biological and ecological processes underpinning IPM options, and use the newly acquired knowledge to choose compatible methods to reduce losses in production and post-harvest storage.

A foundation element of the capacity building exercise is diagnosis of pest problem and IPM opportunities to provide baseline information that will enable FCA stakeholder groups to develop a shared vision on felt needs and IPM strategies. Through informal interviews, field visits, and planning meetings, fadama stakeholder groups will develop joint understanding of the key issues affecting production and develop a common IPM plan based on agreed concerns.

The PMP implementation will be anchored at FCA level with field action by farmer groups which will receive training and advisory services from SFCOs, appropriate NGOs, and community leaders who would have graduated from Training of Trainers (ToT) sessions. Training at all levels will be based on participatory learning modules for capacity building in IPM information delivery. The participants will be equipped with skills in facilitation, group dynamics, non-formal education methods to encourage adult learning. Farmer training will focus on farmers' group learning for informed decision making on IPM issues. Group learning will be experiential through farmer-led field trials and discussions on practical aspects of crop and livestock production and pest management including indigenous knowledge/technologies. Farmer group learning will be facilitated by ToT trained men and women extension agents.

Group decision making will be achieved through AgroEcosystem Analysis (AESA) involving a comparison of IPM practices with normal farmer practices. At each AESA, farmers observe, record and monitor changes in soil, crop/livestock and trophic relationships affecting crop/livestock growth. Farmers analyse and discuss their findings and recommend corrective action based on the results of their own analyses. Group learning helps to increase scientific

literacy, ownership of biological and ecological information and knowledge, and informed decisions making habits in the communities. For participatory extension, the FCA will establish new farmer learning groups in the community. Also trained farmers will be expected to promote secondary adoption of proven options. For example, each farmer trained will train at least 10 new farmers through demonstrations and farm visits. Additionally the farmers will organize field days to train other farmers and explain new/improved IPM practices they have learnt. Field day participants will include representatives of national and local policy makers from government, development agencies, NGOs, rural and national press media, researcher institutes, and national extension services.

#### INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

Annual work plan will be developed in consultation with the FCA in line with their respective local action plans to indicate institutions and networks that will be required to provide research and development support. The principal actors will include a number of local institutions directly involved in implementing the PMP while other agencies (partners) will include international and national institutions to provide technical and other support for implementation of the plan.

**Table 5: Actors and partners** 

#### Actors Partners

The actors will collaborate with Federal Pest Control Services (FPCS) and National Fadama Coordination Office (NFCO) of the Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Water Resources (FMAWR) to:

- Contribute field staff to be trained as IPM Trainers.
- Organize its members into farmer groups for training and promotion of 1PM practices.
- Facilitate extension and farmer training
- Prepare and produce field guides and other relevant 1PM information materials
- Provide policy guidance/oversight for implementation of the PMP
- Monitor, supervise and coordinate IPM activities
- Document user compliance on pesticide use

Examples of actors:

- I. FPCS
- 2. NFCO
- 3. Research Institutes and Universities
- 4. Federal Ministry of Health (MH)
- 5. National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control (NAFDAC)
- 6 Fadama Community Association (as the principal beneficiaries)
- 7. State Fadama Coordination Offices
- 8. Federal Ministry of Health (for disease vector control)
- 9. Federal Ministry of Environment and Housing Development (for environmental management)

10. NAERLS (for materials production)

The partners will be IPM experts who:

- Serve as technical reviewers for subprojects submitted by FCAs for funding under Fadama III.
- Provide technical support in pest and natural enemy identification
- Assist to organize study tours and networking with international IPM groups.
- Provide expertise in planning, training and field implementation of IPM

#### Examples of partners:

- I. The CGIAR System wide Program on Integrated Pest Management (SP-IPM) which is dedicated to breaking isolation barriers to the full realization of IPM research results
- 2. The Global IPM Facility which assists interested Governments and NGOs to initiate, develop and expand IPM programmes mostly through farmer field school training.
- 3. Research institutes/universities (e.g., IARJABU for research support ) and thematic networks (e.g., the Nigeria node of the West Africa Network for Taxonomy, (WAFRINET-Nigeria, supported by IITA biodiversity center for pest and natural enemy identification and assessment)
- 4. NGOs

#### **COORDINATION RESPONSIBILITIES**

<u>NFCO responsibilities</u> are to standardize training needs assessment across sites; and organize national workshops to develop participatory learning modules.

