Initial Environmental Examination

Project number: 42459-014

May 2016

SRI: Additional Financing of Local Government Enhancement Sector Project–Mahailluppallama Water Supply Subproject

Prepared by Ministry of Provincial Councils and Local Government for the Asian Development Bank.

This revised initial environmental examination report is a document of the borrower. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of ADB's Board of Directors, Management, or staff, and may be preliminary in nature.

In preparing any country program or strategy, financing any project, or by making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area in this document, the Asian Development Bank does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

CURRENCY EQUIVALENTS

(as of 15 May 2016)

Currency unit – Sri Lankan Rupee (Rs)

Rs1.00 = \$ 0.0069 \$1.00 = Rs 146

ABBREVIATIONS

ADB - Asian Development Bank
AF - Additional Financing
BPL - below poverty line

CEA - Central Environmental Authority

CKD - Chronic Kidney Disease

DCCCRM - Department of Coast Conservation and Coastal Resource

Management

DSC - Design and Supervision Consultants
EDP - Economically Displaced Person
EDP - Economically Displaced Person
EIA - Environmental Impact Assessment
EMP - Environmental Management Plan
EPL - Environmental Protection License

FGD - Focus Group Discussion

IEE - Initial Environmental Examination IGS - Income Generating Schemes

IOL - Inventory of Losses

GRC - Grievance Redress Committee
GRM - Grievance Redress Mechanism
GSMB - Geological Service and Mine Bureau

LGESP - Local Government Enhancement Sector Project
LGIIP - Local Government Infrastructure Improvement Project

MIS - Management Information System

MPR - Monthly Progress Report

MPCLG - Ministry Provincial Councils and Local Government

NCP - North Central province

NGO - Nongovernmental Organization

NWSDB - National Water Supply and Drainage Board NIRP - National Involuntary Resettlement Policy

O&M - operation and maintenance

PS - Pradeshiya Shabha

PAM - project administration manual PMU - project management unit

PPTA - Project Preparatory Technical Assistance

PMC - Project Management Consultants
RDA - Road Development Authority

PRDA - Provincial Road Development Authority

SPCU - subproject coordination unit SPS - Safeguard Policy Statement

GLOSSARY

Pradeshiya Sabha Local authorities established under the Pradeshiya Sabhas Act Number 15 of 1987. Smallest political unit in periurban and rural areas.

NOTE

In this report, "\$" refers to US dollars.

This Initial Environmental Examination is a document of the borrower. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of ADB's Board of Directors, Management, or staff, and may be preliminary in nature. Your attention is directed to the "terms of use" section on ADB's website.

In preparing any country program or strategy, financing any project, or by making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area in this document, the Asian Development Bank does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

CONTENTS

EXEC	CUTIVE SUMMARY	6				
l.	INTRODUCTION	11				
II.	DESCRIPTION OF THE SUBPROJECT	14				
III.	POLICY, LEGAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE FRAMEWORK	18				
IV.	DESCRIPTION OF THE EXISTING ENVIRONMENT	22				
٧.	ANTICIPATED IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	30				
VI.	PUBLIC CONSULTATION AND INFORMATIONDISCLOUSURE	36				
VII.	GRIEVANCE REDRESS MECHANISM	38				
VIII.	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN	41				
IX.	MONITORING AND REPORTING	58				
X.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	59				
	List of Appendices					
Appe	ndix 1: Detailed Water Treatment Process	60				
	ndix 2: Results of Treated Water Quality Tests					
Appe	ndix 3: Drinking Water Quality Standards	65				
Appe	ndix 4: List of Flora and Fauna Found in the Subproject Site	66				
	ndix 5: Completed ADB REA Checklist for Water Supply					
Appe	ndix 6: Records of Public Consultation	71				
Appe	ndix 7: Complaint Register and Complaint Forms	74				
Appe	ndix 8: Applicable Noise Level Standards	75				
Appe	ndix 9: Suggested Monitoring Report Format	76				
Appe	ndix 10: Summary Monitoring Table	78				
Appe	ndix 11: Sample Environmental Site Inspection Report	81				
Appe	Appendix 12: References82					
Appe	Appendix 13: Maps related to the water supply sub project83					
Appe	ndix 14:_Photographs of the project area	86				
Appe	Appendix 15: Letters of Consent88					

List of Tables

Table 1: Details of water supplysub project	13
Table 2: CBOs and Beneficiaries	13
Table 3: Major Features of the Proposed Water Supply Subproject	17
Table 4: Applicable National Laws and Regulations	19
Table 5: Key Permits needed for the sub project activities	21
Table 6: ClimateData of the Area	24
Table 7: GN divisions	26
Table 8: Number of families and the population in sub project area	26
Table 9: Additional GN Divisions	26
Table 10: Age wise population	27
Table 11: Source of drinking water	27
Table 12: CBOs and Beneficiaries	27
Table 13: Health facilities	28
Table 14: Land use	29
Table 15: Distribution of employment	29
Table 16: Availability of electricity and telephone facilities	29
Table 17: Environmental Impacts during Construction and Operational Phases	34
Table 18: Mitigation Measures for Potential Environmental Impacts	35
Table 19: Summary of the Public Consultation Conducted	37
Table 20: Training Program for Environmental Management	45
Table 21: Indicative Cost of EMP Implementation	48
Table 22: Environmental Management Plan	50
Table 23: Environmental Monitoring Program	54
List of Figures	
Figure 1: Tiers of GRM	41
Figure 2: Safeguards Implementation Arrangement	44
Figure 3: Final lay out of the Mahailuppallama water supply sub project	
Figure 4: Map showing the pipe lay out in the proposed Project area	
Figure 5: Map showing Land use of the proposed area	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1. The Local Government Enhancement Sector Project (LGESP) was approved by ADB on 29 September 2012 with an amount of \$59 million equivalent from ADB's Special Fund Resources. The loan became effective on 29 November 2011 and the loan closing date is 31 December 2016. Ministry of Provincial Council and Local Government (MPCLG) is the executing agency, and subproject coordination unit (SPCU) is established in each provincial council (total seven) to supervise and coordinate and project implementation. LGESP has been supporting local infrastructure improvement and basic service delivery in less-developed areas in seven provinces (excluding the Northern and Eastern Provinces), based on a bottom-up, demand-driven approach. The additional financing is required to scale up a well-performing project. It includes (i) improvement of water supply systems in areas affected by chronic kidney diseases (CKD); (ii) improvement of local infrastructure and basic services delivery; and (iii) advancing policy reform of local government and strengthening their capacity.
- 2. **Additional Financing.** The additional financing will scale up the project that is performing well, by supporting water supply systems improvement in CKD-affected areas, and improving local infrastructure and basic services delivery and advancing local government policy reform and capacity strengthening in *PradeshiyaSabhas* not supported previously.
- 3. **Impact and Outcome.** The impact will be local authorities' capacity financially and technically strengthened in less-developed areas of seven provinces in Sri Lanka. The outcome will be improved local infrastructure and services delivered effectively by local authorities or NWSDB in less-developed areas of seven provinces in Sri Lanka. The impact statement is unchanged. The outcome statement was changed because most of the water supply schemes in areas affected by CKD will be operated by NWSDB.
- 4. The additional financing has three outputs. Output 1 has been added for the additional financing.
- 5. Output 1: Water supply systems in CKD-affected areas improved. The additional financing will finance development and expansion of water supply systems in CKD-affected areas in the four provinces (Central, North Central, North Western, and Uva) to provide safe drinking water. The schemes include development of new water supply systems and expansion of existing systems, mostly run by NWSDB. Facilities such as raw water intakes, water treatment plants, overhead tanks, and transmission and distribution networks are eligible for financing. About 30 schemes will be developed or expanded, and will be implemented by respective provincial councils with technical inputs and supervision support from NWSDB. Considering the nature and complexity of the schemes, the piped-network will be operated by NWSDB, except in local authorities which have adequate operational capacity. If local authorities are identified to be the appropriate entity to implement the subprojects, such local authorities will first have to submit a reform plan, as practiced in the original project, prior to the subproject implementation. PMU, through design and supervision consultants (DSCs), will provide capacity augmentation for construction supervision, when the resources available from NWSDB are insufficient.
- 6. **Output 2: Local infrastructure and basic service delivery improved.** Social and economic infrastructure will be improved by newly participating local authorities. The approach will remain the same: 29 new *PradeshiyaSabhas* from five provinces (Central, North Western, Southern, Uva, and Western) which have not been supported under the LGIIP and LGESP will first have to submit a reform plan approved through a council resolution, which will be reviewed

