

**INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET  
ADDITIONAL FINANCING**

**Report No.:** PIDISDSA13899

**Date ISDS Prepared/Updated:** 11-Aug-2015

**Date ISDS Approved/Disclosed:** 02-Jun-2016

**I. BASIC INFORMATION**

**1. Basic Project Data**

<b>Country:</b>	Sierra Leone	<b>Project ID:</b>	P155316
		<b>Parent Project ID:</b>	P133070
<b>Project Name:</b>	Revitalizing Education Development in Sierra Leone (REDiSL) Additional Financing (P155316)		
<b>Parent Project Name:</b>	REVITALIZING EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT IN SIERRA LEONE (P133070)		
<b>Task Team Leader(s):</b>	Kaliopé Azzi-Huck		
<b>Estimated Appraisal Date:</b>		<b>Estimated Board Date:</b>	21-Mar-2016
<b>Managing Unit:</b>	GED07	<b>Lending Instrument:</b>	Investment Project Financing
<b>Sector(s):</b>	Primary education (40%), General education sector (30%), Secondary education (20%), Pre-primary education (10%)		
<b>Theme(s):</b>	Education for all (60%), Education for the knowledge economy (40%)		
<b>Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?</b>			No
<b>Financing (In USD Million)</b>			
Total Project Cost:	0.95	Total Bank Financing:	0.00
Financing Gap:	0.00		
<b>Financing Source</b>			<b>Amount</b>
Borrower			0.00
Ebola Recovery and Reconstruction MPF			0.95
Total			0.95
<b>Environmental Category:</b>	B - Partial Assessment		

**2. Project Development Objective(s)**

**A. Original Project Development Objectives – Parent**

The Project Development Objective is to improve the learning environment in targeted schools

and establish systems for monitoring of education interventions and outcomes. To do this, the Project will finance elements of the ESP that deliver intermediate results while simultaneously addressing critical issues in the system to build the foundation for better management and efficiency within the sector.

**B. Proposed Project Development Objectives – Additional Financing (AF)**

**3. Project Description**

**4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)**

Some aspects of the project are national and will be spread throughout the entire country. Specific sites will however be identified prior to implementation. There are no salient physical characteristics relevant to safeguards. Any potential adverse impacts are local and minor.

**5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists**

Franklin Kuma Kwasi Gavu (GENDR)

Gloria Malia Mahama (GSU01)

<b>6. Safeguard Policies</b>	<b>Triggered?</b>	<b>Explanation (Optional)</b>
Environmental Assessment OP/BP 4.01	Yes	The policy was triggered and the project is rated “B” because of potential construction and rehabilitation of classrooms and toilet facilities under the original project and an ESMF was developed at the time. Impacts, if any, are expected to be localized and small. As project effectiveness coincided with the Ebola outbreak, most activities were put on hold and are only now resuming. As such, the ESMF prepared for the parent project has been updated and is being implemented.
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	The project will not affect natural habitats.
Forests OP/BP 4.36	No	The project will not have any adverse impact on forests, nor engage in afforestation.
Pest Management OP 4.09	No	The project does not entail pest management.
Physical Cultural Resources OP/BP 4.11	No	The project will not entail excavations or movement of land, and is not expected to impact on physical cultural property.
Indigenous Peoples OP/ BP 4.10	No	There are no Indigenous Peoples in the project area.
Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	No	The project activities will not lead to land acquisition or restriction on access to land.
Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	The project does not involve construction of dams not will it rely on dams.

Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	No	The project does not affect international waterways.
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	N/A

## II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

### A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

<p><b>1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:</b></p> <p>Since there were no significant changes in the project activities that warrant new potential environmental or social impacts, the original (and restructured) project environmental category (B) will remain the same, no new policies are triggered, and the current project’s Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) prepared remains valid and has been updated during preparation for the AF. In addition, the original REDiSL is not expected to impact any change in social status quo as land acquisition is not expected under any of the components supported by the project. On the contrary, the project is expected to have a positive social impact and this also applies to this AF which explicitly aims at minimizing the impact of the EVD outbreak on school-age children.</p>
<p><b>2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:</b></p> <p>n/a</p>
<p><b>3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.</b></p> <p>n/a</p>
<p><b>4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.</b></p> <p>n/a</p>
<p><b>5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.</b></p> <p>The key stakeholders for this AF are school-age children and their teachers and as mentioned above the activities financed under this AF explicitly aim at minimizing the impact of the EVD outbreak on the latter and are therefore expected to have a very positive social impact. An Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) was prepared for the original REDiSL and remains valid. It has been updated during preparation for the AF and will be disclosed upon approval by the regional adviser.</p> <p>Consultative meetings were held to solicit stakeholders’ perception of the original REDiSL project and sub-components and their environmental and social ramifications. Consultative meetings were organized with the Environment Protection Agency Sierra Leone (EPASL) to assess their capacity and track record in implementing and independently monitoring environmental and social impacts and compliance. The preliminary ESMF was presented at a one-day stakeholder consultation/ briefing and any pertinent suggestions and recommendations made during this consultation have been considered in the final report.</p>

### B. Disclosure Requirements

<b>Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other</b>	
Date of receipt by the Bank	31-Mar-2014
Date of submission to InfoShop	04-Apr-2015
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	
"In country" Disclosure	
Sierra Leone	01-Apr-2014
<i>Comments:</i> Original ESMF disclosure	
<b>If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.</b>	
<b>If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:</b>	

### *C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level*

<b>OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment</b>	
Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information</b>	
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
<b>All Safeguard Policies</b>	
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] NA [ <input type="checkbox"/> ]

### **III. APPROVALS**

Task Team Leader(s):	Name: Kaliope Azzi-Huck	
<b>Approved By</b>		
Safeguards Advisor:	Name:	Date:
Practice Manager/ Manager:	Name:	Date: