INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATASHEET APPRAISAL STAGE

I. Basic Information

Date prepared/updated: 06/04/2008

Report No.: AC1786

Yes []

No [X]

1. Basic Project Data Country: Brazil Project ID: P091827 Project Name: Sustainable Cerrado Initiative Task Team Leader: Garo J. Batmanian GEF Focal Area: Biodiversity Global Supplemental ID: Estimated Appraisal Date: March 25, 2008 Estimated Board Date: November 6, 2008 Lending Instrument: Specific Investment Managing Unit: LCSEN Loan Sector: General agriculture, fishing and forestry sector (100%) Theme: Biodiversity (P);Environmental policies and institutions (P);Land administration and management (P); Other environment and natural resources management (S) IBRD Amount (US\$m.): 0.00 IDA Amount (US\$m.): 0.00 GEF Amount (US\$m.): 17.86 PCF Amount (US\$m.): 0.00 Other financing amounts by source: **BORROWER/RECIPIENT** 36.00 36.00 Environmental Category: B - Partial Assessment Simplified Processing Simple [] Repeater [] Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery)

2. Project Objectives

The Initiatives development object is to promote the increase of biodiversity conservation and improve environmental and natural resource management of the Cerrado Biome, through support for appropriate policies and practices. During the six-year implementation period, the Initiative aims at achieving two specific outcomes:

- Cerrado conservation policy framework fully developed and, at least 4 policy components adopted and contributing to biodiversity conservation in more than 20 percent of the Cerrado biome.

or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)

- Biodiversity conservation increased in, at least, 4 priority regions of the Cerrado biome.

The GEF Sustainable Cerrado Initiative would contribute to the underpinning of the sustainability of system of protected areas systems (SP1) and to integration biodiversity conservation into production systems (SP2) as expressed in the following results:

- Increase Brazils concrete improvement in management effectiveness of its protected area systems;

- Increase the percentage of supported protected areas effectively protected.

- Increase number of replications of pilot activities reported and verified applying incentive measures and instruments;

- Increase number of sector policies and plans that incorporated biodiversity aspects;

- Increase production systems that contribute to biodiversity conservation or the sustainable use of its components.

3. Project Description

In view of the biomes expanse, large number of stakeholders, the already high loss of habitat, and that the long-term policy framework for its conservation is not fully developed yet, the Initiative has adopted an innovative design to achieve the necessary degree of flexibility, decentralization and biome-wide coordination while supporting immediate actions where needed.

The Sustainable Cerrado Initiative has an overall set of goals presented in the Results Framework. These set of goals will be achieved through a decentralized approach of 4 to 6 sub-projects contracted directly with different executing agencies. Each sub-project must necessarily contribute significantly to, at least, few of those overall goals. The entire set of sub-projects should synergistically achieve the overall goals set forth for the Initiative. The sub-projects would be developed by sub-national and federal governments with participation of the civil society.

The GEF Sustainable Cerrado Initiative has four components which closely follow key thematic and cross-cutting activities proposed under the National Sustainable Cerrado Program and consistent with GEF Strategic Objectives:

Component 1: Conservation of the Cerrado Biodiversity (total amount US\$ 15,5 million; US\$ 5 million from GEF) - aims at increasing biodiversity conservation in the Cerrado region by: strengthening the mosaic of legally protected areas (PAs) of unique biodiversity; development of pilot financial sustainability mechanisms for these PAs, and the development and implementation of activities for the protection and recovery of key threatened species.

Component 2: Sustainable Use of the Cerrados Natural Resources (total amount US\$ 15 million; US\$ 5 million from GEF) - aims at mainstreaming the use of agrobiodiversity in the Cerrado and the management of the rural production landscape, so as to improve the use of available resources and biodiversity conservation, and to reduce environmental impacts.

Component 3: Institutional Strengthening and Formulation of New Public Policies (total amount US\$ 7 million; US\$ 2 million from GEF) - aims to formulate new public policies for the conservation and sustainable use of the Cerrado; to strengthen the government agencies (at the federal, state and municipal levels) to manage natural resources; to select and use the best available tools, and to access up-to-date knowledge. It also intends to enable the private sector, civil society organizations and local communities to actively participate in the management of the environment and in the formulation of new public policies related to the conservation and sustainable use of the Cerrados natural resources.