and confirmed by the Ministerial Committee of MPCLG to ensure that the minimum reform requirements are met. Then they will be qualified for the provision of a capital grant for infrastructure improvement. Eligible subprojects include (i) environmental infrastructure, (ii) economic infrastructure, (iii) public health infrastructure, and (iv) other local authority facilities. PMU, through DSCs, will support preparation of design and ensure the quality of the work. For both outputs 1 and 2, only the subprojects that meet the subproject selection criteria will be implemented.

- 7. Output 3: Local government policy reform advanced and capacity strengthened. The additional financing will support establishment of IT solutions, which were developed under the original project and installed in the original 108 *PradeshiyaSabhas*, in 29 new *PradeshiyaSabhas*, and further advance business process reengineering in both original and new *PradeshiyaSabhas*. The activities will include (i) implementation support of the guidelines developed under the capacity development TA (CDTA), (ii) development and installation of additional software to simplify and increase efficiency of local administration, and (iii) capacity building programs to strengthen technical, financial, and administrative capacity of the original and new *PradeshiyaSabhas*, provincial councils, and MPCLG.
- 8. **The subproject.** The Proposed Mahailluppallama water supply project is an extension of the Kekirawa water supply scheme located in Thalawa and Ipalogama Divisional secretariats of the Anuradhapura district. This water supply scheme falls in to four main GramaNiladhari (GN) divisions and partly in other four GN divisions. Galmaduwa, Gonpathirawa, Kadiyangala and Ihalagama GN divisions are fully covered by the proposed water supply scheme.
- 9. It is distinctive that two levels of water supplies will take place under the Mahailluppalama water supply scheme. At one level, the proposed water supply scheme provides bulk water supplies to CBO water towers located at Galmaduwa, Gonapathiarawa and Kadiyangala GN divisions. At the second level, the new village areas in all GN divisions have been selected for laying of pipelines for giving 682 new connections. Among areas selected, the Mahailluppallama town is crucial as it is considered as one of main towns in the Anuradhapura district. It is noted that three CBO water supply lines laid had been financed by ADB under supervision of NWSDB as disclosed by NWSDB.
- 10. The NWSDB main water line has been laid up to Ipalogama junction where the new pipe laying commences for the entire project area. The Kekirawa water supply scheme receives water from Kalawewawater tank as the primary water source and the water treatment plant located at Ihalagama village has purification capacity of 18000m3 per day. The Kekirawa Water Supply scheme has three main water towers, out of them; Ranaviru water tower which has the capacity of 1000m3 will continue to supply water to the new water project. The new pipe laying starts from Ipalogama junction and ends at CBO water tower on the Galmaduwa road. The proposed total length of water supply line is 23 km.
- 11. The main water transmitting line will be 225 mm and 110 mm along the Thalawa –Kekirwa roads and off the Mahailluppallama town especially inside of village areas, 63 mm and 90 mm pipe lines will be used as distribution pipe lines. These CBOs are registered institutions with respective government bodies and NWSDB as per social survey conducted for this IEE report.
- 12. The current population in these areas is around 6,764 belonging to 1748 families. All 1748 families are soles beneficiaries of this Mahailluppallama water supply scheme. The people living in these villages are presently using well water for drinking purpose. Around 21 numbers of CKD

patients have been identified in these areas and it has become the responsibility of National Water Supply and Drainage Board (NWSDB) to provide drinking water to these villages. During the dry period (June to October), these people face drinking water

- 13. **Screening and assessment of potential impacts.** ADB requires the consideration of environmental issues in all aspects of the Bank's operations, and the requirements for environmental assessment are described in ADB's Safeguard Policy Statement (SPS), 2009. The subproject is a considered small-scale project and potential environmental impacts have been assessed using ADB Rapid Environmental Assessment Checklist for Water Supply. Then potential negative impacts were identified in relation to pre-construction, construction and operation of the improved infrastructure.
- 14. **Categorization.** Based on results of the assessment and ADB SPS, the subproject is classified as environmental Category B, i.e., the subproject is judged to be unlikely to have significant adverse environmental impacts. An initial environmental examination (IEE) is required to determine whether significant environmental impacts warranting an environmental impact assessment are likely.
- 15. This IEE aims to (i) provide critical facts, significant finding, and recommended actions; (ii) present the national and local legal and institutional framework within which the environmental assessment has been carried out; (iii) provide information on existing geographic, ecological, social and temporal context including associated facilities within the subproject's area of influence; (iv) assess the subproject's likely positive and negative direct and indirect impacts to physical, biological, socioeconomic, and physical cultural resources in the subproject's area of influence; (v) identify mitigation measures and any residual negative impacts that cannot be mitigated; (vi) describe the process undertaken during project design to engage stakeholders and the planned information disclosure measures and the process for carrying out consultation with affected people and facilitating their participation during project implementation; (vii) describe the subproject's grievance redress mechanism for resolving complaints about environmental performance; (viii) present the set of mitigation measures to be undertaken to avoid, reduce, mitigate, or compensate for adverse environmental impacts; (ix) to describe the monitoring measures and reporting procedures to ensure early detection of conditions that necessitate particular mitigation measures; and (x) identify indicative costs and who is responsible for carrying out the mitigation and monitoring measures.
- 16. **Locationof the subproject.** The new water supply project is located along the Kekirawa Thalawa main road and around the Mahailluppallama town and surrounding villages. The total length of the water supply line is about 23 km starting from lpalogama town to Galmaduwa water tower. The new water supply is fed from the Ranaviru water tower having the storage Capacity of 1000 m3.
- 17. **Environmental Management Plan.** The subproject is unlikely to cause significant adverse impacts because: (i) the rapid sand filters will involve straightforward construction and operation, so impacts will be mainly localized; (ii) predicted impacts are localized and likely to be associated with the construction process and are produced because the process is invasive, involving excavation and earth movements; and (iii) being located mainly in an existing built-up area, will not cause direct impact on terrestrial biodiversity values. An environmental management plan (EMP) has been developed to provide mitigation measures to reduce all negative impacts to acceptable levels.

