

**INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET  
APPRAISAL STAGE**

**Report No.: ISDSA7538**

**Date ISDS Prepared/Updated:** 16-May-2014

**Date ISDS Approved/Disclosed:** 16-May-2014

**I. BASIC INFORMATION**

**1. Basic Project Data**

<b>Country:</b>	Cameroon	<b>Project ID:</b>	P143417
<b>Project Name:</b>	Agriculture Investment and Market Development Project (P143417)		
<b>Task Team Leader:</b>	Manievel Sene		
<b>Estimated Appraisal Date:</b>	07-Apr-2014	<b>Estimated Board Date:</b>	29-Jul-2014
<b>Managing Unit:</b>	AFTA2	<b>Lending Instrument:</b>	Investment Project Financing
<b>Sector(s):</b>	Crops (60%), Agricultural extension and research (20%), General agriculture, fishing and forestry sector (10%), Animal production (5%), Irrigation and drainage (5%)		
<b>Theme(s):</b>	Rural services and infrastructure (40%), Rural markets (35%), Rural policies and institutions (25%)		
<b>Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?</b>			No
<b>Financing (In USD Million)</b>			
Total Project Cost:	166.90	Total Bank Financing:	100.00
Financing Gap:	0.00		
<b>Financing Source</b>			<b>Amount</b>
BORROWER/RECIPIENT			12.40
International Development Association (IDA)			100.00
JAPAN Ministry of Finance - PHRD Grants			3.00
Miscellaneous 1			40.60
LOCAL BENEFICIARIES			10.90
Total			166.90
<b>Environmental Category:</b>	B - Partial Assessment		
<b>Is this a Repeater project?</b>	No		

## **2. Project Development Objective(s)**

To support the transformation of low productivity, subsistence-oriented cassava, maize and sorghum sub-sectors into commercially-oriented and competitive value chains in four agro-ecological areas.

## **3. Project Description**

The PDO indicators for the proposed Project include: (i) Increased yield of targeted crops of which cassava (tons/ha), maize (tons/ha), sorghum (tons/ha) by beneficiary farmers; (ii) Increased quality as measured by price premium of produce sold by beneficiary farmers in the targeted value chains (FCFA) of which cassava (percentage), maize (percentage), sorghum (percentage).

The Project will comprise three components:

Component A: Support to production, processing and marketing (US\$80.00 million IDA). The objective of the component is to establish “Productive Partnerships” (PPs) between POs and ABs that will lead to increased productivity, production, and quality of the identified value chains. These PPs will be implemented through the provision of Matching Grants and facilitate access to rural financing for investing in various PO Sub-Projects (SPs). Component A will also support certain nutrition activities through women SPs and finance basic transport infrastructure SPs at the level of production basins - to improve internal connectivity and to connect these production basins to main roads and markets. The component is organized under four sub-components: (i) Establishment of PPs; (ii) Financing of PO Sub-Projects; (iii) Financing of basic public infrastructure Sub-Projects; and (iv) Support to improve access to adapted Rural Finance.

Component B: Support to Seed system, Core public services and Technology transfers (US\$15.00 million IDA). The component will support the implementation of component A by: (i) strengthening the Seed system and the capacity of public services that are key for the Project, (ii) creating a framework for cooperation between the Government, POs, ABs, FIs and other actors that will play an important role in the Project; and (iii) enhancing agricultural technology transfers. It comprises three sub-components: (i) Enhancement of Seed system and Core public services; (ii) Building Commodity-based public-private consultation and partnership framework; and (iii) Enhancement of agricultural technology transfers.

Component C: Project Coordination and Management (US\$5.00 million IDA). The objective of this component is to: (i) ensure effective strategic and operational planning, monitoring and implementation of the Project and efficient coordination between components A and B and the various sources of funding and Project implementation partners; (ii) evaluate the Project’s final results and impact on smallholders/POs; and (iii) communicate efficiently to various publics on Project activities, outcomes and lessons learnt. The component has two sub-components: (i) Strategic planning, coordination, management and implementation support; and (ii) Monitoring and Evaluation, Communication and Knowledge Production and Sharing.

## **4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)**

The Project will cover few production basins in five agro-ecological areas: (i) Sudano sahelian (Pitua, Maroua) for sorghum; (ii) High savanna (Touboro, Ngaoundéré, Meiganga) for maize and cassava; (iii) Bi-modal humid forest (Ngoumou, Nanga EBook, Abong-Mbang, Sangmelima) for maize and cassava; (iv) Mono-modal humid forest (Melong, Pouma, Mbongue) for maize and cassava; and (v) Highlands (Babesi, Galim, Bali, Batibo) for maize and cassava.

