

INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET ADDITIONAL FINANCING

Report No.: ISDSA12482

Date ISDS Prepared/Updated: 04-May-2015

Date ISDS Approved/Disclosed: 19-May-2015

I. BASIC INFORMATION

1. Basic Project Data

Country:	Liberia	Project ID:	P153124
		Parent Project ID:	P133445
Project Name:	Liberia: LACEEP Additional Financing (P153124)		
Parent Project Name:	Liberia Accelerated Electricity Expansion Project (LACEEP) (P133445)		
Task Team Leader(s):	Clemencia Torres De Mastle		
Estimated Appraisal Date:	23-Mar-2015	Estimated Board Date:	15-Jun-2015
Managing Unit:	GEEDR	Lending Instrument:	Investment Project Financing
Sector(s):	Transmission and Distribution of Electricity (80%), General energy sector (20%)		
Theme(s):	Managing for development results (30%), Infrastructure services for private sector development (10%), City-wide Infrastructure and Service Delivery (60%)		
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?			No
Financing (In USD Million)			
Total Project Cost:	60.00	Total Bank Financing:	60.00
Financing Gap:	0.00		
Financing Source			Amount
BORROWER/RECIPIENT			0.00
International Development Association (IDA)			60.00
Total			60.00
Environmental Category:	B - Partial Assessment		
Is this a Repeater project?	No		

2. Project Development Objective(s)

A. Original Project Development Objectives – Parent

The project development objectives are to increase access to electricity and strengthen institutional capacity in the electricity sector.

B. Proposed Project Development Objectives – Additional Financing (AF)

3. Project Description

Key Challenges: To support the GoL's ambitious goals for an accelerated expansion of electricity services in Liberia until 2030. The proposed project focuses on three of the most pressing issues that need to be addressed for the electricity sector in Liberia to be able to embark on a sustainable development path. The first aspect is the expansion of the transmission and distribution grid that today is limited in Monrovia and practically nonexistent in the rest of the country, in order to provide access to electricity services. The second issue is the importance of creating the conditions that will facilitate the shift from diesel to HFO-based thermal generation for the country to effectively benefit from the price differential of the two fuels on the international market. Finally, the third aspect is the strengthening of the institutional capacity within the government to lead the development of the electricity sector.

The original project consists of the following three components:

Component 1: Extension of electricity transmission and distribution systems (estimated cost: US\$ 19.72 million).

This sub-component will provide access to electricity to about 10,300 new users located not only in Monrovia but also outside of the capital, along the corridor to the town of Kakata. The new users will represent a diverse mix of residential users (from low to higher income), small business, institutional consumers and a few agro industrial customers. The objective of this component is to continue the expansion of services to the population in general, while reaching out in particular in Monrovia to 40 to 50 business and institutional customers with a demand larger than this of residential users. Broadening the base of customers will contribute to improve LEC's financial performance while achieving the goal of expanding services to the population.

The scope of work under this component is based on the short-term investment program defined by MLME and LEC that aims at extending electricity services both in Monrovia and to three economic corridors outside the capital: Kakata, Bomi Hills and the airport. In particular, the proposed project will finance the transmission and distribution investments for the corridor of Monrovia-Kakata and the investments for extending the services in Monrovia at the distribution level. The component is divided into three sub-components, according to the implementation arrangement needed for their implementation as follows:

- Sub-component 1-A. Extension of transmission and distribution system to Kakata. The sub-component will finance (i) the construction of a 66 kV sub-transmission line between the Paynesville substation in Monrovia and the town of Kakata; (ii) the construction of a 66/22 kV substation in Kakata; (iii) the construction of both 22 kV and low voltage distribution lines, including the connections to new consumers along the Monrovia-Kakata corridor and in the town of Kakata.
- Sub-component 1-B. Extension of the distribution system in Monrovia. This sub-component will finance the extension of electricity services within the service area of the management

contractor. This will include the infrastructure for distribution lines from Paynesville and its surrounding in about nine communities, as well as the connection of 40 to 50 large customers in various parts of the city.