SFCO responsibilities are to liaise with FCAs to plan training implementation; provide technical support such as in preparing and delivering specific training materials, and evaluating resource materials; identify and select suitable local training resource persons and materials; and prepare training progress reports.

The Local Fadama Desk (LFD) will collaborate with FCA to identify and organize farmers groups for training; prepare, organize and supervise training implementation plan; verify reports of persisting pest problems and farmers training needs; monitor performance of farmer trainers and post-training assignments; and prepare training progress reports.

#### MONITORING AND EVALUATION

The following indicators will be incorporated into a participatory monitoring and evaluation plan.

Capacity to inform: Types and number of participatory learning modules (PLM) delivered; category and number of extension agents and farmers trained and reached with each PLM; category and number of participants reached beyond baseline figures; practical skills/techniques most frequently demanded by extension agents and farmers; and crop/livestock management practices preferred by farmers.

Capacity to motivate: Category and number of farmers who correctly apply the skills they had learnt; new management practices adopted most by farmers; category and number of other farmers trained by project trained farmers; types of farmer-innovations implemented; level of pest damage and losses; rate of adoption of IPM practices; impact of the adoption of IPM on production performance of Fadama farmers.

Major benefits: Increase in crop/livestock production; increase in farm revenue; social benefits: e.g., improvement in the health status of farmers; level of reduction of pesticide purchase and use; and number of FCA families using preventive mechanisms against diseases.

#### **SUSTAINABILITY OF PROCESSES AND RESULTS**

Short-term technical study visits (to other West African countries with proven experience in IPM development and implementation) for hands-on laboratory and field training, and farmer participatory learning will help to create favorable conditions for continuity of IPM processes and results. The tour will involve both the regulatory authorities (e.g., FPCS and PCU) and members of the FCAs. Scientific information, adapted into user-friendly format will strengthen training and extension delivery, and increase IPM literacy in fadama communities. Strategic alliances with international 1PM groups will strengthen national capacities to integrate new IPM options in crop and livestock production. Farmer-educational activities will be central to the exit strategy which will feature increased roles and responsibilities of committed national and local communities to take primary responsibilities in the development of action plans and expertise exchange for IPM development and promotion.

To support these gains, Fadama III will seek the assistance of FAO Regional Crop Protection office, FAORAFA Accra and the Global IPM Facility to develop/update a national IPM policy including national legislations governing the manufacture, importation, distribution and use<sup>r</sup> of banned pesticides. Additionally a national IPM advisory and oversight committee (multistakeholder composition) will be established to promote national and local compliance with international conventions and guidelines on pesticides, and encourage the further development of IPM.

### PART 4: WORKPLAN AND BUDGET

Annual work plan will be develop d in consultation with the INCA in line with their respective LDP. Approximately US\$ 440,000 will be required to effectively implement the PMP over a five-year period (Table 5).

Table 5 Bud	g e	t s	u m	m a ı	· <b>y</b>	
Line item	Yr. 1	Yr. 2	Yr. 3	Yr 4	Yr5	Total
1. Capacity building						
1PM orientation workshop	30000	15000	0	0	0	45000
Training of Trainers	45000	0	0	0	0	45000
Farmer group training	24000	24000	24000	24000	0	96000
Study visits	15000	10000	5000	0	0	30000
Sub-total	11400 0	49000	29000	24000	0	216000
2. Advisory services						
1PM problem diagnosis	16000	10000	10000	0	0	36000
Field guides/IPM materials	3000	15000	12000	0	0	30000
Public awareness/sensitization	4000	8000	8000	4000	3000	27000
Pest/vector surveillance	5000	5000	3000	3000	2000	18000
Sub-total	28001	38000	33000	7000	5000	111000
3. Environmental	,					
Equipment; bed nets; chemicals, neem	5000	10000	5000	5000	0	25000
Support to 1PM research and	10000	15000	10000	6000	3000	44000
Sub-total	15000	25000	15000	11000	3000	69000
4. Project management						
PMP coordination	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	10000
Monitoring and evaluation	7000	7000	13000	9000		36000
Sub-total	9000	9000	15000	11000	2000	46000
Grand total	16400 0	11900 0	89000	48000	20000	440000