## **5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists**

Lucienne M. M'Baipor (AFTCS)  
 Emeran Serge M. Menang Evouna (AFTN1)

Public Disclosure Copy

Public Disclosure Copy

6. Safeguard Policies	Triggered?	Explanation (Optional)
Environmental Assessment OP/ BP 4.01	Yes	This policy is triggered as the project activities and mainly those related to component1 (construction/rehabilitation of processing facilities; rural roads construction or rehabilitation; and market infrastructures) may have environmental and social impacts. The scope, nature and scale of these potential impacts are not known at this project preparation stage. The exact nature and location of activities could not be identified during the project preparation. An ESMF has been prepared, consulted upon and disclosed on April 25, 2014 to guide the way that potential negative environmental and social impacts of future sub-projects (following the positive list) will be identified and mitigated during the project implementation.
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	The project will avoid financing any activity that should have any negative impact on natural habitats.
Forests OP/BP 4.36	Yes	The policy is triggered as the project expects to finance agro-forestry and re afforestation activities. The project activities will avoid any impacts on the health and quality of forests or the rights and welfare of people and their level of dependence upon or interaction with forests. The project will avoid financing any activity that should have any negative impact in the natural forests. The ESMF includes a positive list of areas where the agro-forestry and reforestation activities will be undertaken.
Pest Management OP 4.09	Yes	The project activities will likely increase the use of chemical pesticides which could have negative environmental and health impacts. The Project beneficiaries are likely to adopt integrated pest management practices, which are included in the ESMF. A PMP has been prepared, consulted upon and disclosed on April 25, 2014.
Physical Cultural Resources OP/ BP 4.11	Yes	The proposed operation will involve excavations and movement of earth for the building facilities. During the development of the ESMF physical cultural resources will be taken into consideration and baselines defined. The ESMF includes clear

		procedures that are required for identification, protection of cultural property from theft, and treatment of discovered artifacts, and will be included in standard bidding documents. The ESMF also provides procedures for handling with “chance finds” during implementation project activities.
Indigenous Peoples OP/BP 4.10	Yes	The Policy is triggered because there indigenous people present in the project areas and mainly in the Eastern and Southern regions of the country. They are also present in the center region. An IPPF has been prepared, consulted upon and disclosed on April 25, 2014.
Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	Yes	Involuntary Resettlement is triggered in the event that sub-projects should involve involuntary resettlement as the Project will finance construction/rehabilitation of processing facilities; rural roads construction or rehabilitation; and market infrastructures that may imply land acquisition in the covered areas. The RPF will guide the management of such situations should they arise during project implementation. RAPs will be prepared as and when necessary during project implementation. The RPF was prepared, consulted upon and disclosed on April 25, 2014.
Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	The project is not financing any dam, nor does it depend on dams for its activities.
Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	No	The project is not anticipating any irrigation schemes that will involve international water bodies or riparian areas.
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	The project is not being implemented in a disputed area.

## II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

### A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

<p><b>1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:</b></p> <p>Potential negative environmental impacts of these SPs are likely to include: (i) water and soil pollution, increased use of pesticides and herbicides as well as agro-processing activities; (ii) deforestation and water pollution due to land clearing; (iii) soil erosion due to poor agricultural practices; (iv) loss of vegetation due to agricultural lands extension and access road rehabilitation; (v) loss of fauna due poaching activities; and (vi) pressures on natural resources.</p>
<p><b>2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:</b></p> <p>The negative environmental impacts of SPs could also have negative impacts on public health, for several reasons. For instance: (i) an increase in the incidence of malaria and AIDS due to</p>

population movement; (ii) pesticide poisoning due to the unsafe application and management of pesticides and herbicides by untrained personnel; (iii) traffic accidents and exposure to dust and noise of the population near construction or rehabilitation sites; and (iv) increase of social conflict due to land acquisition.
<b>3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.</b>
The ESMF has outlined the environmental and social screening process for SPs and describes in detail the steps required. The ESMF has proposed institutional arrangements, including cost estimates, for the implementation of above institutional and technical measures as well as environmental training and public-awareness rising. The ESMP included in the ESMF is included in the PIM.
<b>4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.</b>
The implementation arrangements for the relevant safeguards instruments are fully integrated with the overall implementation arrangements of the project. An environmental and social Specialist will be recruited as part of the PCU staff and if needed, regional environmental and social specialist will be also recruited. MINADER, through the PCU has the ultimate responsibility for the Project's compliance with Cameroonian legislation and Bank safeguards guidelines. The PCU coordinates closely with the Ministries involved in the Project, notably MINEPDED, MINEPAT, and the Ministry in Charge of Compensation.
<b>5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.</b>
Extensive public consultation was carried out during the preparation of the ESMF, RPF, Indigenous People Planning Framework (IPPF) and PMP. A national validation workshop with participation of the main stakeholders (agro-industries, small and medium enterprises/industries, wholesalers PFIs such as Banks, Leasing companies and micro-finance institutions, Services providers supporting POs as well as core Public services Civil society, Project-Affected People (PAP) was organized on February 8, 2014 and a final workshop was organized on April 14, 2014. The consultation process will continue throughout project preparation and implementation.