- Sub-component 1-C. Preparation and implementation of the component for the extension of transmission and distribution systems. This includes detailed design, safeguards instruments and other preparatory consultancies for the transmission and distribution investments. This also includes the hiring of consultants with financial management and procurement expertise to strengthen the Project Implementation in LEC and the possibility of hiring additional experts if need arises after the management contractor has departed in 2016.

Component 2. Construction of HFO facilities for off-loading, transport and storage, of (HFO) and support for optimization of HFO procurement (estimated cost: US\$10.56 million)

This component supports Government strategy of shifting generation capacity from diesel to HFO-based thermal generation to reduce the cost of electricity. Increasing generation capacity with thermal plants running on HFO to expand services and reduce the cost of electricity is only possible however, if there is a reliable and sufficient supply of HFO at prices significantly cheaper than diesel. There are both physical and commercial aspects in the optimization of the supply of fuel. This component supports both aspects of the process.

On the physical side, the component finances the construction of facilities to offload, store and pump HFO from sea tankers with capacity in the range 30,000 – 40,000 tons, in order to minimize unit price of freight. The HFO will be offload at the BOMC Pier of the China Union concession and will be transported about 1.5 kilometers to a large storage tank in Bushrod Island on LEC's premises. A legal agreement between the GoL and the concessionaire China Union will govern the access and use of the pier facilities and an agreement between the Government and LEC will ensure that the facilities are properly operated and maintained.

On the commercial side, the component provides technical assistance to the GoL to optimize its procurement of fuel in the international markets and define the most adequate fiscal regime for importing and selling HFO used in electricity generation for LEC. In parallel with the support provided under the LACEEP, the first Poverty Reduction Support Credit (PRSC-1), currently under preparation also supports this open, competitive approach to the procurement of HFO and includes a condition to the effect in the Matrix of Policy actions, whereby an open competitive procurement process of HFO used in electricity generation for LEC would be implemented by December 2014 to ensure the lowest CIF cost of the fuel. If completed on time, HFO will be available when the various HFO-based generation plants currently in the pipeline will come into operations.

This component is thus composed of the following activities:

- Sub-component 2-A. Construction of HFO transport and storage facilities. This sub-component will support: (i) construction (supply and installation) at the Bong Mining Company site, adjacent to the pier or to the existing storage tank, of a pump station to transport HFO to the storage tanks located at the Bushrod Island site; (ii) construction of a pipeline connecting the Bong Mining Company and the Bushrod island HFO storage tanks; and (iii) construction of a new storage tank at Bushrod Island site, with capacity of approximately 16,200 cubic meters, equivalent to the consumption of generating plants totaling 30 MW at base load during 3 months (180 m³/day x 90 days).

- Sub-component 2-B. Detailed design and supervision of HFO Infrastructure investments. This sub component will finance the consultancy services needed to prepare the component, such as the detailed engineering design, preparation of bidding documents, and the contract of the owner's engineer who will supervise construction works.
- Sub-component 2-C Technical assistance to the government for optimizing the procurement of HFO. The project will finance technical assistance to the government to (i) optimize the procurement of HFO in international markets; (ii) define a pricing regime for HFO used in electricity generation and a fiscal regime for HFO imports and sales.

Component 3. Technical Assistance to MLME. Support for the expansion of supply options and for the strengthening of the sector's institutional capacity (estimated cost: US\$ 4.72 million).

This component provides support to MLME in specific areas where the Ministry has a key responsibility, as the entity responsible to lead the development of the electricity sector. It will also support the overall strengthening of MLMEs' institutional capacity. In particular, this component supports the Government's decision to attract private investments into generation as a way of leveraging the large needs for public funds in transmission and distribution. The nature of such specific transaction may include the sale to LEC of surplus electricity generated by large concessionaires or by greenfield plants (independent power producers or IPPs), depending on the best option identified under the LCPDP. In all cases, attracting the private sector into the business of supplying electricity to LEC may require the use of credit and risk mitigation mechanisms. The use of such mitigation mechanism, if needed, could also be an option for the procurement of HFO for electricity generation. This component also provides institutional support to MLME to strengthen its capacity to fulfill its core responsibilities and implement the project. It will include specifically the following activities:

- Sub-component 3-A Technical assistance to attract private investment for electricity generation. Activities under this component will assist MLME in the legal, technical and commercial structuring of the transaction.
- Sub-component 3-B Training and provision of short term expertise and financing of selected studies, including (i) the hiring of different experts such as senior financial management expert and a senior procurement specialist, and (iii) studies needed for the medium-term development of supply options, notably in hydroelectricity.
- Subcomponent 3-C. Cost of managing the project, including the audit. This sub-component will provide the resources needed based on the financial and procurement assessment of MLME to implement the components under its management.

The proposed Additional Financing continues the Bank's support to the ambitious development agenda of the Government in the electricity sector and for the accelerated and sustainable expansion of electricity services in Liberia. It has two main objectives: (i) to increase access to electricity; and (ii) to increase institutional capacity in the electricity sector.

To this end, the proposed activities will be linked to two of the existing components of the LACEEP. The proposed AF will therefore include the following additional activities under existing project components:

Component 1: Extension of electricity transmission and distribution systems and connection of new users (US\$53.00 million, IDA Credit):

This component aims to increase access to electricity in the North-West part of the Greater Monrovia, as the capital has the highest density of population, and in Bomi County, a region with an important economic potential.

- (i) Sub-component 1-A. Electrification of Greater Monrovia (North-West). The component will finance the provision of electricity services to new users (including industries) in various communities in the Greater Monrovia. It will include the construction of new and rehabilitation of existing transmission and distribution networks (substations and lines), and the construction of the connections of all new users. The objective of the works is to electrify several residential communities, as well as commercial and industrial areas in Greater Monrovia. It will make it possible to connect about 30,000 new customers in all categories, including a prospective industrial demand of up to 16.5MW with about 13 industrial users in the areas served by the Gardnerville, Stockton Creek and Virginia substations
- (ii) Sub-Component 1-B. Electrification of the Monrovia-Bomi-Kle Corridor. This sub-component aims to build the main electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure of the corridor, as well as to connect about 6,800 new users in the economic zone of Bomi County. The portfolio includes households, small commercial and several larger potential customers such as large palm oil plantations and some mines. The potential demand in this region could reach up to 46.5 MW. Although it is unlikely that all these firms will shift from self-supply to LEC's services in the short-term but at the same time, the electrification of the Monrovia Bomi corridor is expected to have an immediate positive impact on the economy in the county. This sub-component will enable access to cheaper electricity for the large consumers in the Monrovia-Bomi corridor, such as agriculture and industrial firms and mines. LEC will have the chance to incorporate wealthy medium and large users to its customers' portfolio, if the utility is able to provide reliable and good quality services. The electrification of the Monrovia-Bomi corridor will also facilitate the connection to the national power system of low-cost generation based on renewable resources, such as the 10-15 MW HPP to be eventually financed by the Scale-Up Renewable Energy Program (SREP).
- (iii) Sub-Component 1-C Project Management. This sub-component will cover the cost of preparing, managing and auditing the project. It will include the financing of the necessary preparatory and safeguards studies, the costs of supervising the works. It will also provide the resources needed by LEC to manage the implementation of the components, based on the financial and procurement assessment of the utility.

Component 3: Support for the strengthening of LEC's commercial capacity (US\$7.00 million):

This component supports LEC to strengthen commercial management and operations and build the local capacity within the utility to ensure sustainability of the results.

- (i) Sub-Component 3-A: Incorporation of modern management systems in LEC. This sub-component will strengthen LEC's commercial department to improve its performance and the company's operational and financial viability through the incorporation of a state-of-art commercial management system (CMS), and of an "Incidents Management System (IMS)" to support effective and timely attention and resolution of customers' complaints related to outages and other incidents in

electricity supply.