Component 4: Coordination of the National Sustainable Cerrado Initiative and Monitoring of the Biome (total amount US\$ 1,5 million; US\$ 1 million from GEF) - aims to ensure the effective and efficient implementation of this GEF Sustainable Cerrado Initiative. It also intends to support the implementation of a publicly accessible database, containing up-to-date, geo-referenced, social and environmentally relevant information on the Cerrado biome.

Implementation mechanism. The sub-projects proposals will be negotiated directly with the Bank, after the endorsement and following the strategic implementation guidelines and recommendations from the Initiative Committee. The final package will be submitted for GEFs CEO endorsement before the contract is signed between the Bank and the executing agency. The MMA and the Bank will ensure that the final set of sub-projects collectively address the targets set forth in the results framework.

The sub-project should be between two and three years long, so as to provide sufficient flexibility to accommodate possible new demands during the full six-year Initiative implementation period. As a large-scale and multi-stakeholder venture, it is also expected that some of the Sub-project proposals will require one or even two years to be prepared, according to their proponents capacity.

The proposed Goias sub-project is already developed under the proposed procedure and is summary is being presented as sample sub-project together with this proposed Initiative. The MMA subproject is also prepared. These two total to approximately US\$ 5,6 M of GEF funds. These two projects have developed their own environmental assessments following Bank's policy requirements. Other executing agencies have already shown interest in presenting proposal, and its expected that by the time this Initiative goes to Board, new sub-projects would be under preparation.

4. Project Location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis

The overall Initiative would contribute to the conservation of the Cerrado biome. The Brazil biome covers some areas of the States of Maranhao, Piaui, Bahia, Tocantins, Goias, Minas Gerais, Sao Paulo, Parana, Mato Grosso and Mato Grosso do Sul, and the Federal District. The sub-projects would possibly implement activities in some of these states and the MMA would monitor the overall biome.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

Mr Garo J. Batmanian (LCSEN) Ms Maria-Valeria Pena (LCSEN)

6. Safeguard Policies Triggered	Yes	No
Environmental Assessment (OP/BP 4.01)	Х	
Natural Habitats (OP/BP 4.04)	Х	
Forests (OP/BP 4.36)	Х	
Pest Management (OP 4.09)	Х	
Physical Cultural Resources (OP/BP 4.11)	Х	
Indigenous Peoples (OP/BP 4.10)	Х	
Involuntary Resettlement (OP/BP 4.12)	Х	
Safety of Dams (OP/BP 4.37)		Х
Projects on International Waterways (OP/BP 7.50)		Х
Projects in Disputed Areas (OP/BP 7.60)		Х

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts: Preliminary analysis, based on the components of the Initiative and the typical social and environmental situation in the Cerrado, indicates that several safeguards policies could be triggered by each sub-project. The list below indicates those safeguards that might be triggered for the entire Initiative (e.g. whole set of sub-projects).

The Initiative has been considered a category B project, where one or more safeguard policies could be triggered, but effects are limited in their impact and are technically and institutionally manageable (S2).

For the design of this Initiative, it was developed a safeguard framework with all safeguards that could possibly be triggered by individual sub-projects. However, when triggered, the safeguards would be analyzed following the frameworks defined in annex 10 of this PAD for each sub-project, which would carry its own safeguard policies assessments.

In the case of an agency not being familiar with the safeguards policies, specific training process would take place. Additionally, the safeguards framework would need to be followed and related studies, plans or mitigation procedures would take place with support from MMA and close assistance/supervision from the Bank.

2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:

Given the nature of the proposed project's investments no long term social or environmental negative indirect impacts are expected to occur.

3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.

n/a.

4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described. An environmental assessment and social frameworks were prepared by the Borrower for the initiative. The assessment and frameworks were reviewed by the Bank and considered satisfactory. Each sub-project will carry out its specific safeguard assessment wich will comply with the overall Initiative safeguard framework.