- 18. The EMP will guide the environmentally-sound construction of the subproject and ensure efficient lines of communication between PMU, SPMU, consultants and contractors. The EMP will (i) ensure that the activities are undertaken in a responsible non-detrimental manner; (i) provide a pro-active, feasible and practical working tool to enable the measurement and monitoring of environmental performance on site; (ii) guide and control the implementation of findings and recommendations of the environmental assessment conducted for the subproject; (iii) detail specific actions deemed necessary to assist in mitigating the environmental impact of the subproject; and (iv) ensure that safety recommendations are complied with. The EMP includes a monitoring program to measure the environmental condition and effectiveness of implementation of the mitigation measures.
- 19. The contractor will be required to submit to SPMU, for review and approval, a site environmental plan (SEP) including (i) proposed sites/locations for construction work camps, storage areas, hauling roads, lay down areas, disposal areas for solid and hazardous wastes; (ii) specific mitigation measures following the approved EMP; (iii) monitoring program as per SEP; and (iv) budget for SEP implementation. No works are allowed to commence prior to approval of SEP.
- 20. A copy of the EMP/approved SEP will be kept on site during the construction period at all times. The EMP included in the bid and contract documents. Non-compliance with, or any deviation from, the conditions set out in this document constitutes a failure in compliance.
- 21. **Consultations and disclosure.** Consultations with stakeholders, NWSDB engineers, and CEA have been conducted to discuss engineering and potential environmental issues. The main comments discussed at the meetings include requirement to carry out maintenance plan as scheduled by qualified staff, speed up the construction process, supply of water to all households, continuous supply of water, reduction of CKD affected people in the future and formation of committee on project monitoring. CEA confirms environmental clearance is not required for the subproject as the capacity and activities do not fall under category of "Prescribed Projects" in the National Environmental Act (NEA) of CEA. The public participation processes undertaken during project detailed design ensure that stakeholders and affected people are engaged during the preparation/finalization of the IEE. The planned information disclosure measures and process for carrying out consultation with affected people will facilitate their participation during project implementation. This IEE and any update/s and environmental monitoring reports will be publicly disclosed in ways and languages understood by stakeholders and affected people.
- 22. **Grievance Redress Mechanism.** The subproject will follow the existing GRM process established in the on-going LGESP. Assessment of the existing GRM shows that it has provided citizens with an effective platform for redress of their grievances. This IEE describes the existing GRM including informal and formal channels, time frame and mechanisms for resolving complaints about environmental performance.
- 23. **Findings and Recommendations.** The negative environmental impacts arising due to execution of the proposed water supply scheme are minor and negligible as compared to the long term Socio-economic and health benefits to be delivered to people of the project area. Negative impacts can be mitigated to standard levels without difficulty through proper engineering design and the incorporation or application of recommended mitigation measures and procedures as per EMP. It is recommended that (i) IEE be made part of the bid and contract documents to ensure that mitigation measures are appropriately budgeted and legally binding to the contractors; (ii) monitor diligently contractor/s EMP implementation by PMU,

SPMU and consultants on EMP implementation by contractors; (iii) involve stakeholders in all phases of implementation and disclose relevant project related documents; and (iv) continue existing GRM process.

24. **Conclusion.** The subproject is unlikely to cause significant adverse impacts. As per ADB SPS, the subproject is classified as environmental Category B and does not require further EIA.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Introduction

- 1. The Local Government Enhancement Sector Project (LGESP) was approved by ADB on 29 September 2012 with an amount of \$59 million equivalent from ADB's Special Fund Resources. The loan became effective on 29 November 2011 and the loan closing date is 31 December 2016. Ministry of Provincial Council and Local Government (MPCLG) is the executing agency, and subproject coordination unit (SPCU) is established in each provincial council (total seven) to supervise and coordinate and project implementation. LGESP has been supporting local infrastructure improvement and basic service delivery in less-developed areas in seven provinces (excluding the Northern and Eastern Provinces), based on a bottom-up, demand-driven approach. The additional financing is required to scale up a well-performing project. It includes (i) improvement of water supply systems in areas affected by chronic kidney diseases (CKD); (ii) improvement of local infrastructure and basic services delivery; and (iii) advancing policy reform of local government and strengthening their capacity.
- 2. **Additional Financing.** The additional financing will scale up the project that is performing well, by supporting water supply systems improvement in CKD-affected areas, and improving local infrastructure and basic services delivery and advancing local government policy reform and capacity strengthening in *PradeshiyaSabhas* not supported previously.
- 3. **Impact and Outcome.** The impact will be local authorities' capacity financially and technically strengthened in less-developed areas of seven provinces in Sri Lanka. The outcome will be improved local infrastructure and services delivered effectively by local authorities or NWSDB in less-developed areas of seven provinces in Sri Lanka. The impact statement is unchanged. The outcome statement was changed because most of the water supply schemes in areas affected by CKD will be operated by NWSDB.
- 4. The additional financing has three outputs. Output 1 has been added for the additional financing.
 - Output 1: Water supply systems in CKD-affected areas improved. The additional financing will finance development and expansion of water supply systems in CKD-affected areas in the four provinces (Central, North Central, North Western, and Uva) to provide safe drinking water. The schemes include development of new water supply systems and expansion of existing systems, mostly run by NWSDB. Facilities such as raw water intakes, water treatment plants, overhead tanks, and transmission and distribution networks are eligible for financing. About 30 schemes will be developed or expanded, and will be implemented by respective provincial councils with technical inputs and supervision support from NWSDB. Considering the nature and complexity of the schemes, the piped-network will be operated by NWSDB, except in local authorities which have adequate operational capacity. If local authorities are identified to be the appropriate entity to implement the subprojects, such local authorities will first have to submit a reform plan, as practiced in the original project, prior to the subproject implementation. PMU, through design and supervision consultants (DSCs), will provide capacity augmentation for construction supervision, when the resources available from NWSDB are insufficient.