### **B. Disclosure Requirements**

<b>Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other</b>	
Date of receipt by the Bank	22-Apr-2014
Date of submission to InfoShop	25-Apr-2014
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	
"In country" Disclosure	
Cameroon	14-Apr-2014
<i>Comments:</i> A first national validation and dissemination workshop was organized on February 08, 2014 in Yaounde with all stakeholders including civil society organizations and several medias enterprises. A final national validation and dissemination workshop will be held on April 14, 2014. The minutes of the final workshop will be disclosed. Other workshops will be held in covered regions.	
<b>Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process</b>	
Date of receipt by the Bank	22-Apr-2014

Date of submission to InfoShop	25-Apr-2014
"In country" Disclosure	
Cameroon	14-Apr-2014
<i>Comments:</i> A first national validation and dissemination workshop was organized on February 08, 2014 in Yaounde with all stakeholders including civil society organizations and several medias enterprises. A final national validation and dissemination workshop will be held on April 14, 2014. The minutes of the final workshop will be disclosed. Other workshops will be held in covered regions.	
<b>Indigenous Peoples Development Plan/Framework</b>	
Date of receipt by the Bank	22-Apr-2014
Date of submission to InfoShop	25-Apr-2014
"In country" Disclosure	
Cameroon	14-Apr-2014
<i>Comments:</i> A first national validation and dissemination workshop was organized on February 08, 2014 in Yaounde with all stakeholders including civil society organizations and several medias enterprises. A final national validation and dissemination workshop will be held on April 14, 2014. The minutes of the final workshop will be disclosed. Other workshops will be held in covered regions.	
<b>Pest Management Plan</b>	
Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	Yes
Date of receipt by the Bank	22-Apr-2014
Date of submission to InfoShop	25-Apr-2014
"In country" Disclosure	
Cameroon	14-Apr-2014
<i>Comments:</i> A first national validation and dissemination workshop was organized on February 08, 2014 in Yaounde with all stakeholders including civil society organizations and several medias enterprises. A final national validation and dissemination workshop will be held on April 14, 2014. The minutes of the final workshop will be disclosed. Other workshops will be held in covered regions.	
<b>If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.</b>	
<b>If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:</b>	

### ***C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level***

<b>OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment</b>	
Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit or Sector Manager (SM) review and approve the EA report?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]

<b>OP 4.09 - Pest Management</b>		
Does the EA adequately address the pest management issues?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Is a separate PMP required?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
If yes, has the PMP been reviewed and approved by a safeguards specialist or SM? Are PMP requirements included in project design? If yes, does the project team include a Pest Management Specialist?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>OP/BP 4.11 - Physical Cultural Resources</b>		
Does the EA include adequate measures related to cultural property?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Does the credit/loan incorporate mechanisms to mitigate the potential adverse impacts on cultural property?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>OP/BP 4.10 - Indigenous Peoples</b>		
Has a separate Indigenous Peoples Plan/Planning Framework (as appropriate) been prepared in consultation with affected Indigenous Peoples?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
If yes, then did the Regional unit responsible for safeguards or Sector Manager review the plan?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
If the whole project is designed to benefit IP, has the design been reviewed and approved by the Regional Social Development Unit or Sector Manager?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement</b>		
Has a resettlement plan/abbreviated plan/policy framework/process framework (as appropriate) been prepared?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
If yes, then did the Regional unit responsible for safeguards or Sector Manager review the plan?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>OP/BP 4.36 - Forests</b>		
Has the sector-wide analysis of policy and institutional issues and constraints been carried out?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Does the project design include satisfactory measures to overcome these constraints?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Does the project finance commercial harvesting, and if so, does it include provisions for certification system?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information</b>		
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>All Safeguard Policies</b>		
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]	No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]

Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]

### III. APPROVALS

Task Team Leader:	Name: Manievel Sene	
<b><i>Approved By</i></b>		
Regional Safeguards Advisor:	Name: Alexandra C. Bezeredi (RSA)	Date: 16-May-2014
Sector Manager:	Name: Severin L. Kodderitzsch (SM)	Date: 16-May-2014