5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people. The Initiative was prepared in consultation with the Sustainable Cerrado Program National Committee (CONACER), wich convenes government, academia and civil society representatives. The environmental assessment was disclosed to the general public at CONACER's website

(http://www.mma.gov.br/index.php?ido=conteudo.monta&idEstrutura=54&idMenu=490 0&idConteudo=5461)in April 2007.

Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/O	thom		
Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	Yes		
Date of receipt by the Bank	01/10/2007		
1 1	04/02/2007		
Date of "in-country" disclosure			
Date of submission to InfoShop	02/12/2008		
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executiv	e		
Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors			
Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process:			
Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	Yes		
Date of receipt by the Bank	01/10/2007		
Date of "in-country" disclosure	12/17/2007		
Date of submission to InfoShop	04/29/2008		
Indigenous Peoples Plan/Planning Framework:			
Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	Yes		
Date of receipt by the Bank	01/10/2007		
Date of "in-country" disclosure	12/17/2007		
Date of submission to InfoShop	04/29/2008		
Pest Management Plan:			
Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	Yes		
Date of receipt by the Bank	01/10/2007		
Date of "in-country" disclosure	12/17/2007		
Date of submission to InfoShop	02/12/2008		
* If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources,			
the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental			
A gagage out / A welt / on EMD	=		

B. Disclosure Requirements Date

Assessment/Audit/or EMP.

If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:

The documents related to the Initiative will be disclosured as usual. The subprojects will also have their documents disclosed in accordance with Bank practices.

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level (to be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision meeting)

OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment	
Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	Yes
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit or Sector Manager (SM)	Yes
review and approve the EA report?	
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the	Yes
credit/loan?	
OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats	
Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of	No
critical natural habitats?	
If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of other	N/A
(non-critical) natural habitats, does the project include mitigation measures	
acceptable to the Bank?	
OP 4.09 - Pest Management	
Does the EA adequately address the pest management issues?	Yes
Is a separate PMP required?	Yes
If yes, has the PMP been reviewed and approved by a safeguards specialist or	Yes
SM? Are PMP requirements included in project design? If yes, does the	
project team include a Pest Management Specialist?	
OP/BP 4.11 - Physical Cultural Resources	
Does the EA include adequate measures related to cultural property?	Yes
Does the credit/loan incorporate mechanisms to mitigate the potential	N/A
adverse impacts on cultural property?	
OP/BP 4.10 - Indigenous Peoples	
Has a separate Indigenous Peoples Plan/Planning Framework (as	Yes
appropriate) been prepared in consultation with affected Indigenous Peoples?	
If yes, then did the Regional unit responsible for safeguards or Sector	Yes
Manager review the plan?	
If the whole project is designed to benefit IP, has the design been reviewed	N/A
and approved by the Regional Social Development Unit or Sector Manager?	
OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement	
Has a resettlement plan/abbreviated plan/policy framework/process	Yes
framework (as appropriate) been prepared?	
If yes, then did the Regional unit responsible for safeguards or Sector	Yes
Manager review the plan?	
OP/BP 4.36 - Forests	
Has the sector-wide analysis of policy and institutional issues and constraints	N/A
been carried out?	

Does the project design include satisfactory measures to overcome these constraints?	N/A
Does the project finance commercial harvesting, and if so, does it include provisions for certification system?	N/A
The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information	
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes
All Safeguard Policies	
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	N/A
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	N/A

D. Approvals

Signed and submitted by:	Name	Date		
Task Team Leader:	Mr Garo J. Batmanian	04/29/2008		
Environmental Specialist:	Mr Garo J. Batmanian	04/29/2008		
Social Development Specialist Additional Environmental and/or Social Development Specialist(s):	Ms Maria Jose Vilas Boas Per Weiss	04/29/2008		
Approved by:				
Regional Safeguards Coordinator: Comments:	Mr Reidar Kvam			
Sector Manager:	Ms Laura E. Tlaiye	06/04/2008		
Comments: I confirm that the framework for potential env and social impacts is satisfatory.				