- (ii) Output 2: Local infrastructure and basic service delivery improved. Social and economic infrastructure will be improved by newly participating local authorities. The approach will remain the same: 29 new *PradeshiyaSabhas* from five provinces (Central, North Western, Southern, Uva, and Western) which have not been supported under the LGIIP and LGESP will first have to submit a reform plan approved through a council resolution, which will be reviewed and confirmed by the Ministerial Committee of MPCLG to ensure that the minimum reform requirements are met. Then they will be qualified for the provision of a capital grant for infrastructure improvement. Eligible subprojects include (i) environmental infrastructure, (ii) economic infrastructure, (iii) public health infrastructure, and (iv) other local authority facilities. PMU, through DSCs, will support preparation of design and ensure the quality of the work. For both outputs 1 and 2, only the subprojects that meet the subproject selection criteria will be implemented.
- (iii) Output 3: Local government policy reform advanced and capacity strengthened. The additional financing will support establishment of IT solutions, which were developed under the original project and installed in the original 108 *PradeshiyaSabhas*, in 29 new *PradeshiyaSabhas*, and further advance business process reengineering in both original and new *PradeshiyaSabhas*. The activities will include (i) implementation support of the guidelines developed under the capacity development TA (CDTA), (ii) development and installation of additional software to simplify and increase efficiency of local administration, and (iii) capacity building programs to strengthen technical, financial, and administrative capacity of the original and new *PradeshiyaSabhas*, provincial councils, and MPCLG.
- **5. The subproject.** The Proposed Mahailluppallama water supply project is an extension of the Kekirawa water supply scheme located in Thalawa and Ipalogama Divisional secretariats of the Anuradhapura district. This water supply scheme falls in to four main GramaNiladhari (GN) divisions and partly in other four GN divisions as mentioned in the Table 1. Out of above, Galmaduwa, Gonpathirawa, Kadiyangala and Ihalagama GN divisions are fully covered by the proposed water supply scheme. One or few villages belonging to each GN Divisions are shown in the table 1.
- 6. It is distinctive that two levels of water supplies will take place under the Mahailluppalama water supply scheme. At one level, the proposed water supply scheme provides bulk water supplies to CBO water towers located at Galmaduwa, Gonapathiarawa and Kadiyangala GN divisions. At the second level, the new village areas in all GN divisions have been selected for laying of pipelines for giving 682 new connections. Among areas selected, the Mahailluppallama town is crucial as it is considered as one of main towns in the Anuradhapura district. It is noted that three CBO water supply lines laid had been financed by ADB under supervision of NWSDB as disclosed by NWSDB.
- 7. The NWSDB main water line has been laid up to Ipalogama junction where the new pipe laying commences for the entire project area. The Kekirawa water supply scheme receives water from Kalawewawater tank as the primary water source and the water treatment plant located at Ihalagama village has purification capacity of 18000m3 per day. The Kekirawa Water Supply scheme has three main water towers, out of them; Ranaviru water tower which has the capacity of 1000m3 will continue to supply water to the new water project. The new pipe laying starts from Ipalogama junction and ends at CBO water tower on the Galmaduwa road. The proposed total length of water supply line is 23 km. The pipe lay out drawing for this project is attached in the appendix 13.

- 8. As mentioned below, the Table 1 shows the pipe sizes to be laid, village areas and GN divisions covered under the proposed water supply scheme. The details of CBOs have been given in the Table 2 and these CBOs are registered institutions with respective government bodies and NWSDB as per social survey conducted for this IEE report.
- 9. The current population in these areas is around 6,764 belonging to 1748 families. All 1748 families are soles beneficiaries of this Mahailluppallama water supply scheme. The people living in these villages are presently using well water for drinking purpose. Around 21 numbers of CKD patients have been identified in these areas and it has become the responsibility of National Water Supply and Drainage Board (NWSDB) to provide drinking water to these villages. During the dry period (June to October), these people face drinking water shortage. And also the ground water is not suitable for drinking purpose due to poor quality.

Table 1: Details of water supply sub project

Table 1. Details of water supply sub project					
GN Division.	Villages	Pipe size(mm)	Remarks		
Galmaduwa- 378.	Galmaduwa,	110 (RHS) and	One CBO water tower		
	Ranaviru village	90 and 63(LHS	is located		
Ihalagama	Ihalagama	110 (RHS) and			
		90 and 63(LHS			
Kelediwlwewa.	Samanalapura	110 (RHS) and			
	·	90 and 63(LHS			
Sangattawa.	Puliyankulama	110 (RHS) and			
		90 and 63(LHS			
Ipologama.	lpalogama,	110 (RHS) and			
		90 and 63(LHS)			
Kadiyangala-510	Kadiyangala,	110 (LHS)	One CBO water tower		
			is located		
Gonapathirawa-509	Kudameegaswewa,	225(LHS) and	One CBO water tower		
	Ganthiriyagama	90 and	is located		
		63(RHS)			
Mahailluppallama 499.	Mahailluppallama,	160 (RHS) and	Mahailluppallama town		
	. ,	90(LHS)	and surrounding areas		

Table 2: CBOs and Beneficiaries

Table 2: Obos and Beneficialies					
GN Division	CBO	No of water	No of Beneficiaries		
		connections			
Galmaduwa.	Parakum-CBO		162.		
Kadiyangala.	PipenaKusum-CBO		500.		
Gonapathirawa.	SuwaUdana-CBO		528.		

B. Background of the IEE

- 10. Screening and assessment of potential impacts. ADB requires the consideration of environmental issues in all aspects of the Bank's operations, and the requirements for environmental assessment are described in ADB's Safeguard Policy Statement (SPS), 2009. The subproject is considered small-scale and potential environmental impacts have been assessed using ADB Rapid Environmental Assessment Checklist for Water Supply then potential negative impacts were identified in relation to pre-, construction and operation of the improved infrastructure.
- **11. Categorization.** Based on results of the assessment and ADB SPS, the subproject is classified as environmental Category B, i.e., the subproject is judged to be unlikely to have significant adverse environmental impacts. An initial environmental examination (IEE) is required to determine whether significant environmental impacts warranting an environmental impact assessment are likely.
- 12. This IEE aims to (i) provide critical facts, significant finding, and recommended actions; (ii) present the national and local legal and institutional framework within which the environmental assessment has been carried out; (iii) provide information on existing geographic, ecological, social and temporal context including associated facilities within the subproject's area of influence; (iv) assess the subproject's likely positive and negative direct and indirect impacts to physical, biological, socioeconomic, and physical cultural resources in the subproject's area of influence; (v) identify mitigation measures and any residual negative impacts that cannot be mitigated: (vi) describe the process undertaken during project design to engage stakeholders and the planned information disclosure measures and the process for carrying out consultation with affected people and facilitating their participation during project implementation; (vii) describe the subproject's grievance redress mechanism for resolving complaints about environmental performance; (viii) present the set of mitigation measures to be undertaken to avoid, reduce, mitigate, or compensate for adverse environmental impacts; (ix) to describe the monitoring measures and reporting procedures to ensure early detection of conditions that necessitate particular mitigation measures; and (x) identify indicative costs and who is responsible for carrying out the mitigation and monitoring measures.
- **13.Scope of IEE.** The IEE was based mainly on secondary sources of information and field reconnaissance surveys; as the subproject is of small scale, construction of trenches and laying of pipes will involve straight forward construction methods and impacts were assessed to be site-specific, short in duration and limited mostly to construction phase only, no field monitoring (environmental) survey was conducted. However, baseline monitoring on noise and dust levels will be conducted by the contractors prior to start of civil works and activities will be limited within permissible values or not above the background values if these are above the permissible limits. Stakeholder consultation is an integral part of the IEE.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE SUBPROJECT

A. Present Status

14. Due to geochemical condition of the province and continuous use of agrochemicals in the agricultural sector, the ground water and surface water quality levels have been dramatically

deteriorated causing CKD to considerable number of people living in the Anuradhapura district. At present, there are 21 CKD affected patients living in the proposed project area.

- 15. The people living in the proposed project area use ground water as the main water source. The ground water is contaminated with high fluoride content and other heavy elements. As well, the ground water level is dramatically reduced during the period from June toOctober of the year. Hence the residents and children face severe drinking water shortage and are forced to buy pure drinking water from RO plants and other water selling locations.
- 16. The main cause for CKD is due to consumption of inferior quality of water by the poor farming community in these areas. It is crucial to mention that CKD is spreading very fast in the NCP and with the objective of avoiding and minimizing the spread of CKD affected people in the NCP, Government of Sri Lanka requested ADB to extend the existing ADB funded "Puraneguma project" giving more priority to expand large scale water supply projects in the NCP in collaboration with NWSDB who has established and are managing large scale water supply schemes in the NCP.
- 17. Three community based water supply schemes operating in the proposed project area have no adequate pure drinking water supply as three ground water wells providewater them. Hence, the people including infants and children have faced drinking water shortage and have no access for safe and pure drinking water from outside. On the other hand, areas covering the Mahailluppallama town and suburbs are having important government institutions, commercial establishments and residential areas. This area had no pure and safe drinking water ever since except ground water from wells.
- 18. The Kekirawa water supply scheme is one of the largest scale water supply schemes commenced in 1985 with the objective provision of clean drinking water for large extent of residential and commercial areas. The water lines from the Kekirawa water supply scheme have been laid up to Ipalogama junction from where the new water lines are to be started for the proposed Mahailluppallama water project.
- 19. The main water treatment plant located at Ihalagama village operates under following steps to purify the water
 - Aeration
 - Flocculation
 - Sedimentation
 - Filtration
 - Chlorination
- 20. Appendix1 provides the detailed water treatment process. The water quality of treated water complies with given water quality standards of Sri Lanka Institute for standards (SLS) 2013for drinking water as shown in Appendix3.
- 21. The sludge produced is removed from sludge lagoons and spread in the nearby forested area (not protected area) and banana plantation. The supernatant water is released to the nearby natural water body. It is important to mention that the quantity of sludge produced from the treatment plant has not been estimated and the water quality of supernatant water has not

been analyzed by NWSDB over last few years. However, existing sludge lagoons and dry beds will be upgraded under this "**Puraneguma project**" in the latter part of 2016. Under the new project, the sludge disposal system, estimation of sludge quantity and analysis of supernatant water will be streamlined and recorded for proper operation and maintenance purpose of the treatment plant. It was proved through discussions with water engineers of NWSDB that Kekirawa water supply project has been operating without creating any environmental hazards and social disharmony due to disposing of sludge and waste water to outside.

22. The quality of treated water is at highest quality standard complying with the given SLS standards for drinking water. It is important that biological matters in the form of total Coliform bacteria and E-coli Bacteria are free in the treated water delivered to the people. In addition, raw water samples tested were at high quality drinkable status as compared to other numerous water sources of the Anuradhapura district.

B.Need for the Subproject

23. The residents of the proposed project area use groundwater for drinking which is highly contaminated due to presence of fluoride and heavy elements. The water level of wells is reduced during the period from June to October and creates severe water shortage for the people seeking water from outside sources like RO plants and other water selling locations. As per statistics, 21 CKD patients have been reported in the project area. Therefore, 1748 families living in the project area include children, infants and elderly people need to have access to clean and safe drinking water from NWSDB. As a result, the new Mahailluppallama water supply extension should be executed from Ipalogama to Galmaduwa road of Mahailluppallama area as a strategic water supply project.

C. Details of the Subproject

- 24. NWSDB has prepared the details of the subproject and has first submitted to the office of the Commissioner of Local Government (CLG) in the Provincial Council (PC) along with the brief project report. A detailed project report (DPR) including detail designs, bill of quantities and cost estimates will be submitted to the CLG with the assistance of the subproject coordinating unit (SPCU) in the PC office and the Resource Development Consultant Ltd (RDC) who work as the Consultants of the subproject.
- 25. The DPR is then submitted to the office of LGESP for its appraisal and approval of the subproject. Once the Project is approved, SPCU initiates the tender procedure to select a contractor to carry out the work. The work will be supervised by the technical staff of the NWSDB with the assistance of the staff of SPCU. The NWSDB will be responsible for the management of the construction work of the subproject. Select a contractor to carry out the work. The work will be supervised by the technical staff of the NWSDB with the assistance of the staff of SPCU. The NWSDB will be responsible for the management of the construction work of the subproject.
- **26. Location.** The new water supply project is located along the Kekirawa –Thalawa main road and around the Mahailluppallama town and surrounding villages. The total length of the water

supply line is about 23 km starting from Ipalogama town to Galmaduwa water tower. The new water supply is fed from the Ranaviru water tower having the storage Capacity of 1000 m3.

27. Details of the component: NWS&DB is planning to lay around 8.6km long pipe line from lpalogama town to Galmaduwa CBO water tower which is off 700m from the Thalawa-Kekirawa road. In addition, it is required to lay about 3.5 km of pipe length inside the village areas off the Mahailluppallama town area. Under this new water supply project, only new pipe laying will take place for provision of water. The detailed design has been made and relevant Bill of Quantities (BOQ) has been finalized for bidding process. The detailed design was finalized after meeting with the local community and other stake holders at the consultation meeting held on 22nd April 2016. Table 3 provides the major features of the subproject.

Table 3: Major Features of the Proposed Water Supply Subproject

Major Features	Description	Location
Transmiss ion and distributio n pipe line network	Three CBO water towers will have separate water supply lines from the new water project. The amount of water will be measured by a water meter fixed at the tower. The bulk supplies will be made to these towers. 110mm pipe line will be used for transmitting the water to these towers. The new water supply line project will be commenced from the lpalogama town and extended it to the Galmaduwa road water tower.	As shown in the drawing Figure3.
	The main water transmitting line will be 225 mm and 110 mm along the Thalawa –Kekirwa roads and off the Mahailluppallama town especially inside of village areas, 63 mm and 90 mm pipe lines will be used as distribution pipe lines. The total length of pipe line will be about 23 Km. Mahailluppalama town and suburbs will be provided clean and age of dripking water as ground water from walls is used as	
	safe drinking water as ground water from wells is used as drinking water. The pipes to be fitted in the system are in good quality as mentioned in the project proposal feasibility report.	