#### **Annex 1: List of banned pesticides**

- 1. A idrin 2. Chlordane 3. DDT (Dichlochphenye trichloroethane) 4. Dicldrin5. Endrin
- 6. Heptachlor 7. Toxaphene 8. Chlordimeform9. Mercury Compounds 10. Luidane
- 11. Parathion 12. Methyl Marathion 13. Methyl bromide 14. Ilexachlorobenzene

Annex 2: List of crop and livestock protection products approved for use by NAFDAC

<u>a ) I</u>	n s	e	<u>c t</u>	c	i	d	c	S	
Organochlorines insecticides	0 rga n insect	oph ospl icides	iorus	Ca	rbama	ates		Pyret	throids
1.Endosulfan 2.Helptachlor 3.Lindane (Restricted to use on Cocoa only)	Organorus I. Diazin 2.Dichlo (DDVP) 3.Chlorus 4.Chlorus Methyl 5.Dicro 6.Dimet 7.Monorus 8.Perimus Methyl 10. Ethi 11. Rugb (Caduso 12. Mala 13. Tureguard (Temeph ) 14. Isazo 15. Parat Methyl 16. Puredon 17. Methyl 17. Methyl 18. Puredon 19. Methyl 19. Puredon 19. Puredon 19. Puredon 19. Puredon 19. Methyl 19. Puredon 19. Pured	on orvos  pyrifos pyrifos - tophos choate crotoph iphos - iphos - iphos - on oy ofas) athion de os chospha	2. n 3. 4. n 5. rl 6. (A	Propox Carbo Furath	fura aur sulfa ioca			3. Delta 4. Pheno 5. Perm	nin rmethrin omethrin othrin ethrin omethrin othrin

h	١ш	OPh		ONA	tiina	
.,	,	CI 1)	11 II I <del>I S</del>	MIIII		icides

Organophosphorus	Carba mates	Other herbicides	Fungicides	
0 1 2011 0 1011 0 1011 0 1011	0 112 10 11 222111 110	0 12202 22020		

Organophosphorus	1.	1. Dimethachlor	1. Benomyl
1. Anilofos	Asulam	2. Metazachlor	(Nitroheterocy
2. Piperophos		3. Monosodium	clic
3. Glyphosate		Methyl Arsonate	Compound)
4. Glyphosate		(MSMA)	2. Dazomet
Trimesium		4. Fluxixpyr	(Thiadiazine
(Touchdown or		5. Imazaquine	Fungicide)
Sulfosate)		6. Triassulfuran	3. Folpet
5. Amideherbici		(Amber)	(Phthalimide
des (Acetochior;		7. Osethoxydim	Fungicide)
Alachlor;		8. Oxadiazon	4. Metalaxyl
Propanil;		(Ronster)	(Acylalamine
Butachlor;		9. Clomaone	Fungcide)
Metalochlor)		10. Trifluralin	5. Cyproconazole
<b>Triazines and</b>		11. Stamp	(Alto - 100SL)
Triazoles (Atrazine;		500	6. <b>Bavistin</b>
Ametryn; Desmetryn;		(pendimetha	(Carbon) -
Terbuthalazine;		lin)	Benzimide
Terbutrex		12. Fluazifop -	7. Triadmenol
Ford and I livit I Contain II Down I would Consult to			

Earthguards Limited: Sustainable Development Consultants

18

diguart