(ii) Sub-Component 3-B: Technical assistance to LEC. This TA will help to build local management capacity within LEC at the medium and top levels to ensure the sustainability of its operations once the management contract ends. In particular, it will support the appointment of young Liberian professionals to form the counterpart teams of the contractors that will implement the CMS and IMS and become in the future the members of a new management team that will run LEC with efficiency, transparency and accountability using those tools.

4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)

The project location (including Additional Finance activities) is the Greater Monrovia area. The economic corridor included for electrification in this project goes from Monrovia to Kakata. Under the additional finance (AF), a 50 km transmission line will be constructed from Bomi County to Paynesville station in Monrovia, and there will be expansion of the distribution network from this transmission line in order to connect new electricity users along the corridor. As in the original LACEEP, the new AF intensification of electrification activities will also proceed in various areas of Greater Monrovia but may include additional industrial users served by the Gardnerville, Stockton Creek and Virginia substations, and others to be determined during project preparation. Exact locations of the distribution line routes are not known as of yet, which is why the safeguard instruments are an Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) and a Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF), which will be updated to reflect the AF activities and re-disclosed.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

6. Safeguard Policies	Triggered?	Explanation (Optional)
Environmental Assessment OP/BP 4.01	Yes	While the general site of some of the works is known (e.g. Component 2), the scope and types of the works are yet to be finalized. Thus, the project builds on the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) prepared for the energy sector in the context of the Liberia Electricity System Enhancement Project (LESEP) by the implementing agency LEC. This document contains the provisions necessary to guide the preparation and implementation of activities in the energy sector. The ESMF has been updated to reflect LACEEP project details (including the AF) and has been consulted upon and disclosed both in-country and in the World Bank InfoShop prior to appraisal. One year after effectiveness, there is significant progress in implementation of the Project. The Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for the extension of the network and the Oil Spill Response Plan for the construction of the HFO transport and storage facilities are about to be finalized. The Borrower remains fully committed to the project, and has taken steps to ensure timely compliance with the disbursement conditions of the project, which require that the firm offloading the

		HFO at the port commits to enforce the environmental safeguards established in the ESIA, and that LEC is able to serve efficiently newly connected users along the Monrovia-Kakata corridor.
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	In the immediate project area there are no untouched natural habitats. The project is located in an urban and peri-urban area.
Forests OP/BP 4.36	No	There are no forests in the immediate project area.
Pest Management OP 4.09	No	No pesticides will be used or procured in the project.
Physical Cultural Resources OP/BP 4.11	Yes	The LACEEP ESMF includes a “chance-find procedure” which will be included in all construction contracts. Physical Cultural Resources which might be affected are some graves in the Right-Of-Way for the Kakata transmission line. Contractors will be instructed to avoid these graves.
Indigenous Peoples OP/BP 4.10	No	There are no Indigenous Peoples in the project area.
Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	Yes	The policy applies to electricity distribution and transmission activities, where civil works may require land acquisition that leads to involuntary resettlement and/or losses of assets, restrictions of access to resources or to livelihoods. Since the process for undertaking a technical design, including location of the network facilities, will be finalized during implementation phase, the Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) prepared for LACEEP has been updated, consulted upon, and disclosed before appraisal. The RPF contains guidance on preparation of potential Resettlement Action Plans (RAP), which might need to be prepared, consulted upon, disclosed and implemented in the future, as appropriate. Since the effectiveness of the parent project, a RAP is being prepared for the Monrovia – Kakata corridor as per the RPF provisions. The RAP for the HFO transport and storage facilities is also being finalized.
Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	The project does not include or depend on any dam.
Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	No	The project does not depend on water from an international waterway.
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	There are no disputed areas in the project area.

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:

The AF activities will be the same type (electrification/distribution and transmission lines) as those in Component 1 of the parent project therefore the impacts are expected to be the same as those described in the following paragraphs.