D. Implementation Schedule

28. The subproject is to be implemented over a period of 15months. This excludes period for procurement of contractors. Fifteen months would be for construction and finally commissioning of the new water supply project.

III. POLICY, LEGAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE FRAMEWORK

A. ADB Policy

- 29. ADB requires the consideration of environmental issues in all aspects of ADB's operations, and the requirements for environmental assessment are described in ADB SPS, 2009. This states that ADB requires environmental assessment of all ADB investments.
- **30. Screening and categorization.** The nature of the environmental assessment required for a project depends on the significance of its environmental impacts, which are related to the type and location of the project; the sensitivity, scale, nature, and magnitude of its potential impacts; and the availability of cost-effective mitigation measures. Projects are screened for their expected environmental impacts, and are assigned to one of the following four categories:
 - (i) **Category A.** Projects could have significant adverse environmental impacts. An EIA is required to address significant impacts.
 - (ii) Category B. Projects could have some adverse environmental impacts, but of lesser degree or significance than those in category A. An IEE is required to determine whether significant environmental impacts warranting an EIA are likely. If an EIA is not needed, the IEE is regarded as the final environmental assessment report.
 - (iii) **Category C.** Projects are unlikely to have adverse environmental impacts. No EIA or IEE is required, although environmental implications are reviewed.
 - (iv) Category FI. Projects involve a credit line through a financial intermediary or an equity investment in a financial intermediary. The financial intermediary must apply an environmental management system, unless all projects will result in insignificant impacts.
- 31. ADB Rapid Environmental Assessment (REA) Checklist for water supply and for urban development for municipal infrastructures will be used for the screening and categorization.
- **32. Environmental Management Plan.** An EMP, which addresses the potential impacts and risks identified by the environmental assessment, shall be prepared. The level of detail and complexity of the EMP and the priority of the identified measures and actions will be commensurate with the project's impact and risks.
- **33. Public disclosure.** ADB will post thee safeguard documents on its website as well as disclose relevant information in accessible manner in local communities:
 - (i) for environmental category A projects, draft EIA report at least 120 days before Board consideration;
 - (ii) final or updated EIA and/or IEE upon receipt; and
 - (iii) Environmental monitoring reports submitted by the Project Management Unit (PMU) during project implementation upon receipt.
- 34. LGESP will not consider Category A subprojects. Preparation of IEE for Category B and Due Diligence Report for Category C subproject will follow the updated environmental assessment and review framework.

B. National Laws

- **35.** Responsibility of Environmental Management in Sri Lanka. The National Environmental Act (NEA) was passed in 1981, and in 1982 the Central Environmental Authority (CEA) as a regulatory and enforcement agency was created. A cabinet-level ministry with the appointment of a Minister of Environment was created in 1990to handle the subject of environment and to ensure that environmental issues are given the required attention. The Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources (MENR) was set up in 1999 and formulated a National Environment Policy (NEP) in 2003, which is now being implemented. This policy set out the course of action needed in order to maintain Sri Lanka's natural resources and the living environment whilst allowing development projects to be implemented. Ministry of Mahaweli Development and Environment has been established on January 2015 and the mandate of environmental management of the country is now held with this new Ministry.
- **36. Applicable environmental legislations:** The implementation of LGESP Additional Financing will be governed by Government of Sri Lanka environmental acts, rules, regulations, and standards. These regulations impose restrictions on the activities to minimize/mitigate likely impacts on the environment. It is the responsibility of the project executing and implementing agencies to ensure that projects are consistent with the legal framework whether national, state, or municipal/local. Compliance is required in all stages of the project, including design, construction, and operation and maintenance. National laws and regulations that can be relevant to the project are briefly described in the Table below.

Table 4: Applicable National Laws and Regulations

Laws and Regulations	Provisions and Main Content			
National Environmental Act No.47 of 1980, Amendment No.56 of 1988, and other Amendments	The NEA is a framework environmental statue that makes provision for the protection, management and enhancement of the environment, for the regulation, maintenance and control of the quality of the environment, and for the prevention and control of pollution by implementing the subproject.			
National Environmental (Noise Control) Regulations No. 01 of 1996	Regulates maximum allowable noise levels for construction activities during subproject activities			
National Environmental (Protection & Quality) Regulations, No. 01 of 1990	Provides standards for discharging effluents into inland surface water during subproject activities.			
Fauna and Flora Protection Act (1993)	An act to amend the fauna and flora protection ordinance (Chapter 469) of 1938, which provide for the protection and conservation of the fauna and flora of Sri Lanka and their habitats; for the prevention of commercial and other misuse of such fauna and flora and their habitats, for the conservation of the biodiversity of Sri Lanka; and to provide for matters connected there with or incidental there to.			
Felling of Trees Control Act No. 09 of 1951 as Amended through Act No. 30 of 1953	This Act sought to prohibit and control the felling of specified trees (mainly intended to stop indiscriminate felling of specified trees) in the country.			
Water Resources Board Act No. 29 of 1964, Amendment No.42 of 1999	Control, regulation and development (including the conservation and utilization) of the water resources; the prevention of the pollution of rivers, streams and other water			

Laws and Regulations	Provisions and Main Content		
	resources; the formulation of national policies relating to the control and use of the water resources.		
The Soil Conservation Act, No. 25 of 1951 Amended in 24 of 1996	An act to make provisions for the enhancement and substances of productive capacity of the soil, to restore degraded land for the prevention and mitigation of soil erosion, for the conservation of soil resources and protection of land against damage by floods, salinity, alkalinity and drought and to provide for matters connected there with or incidental there to		
Explosive Act No. 36 of 1976	To provide the control of explosions and regulations of matters connected with explosive activities.		
Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Act 1996	The Act addresses the management, regulation, conservation and development of fisheries and aquatic resources during subproject activities.		
Flood Protection Ordinance No. 04 of 1924	An ordinance for the protection of areas subjected to damage from floods. This includes declaration of flood areas, preparation of schemes for flood protection and other rules and regulations regarding flood in the country.		
Geological Survey and Mines Bureau (GSMB) Act No. 33 of 1992	Regulates the exploration for minerals, mining, transportation, processing, trading in export of mineral products and usage of quarries and sand mines in the country.		
Crown Land Ordinance Act No. 1947	The act dealing with allocation and control of Crown lands In Sri Lanka for private and government activities.		
Irrigation Act No. 23 of 1983	An act to formulate policies and programmes in regard to the subjects of irrigation, reservoirs, water resources management and prevention of pollution of rivers, streams and other water recourses. Promotion, construction, operation and maintenance of irrigation schemes, drainage and flood control in the country.		
Regulations of Local Authorities	Regulates and control actions and methods taken place within the command area relevant to the government laws and regulations.		
National Water Supply and Drainage Board Act No 2 of 1974	This Act governs the supply and distribution of quality and safe drinking water to the Sri Lankan community. There are amendments made to this Act at different times.		

- **37. Applicability to the subproject.** The Mahailluppalama Water Supply Subproject does not fall within the category of "Prescribed Projects" listed in Gazette Extra-ordinary No. 772/22 of 24th June 1993 and subsequent amendments, which needs to go through the EIA process and subsequent conditional approval from the CEA of the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resource (MENR). These may, however be subjected to an environmental review, if the Project Approving Agency (PAA) and the CEA deem it necessary. Further,
 - The subproject **is not located within 100** m from the boundaries of or within any area declared under the National Heritage Wilderness Act no 4 of 1988.
 - The subproject **is not located within 100 m** from the boundaries of or within any area declared under the Forest Ordinance (Chapter 451).

- The subproject **is not located within** coastal zone as defined in the Coast Conservation Act No 57 of 1981.
- The subproject **is not located within** any erodible area under the Soil Conservation Act (Chapter 450).
- The subproject **is not located within** flood prone areas declared under Flood Protection Ordinance (Chapter 449).
- The subproject is not located within low lying area of North Central Province which is a flood protection area declared under the Sri Lanka Land Reclamation and Development Corporation Act 15 of 1968 as amended by Act No 52 of 1982.
- The subproject **is not located within** 60 meters from the bank of a public stream as defined in the Crowns Lands Ordinance (Chapter 454) and having width of more than 25 meters at any point of its course.
- There are no reservations beyond the full supply level of a reservoir within the proposed subproject site.
- The subproject is not located within any archaeological reserve, ancient or protected monument as defined or declared under the Antiquities Ordinance (Chapter 188).
- The subproject **is not located within** any area declared under the Botanic Gardens Ordinance (Chapter 446).
- The subproject **is not located within**100 meters from the boundaries of, or within, any area declared as a Sanctuary under the Fauna and Flora protection Ordinance (Chapter 454).
- The subproject **is not located** within 100m from high flood level contour of a public lake as defined by the Crown Land Ordinance (1947, 1949, and 1956) including those declared under Section 71 of the ordinance.
- The subproject **is not located** within 100m from the boundaries of or within any area declared under the Forest Ordinance (Chapter 451)
- The subproject **is not located** within the area declared under the Urban Development Authority Act No. 41 of 1978 and Act No. 4 of 1982 Section 29.

38. Table 3summarizes the permits required for the subproject relevant to its implementation and activities.

Table 5: Key Permits needed for the subproject activities

Project stage	Clearance and Permits	Activity	Relevant Agency
Pre-construction stage (Although the clearances and approval should be obtained during the pre-construction	Industrial Mining License (IML)	Operation of borrow areas (material extraction sites)	GS&MB
stage and it is not valid throughout the project cycle.	Environmental Protection License (EPL)	Operation of borrow areas (material extraction sites)	CEA
However this should be renewed once before the expiry date)	Explosive Permits	Blasting activities	MoD
	Local Government Authorities Trade License	Operation of metal quarries, crushers, borrow areas, dispersal sites, labour camps	LAs

Project stage	Clearance and Permits	Activity	Relevant Agency
	Approval for removal of trees	Laying of main pipe lines along the ROW of roads	DS, DoF,

DoF-Department of Forests, DS-Divisional secretariat, LAs-Local Authority, MOD-Ministry of Defense

C. Environmental Standards

- **39. Environmental Protection License (EPL).** Discharge of waste to the environment is controlled by the National Environmental (Protection & Quality) Regulations No. 01 1990 (Gazette 595/16, 1990) and the amendments published in Gazette 1159/22 of 2000, under the NEA. These regulations establish the need for any person discharging waste to do so only under a license (Environmental Protection License or EPL) issued by the CEA, and in accordance with the gazetted discharge standards and criteria. The EPL can be issued up to three years (Gazette 1159/22).
- **40. Status of EPL.**NWSDB does not need to obtain the EPL for operation of Ihalagama water treatment plant (IWTP) under the Kekirawa Water supply scheme. As its current Capacity is18000m3 per day which is much less than 500,000 m3 per day the minimal requirement for obtaining the EPL from CEA. As the subproject will only involve with laying of pipelines construction, the subproject will not require the EPL from CEA.
- 41. Drinking Water Quality Parameters. Appendix 3 provides the applicable National Standards of Sri Lanka Institute (SLI Guideline values to be complied with prior distribution of the water supply. The design of the subproject has taken into consideration the guideline values. It should be noted that results of water testing on treated water from IWTP conducted by NWSDB show compliance with SLI standards. Results further show E-coli and Coli-form bacteria are not present in the treated water being delivered to consumers in the existing water supply areas.

IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE EXISTING ENVIRONMENT

A. Methodology Used for the Baseline Study

- 42. The IEE was based mainly on secondary sources of information and field reconnaissance surveys as the subproject is involved with laying of pipe lines only. The laying of pipe lines will have some limited environmental impacts that are localized and short term as per the methodology of construction. However, baseline monitoring on noise and dust levels will be conducted by the contractors prior to start of civil works and if the noise and dust values will be limited within permissible levels, there will not be any environmental impacts on the local community in the project. If these are above the permissible limits, stakeholder consultation will be an integral part of the IEE until the construction activities are completed.
- 43. A baseline survey was conducted to collect the data and information on physical condition, biodiversity status (habitat types, animals and plant species), land use pattern and socio-Economic structure of the project area. The line transect method and sampling were carried out about 50-100m from either side of road sections determined for burying pipelines in the

proposed project area. The land use pattern up to 50m or impact influential area on both sides of the existing centre line of the existing road was studied through field visits made during the under mentioned period. The plant species, bird species were identified and recorded. Group and individual interviews were conducted to collect views of people in the area. Some government institutions like RDA, PRDA, PS and Forest department were consulted to get their views for implementation of the proposed project. Resource Development Consultants (RDC) conducted the field assessments from 4th and 5th April 2016 in the Anuradhapura district of Sri Lanka.

- 44. The literature survey broadly covered the following:
 - (i) Project details, reports, maps, and other documents available with the Design and Supervision Consultant (DSC) team of the on-going ADB-funded LGESP
 - (ii) Discussions with Feasibility Study team
 - (iii) Secondary data from previous project reports and published articles, and
 - (iv) Literature on land use, soil, geology, hydrology, climate, socioeconomic profiles, and environmental planning documents collected from Government of Sri Lanka agencies and websites.
- 45. A separate socioeconomic study was conducted to determine the demographic information, archaeological and religious places, densely populated pockets, and settlements.
- 46. The data collected was analyzed and interpretations made to assess the physical, biological, and socioeconomic features of the subproject site. The relevant information is presented in the succeeding paragraphs.