Under Component 1, extension of electricity transmission and distribution systems, the exact locations for new lines and subsequently the exact scope and type of impacts are not known. OP 4.01 is thus triggered to cover the environmental impact, related to the installation of the distribution equipment, the fuel supply lines and storage facilities. The environmental impacts are expected to be limited due to the use of existing rights of ways and premises in the urban and peri-urban areas of Monrovia. The proposed project will build on the safeguards instrument prepared previously for the energy sector in the context of the Liberia Electricity System Enhancement Project (LESEP) by the implementing agency LEC, which is the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF). This document contains the provisions necessary to guide the preparation and implementation of activities in the energy sector and it includes a “chance find procedure” to ensure compliance with OP 4.11. This ESMF has been updated to reflect the LACEEP project details and has been consulted upon and disclosed both in-country and in the World Bank InfoShop prior to appraisal. The implementing agencies of the Project, the Ministry of Lands, Mines and Energy (MLME) and the Liberia Electricity Corporation (LEC), will be responsible for the implementation of both the ESMF and the RPF.

OP 4.12 is triggered to cover clearance of land for the network right of way (RoW) under component 1, which may require some land acquisition leading to relocation and displacement of households and/or assets. In case any land acquisition or compensation becomes necessary, the costs will be covered by the Borrower. The project has prepared before appraisal a Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) with guidelines for completion of a Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), as needed, in case any land acquisition, and/or restriction of access to resources should occur. Similarly to the ESMF, the RPF also builds upon the sector-wide RPF previously prepared for Liberia. The updated document which reflects the activities under the AF, was consulted upon and disclosed both in-country on April 21, 2015, and in the World Bank InfoShop on April 15, 2015 prior to appraisal.

Under Component 2, sub-component 2-A covers the construction of HFO off-loading and transport facilities at the pier in the Port of Monrovia port as well as the construction of new storage tanks in Bushrod Island. There is no land acquisition or restriction of access to resources anticipated at these locations. Similarly, the construction of a small diameter new pipeline of around 1.5 km length that will connect the pier, the new storage tanks and Bushrod Island thermal plant, is not expected to involve any land acquisition or restriction of access to resources as it is within an existing RoW on which there are no settlements. The Bushrod Island thermal plant site is located on the premises of the Liberia Electricity Corporation (LEC) and there is no encroachment at this location.

The environmental impacts related to the AF activities include site-specific, short-term, limited construction related impacts. Other impacts related to the original LACEEP project activities include anticipated HFO thermal power plant operations, mostly air pollution by NOx and particles. The ESMF includes hazardous wastes management practices during construction and operation and other related measures regarding the management of public/occupational health and

safety issues. These might include issues such as potential PCB contamination from existing facilities. An Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) and Environmental and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) will be prepared for the project as needed after the application of screening procedures. The ESIA/ESMPs preparation will be guided by the World Bank approved ESMF for the original project. The ESIA/ESMPs will be prepared, reviewed and cleared by the Bank, and disclosed in-country and in the InfoShop before any construction starts. The ESMF which has been prepared for the project will be updated to reflect the Additional Finance activities, consulted upon and redisclosed both in-country and in InfoShop.

One year after effectiveness, there is significant progress in implementation of the Project. The Resettlement Action Plan (RAP) and the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for the extension of the network and the Oil Spill Response Plan for the construction of the HFO transport and storage facilities are about to be finalized. The Borrower remains fully committed to the project, and has taken steps to ensure a timely compliance with the disbursement conditions of the project, which require that the firm offloading the HFO at the port commits to enforce the environmental safeguards established in the ESIA, and that LEC is able to serve efficiently newly connected users along the Monrovia-Kakata corridor. The ESIA and OSRP were reviewed by the Bank. Once finalized, they will be disclosed in-country and in InfoShop before any construction starts.

2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:

The AF activities are still Category B like the project as a whole. It is not anticipated that any serious indirect or long term environmental or social impacts will be caused by the project. The project is expected to bring positive benefits to communities, individuals and businesses. The environmental concerns arising from the possible construction of the transmission networks include minor loss of vegetation cover in the peri-urban areas in the Monrovia vicinity, noise, dust and waste generation as well as occupational/public health and safety issues. When in operation, the distribution line would need to be monitored to evaluate safety, visual intrusion, and problems associated with repair and maintenance etc.

The area around the existing HFO tanks, which will be demolished and reconstructed by the LESEP project that is implemented simultaneously with LACEEP, at Bushrod Island is polluted with HFO. This HFO pollution happened during the civil war due to neglect of the facilities. All the HFO polluted soil will be taken out before the construction of the tanks can start and will be stored in a concrete confined area or treated to international standards. The exact method of disposal has still to be determined, but the method selected will be in compliance with World Bank Safeguard Policies and Environmental, Health and Safety Guidelines of April 2007. The risks of the existing polluted area and the disposal of HFO polluted soil are local and manageable. The risks of a HFO spill during operation will be small and the HFO spill with local and are related to filling the HFO storage tanks at the port from moored vessels, transport through the HFO pipeline of around 35 cm diameter and 1.5 km length and HFO storage in the new tanks in Bushrod Island.

Despite the advances in the project, the team is also aware that the current emergency situation in the country due to the Ebola outbreak could delay, or at least slow down the pace of implementation in the short and medium term.

3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.

The line routing for the proposed networks has not been finalized. During the feasibility study,

alternative routing to minimize environmental and social impacts will be assessed. In particular, the project will ensure that the routing will avoid densely populated areas, especially avoiding areas where institutional structures (e.g. schools, churches and health centers) may be displaced and where graves might be affected (a chance-find procedure will be included in all contractor contracts).
4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.
The implementing agencies of the Project, the Ministry of Lands, Mines and Energy (MLME) and the Liberia Electricity Corporation (LEC), will be responsible for the implementation of both the ESMF and the RPF, in their respective components, that is component 1 for LEC and the other components for MLME. LEC has started to set up a separate environment and social coordinating team. The LEC has also hired several consultants who have been engaged to prepare RAPs and ESIA's. To ensure smooth implementation of safeguards instruments, the project will provide capacity building similar to the technical assistance programs to LEC that have been set up for similar electricity sector projects in Liberia previously (e.g. LESEP and LESEP II), which are proceeding well.
5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.
The project will benefit the communities in and around the Monrovia urban center, including the peri-urban areas in the outskirts of the city as well as Kakata and the population along the corridor to Bomi County. In addition to households who will greatly benefit from improved electricity access, the other beneficiaries would be small businesses and industries who have expressed demand for more reliable electricity. Government agencies and social infrastructure (schools, health centers, churches, a water treatment plant) are also expected to benefit from increased energy access. Throughout the updates of the ESMF and RPF, extensive stakeholder consultations, including with community and greater Monrovia urban leaders, have been undertaken by LEC. Key issues raised included information on environmental impacts, how monitoring of environmental impacts would be managed, and possible resettlement issues arising from construction of the HFO pipeline.

B. Disclosure Requirements

Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other	
Date of receipt by the Bank	27-Mar-2015
Date of submission to InfoShop	15-Apr-2015
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	////
"In country" Disclosure	
Liberia	21-Apr-2015
<i>Comments:</i>	
Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process	
Date of receipt by the Bank	27-Mar-2015
Date of submission to InfoShop	15-Apr-2015
"In country" Disclosure	
Liberia	21-Apr-2015
<i>Comments:</i>	

If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level

OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment			
Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit or Practice Manager (PM) review and approve the EA report?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
OP/BP 4.11 - Physical Cultural Resources			
Does the EA include adequate measures related to cultural property?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Does the credit/loan incorporate mechanisms to mitigate the potential adverse impacts on cultural property?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement			
Has a resettlement plan/abbreviated plan/policy framework/process framework (as appropriate) been prepared?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
If yes, then did the Regional unit responsible for safeguards or Practice Manager review the plan?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information			
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
All Safeguard Policies			
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]

III. APPROVALS

Task Team Leader(s):	Name: Clemencia Torres De Mastle	
<i>Approved By</i>		
Practice Manager/ Manager:	Name: Meike van Ginneken (PMGR)	Date: 19-May-2015