B. Physical Characteristics of the Subproject Area

- **47. Location.** The new water supply project is located along the Kekirawa –Thalawa main road and around the Mahailluppallama town and surrounding villages. The total length of the water supply line is about 23 km starting from lpalogama town to Galmaduwa water tower. The new water supply is fed from the Ranaviru water tower having the storage Capacity of 1000 m3.
- **48. Geology, Geomorphology and Soil.** More than 90 percent of Sri Lankan rocks are metamorphic rocks that are metamorphic in high grades such as granulate and amphibolites facies. The North, North Western segment from Puttalam to Trincommalee consists mainly of Miocene age limestone, sedimentary formations deposited in Tertiary and Quaternary periods.
- 49. Most of the Sri Lankan crystalline rocks belong to Precambrian ages (older than 570 million years) while others are of more recent origin. This Precambrian age metamorphic rocks are sub divided into three major litho logical groups as High land, Wanni complex and Vijayan complex. Therefore, according to this categorization, a majority of the Anuradhapura district belong to the Wanni complex where Precambrian metamorphic rocks are prominent. Metasediments, Charnockitic gneisses, basic rocks, migmatites and granitic gneisses, granites and pegmatite are particularly present in this region
- 50. Reddish brown earth is the prominent soil type in the entire dry zone of country. Sri Lanka has been sub divided in to different agro- ecological zones considering soil type, rainfall and land use etc. In the project area, Reddish Brown earth, Low HumicGley soils and Grumusol soils are present.

- **51. Topography and Climate.** The Proposed project area is flat and undulating terrain. No hilly or mountainous areas are falling in the project area. The project area receives the water from November to February during the North –Eastern monsoonal rain. The average annual RF received to this area is about 1800mm and the driest months prevail from June to October causing depletion of ground water level.
- 52. The evapo-transpiration is higher in most of Anuradhapura climatic zones including the proposed project area. The average temperature in the project area varies from $29C^0$ to $34~C^0$. The highest temperature is $36~C^0$ recoded from June to October of the year as shown in the Table 6.

Table 6: Climate Data of the Area

Parameter	Figures
Temperature (C ⁰)	29C ⁰ -34 C ⁰
Precipitation (mm)	1250-1960mm

- **53.** Hydrology and Drainage. There are some natural streams and low land water retention areas in the proposed Mahailluppallama project area. Among them, Yoda Ella stream is going parallel to one of inroads in the Ihalagama GN Division where the surface drainage of the area is drains off to the Yoda Ella. However, these water bodies go dry during the dry period from June to October of the year, most of The paddy represent mostly in the Ganthiriyagama and lpalogama GN divisions where surface drainage is received to low land areas without inundating the roads and residential areas. However the concrete side drains have not been well established along the Thalawa –Kekirawa main road, hence, the drainage over flows across the roads and leads to low land areas causing some soil erosion.
- **54. Surface Water Quality.** The surface water is highly polluted due to addition of Agrochemicals, human fecal matters and surface drainage receiving due to absence of proper drainage system. It has been proved that geochemically dissolved elements like Mn, Cu, fluoride and chloride are high in concentration as compared to content of the surface waters in the country. As well, water quality parameters like low dissolved oxygen, high electric conductivity; high Ecoli bacteria and total Coli form bacteria have been noticed in surface water samples of the Mahailluppallama area.
- 55. It can be observed that in the proposed project area, it is very difficult to see surface water bodies within 500m distance from the proposed project area. Hence it can be concluded that contamination of surface water is highly unlikely situation due to implementation of the proposed project.
- **56. Ground Water Quality.** Ground water in dug wells has high fluoride and chloride concentrations as well as increased total solids and high electrical conductivity as per the water quality analysis carried out by WHO and NWS&DB for last 20 years in the North –Central province (NCP). In general, geochemically, Na/K with CL- is high in the ground water in the Anuradhapura district including Mahailluppallama area during the period from June to October of the year. It is also believed that high fluoride content in ground water has affected to cause dental fluorosis and Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD). Number of people suffering from CKD is on

the increase despite medical treatments. It has been found that heavy elements like Cr,Mn and Cu are high in ground water too. Although the actual cause of CKD is not known, high fluoride content and total solids have affected seriously on the people of Anuradhapura.

- **57. Air Quality and Noise Level.** Since the selected road sections are mostly located within rural areas, sources of air quality pollutants are hardly found. Therefore, air quality in the entire project area appears to be good. However, there is a chance of deteriorating the air quality temporarily due to vehicular emissions and drifting of dust from gravel roads and other deteriorated roads.
- 58. Domestic sources of air pollution will include emissions from burning of agricultural wastes, other domestic solid wastes, wood and kerosene burning stoves in settlements and villages. As the project area is rich in vegetation, all such emissions will be very well dissipated.
- 59. Vehicle Emission Test (VET) became mandatory with effect from 15th July 2008 to enforce environmental regulations and conform to the environmental standards on vehicle emission as per the Motor Traffic Act (Emission control) Regulation of 1994, 817/6, Part I, Section I. This move is a part of the efforts to improve the air quality in the island and this regulation is applicable for all construction sites if air quality is going to be deteriorated.
- 60. The subproject site mostly includes rural setting with a good vegetation cover. Therefore, the noise levels are relatively low. According to Schedules I and II of National environmental (Noise Control) regulations No.1 1996 (924/12), the study area belongs to "Low noise area". Therefore, the ambient noise level of the area can be considered as 55 dB (A) during day time (06.00 hrs-18.00 hrs) and 45 dB (A) night time (18.00 hrs 06.00 hrs). Rich vegetation in the subproject site acts as an efficient noise absorbent.

C. Ecological Characteristics of the Project Area

- **61. Forests and ecological sensitive areas.** The proposed project site is located in the residential and paddy field areas and no ecologically sensitive areas and forest patches are observed along the proposed water line laying areas. Few trees like Mara, teaks, Kubuk maybe intercepted while pipe laying works are carried out along proposed roads. Branches of trees and complete tree uprooting could be done if necessary during the construction works. However the list of flora and fauna existing along roads is mentioned in the annexure... About 15 trees may be cut down for the civil construction works of the project.
- **62. Distribution of faunal species.** As described above, no ecologically sensitive areas do not exist in the project area like mangroves, marshy areas and wetlands. Hence, sensitive faunal species like threatened birds, mammals, reptiles and other animals are not existent in the project area. However, common birds like crows, parrots, kingfishers are abundantly residing in the area.
- **63. Wetlands.** There are no wet lands in the Anuradhapura district as classified by the Convention on Wetlands. The proposed project area is not located close to a marshy land too. Therefore, it can be concluded that there will not be any environmental impact on the wetland or marshy land due to implementation of the proposed project.

64. Coastal Marine Environment. The subproject is not located close to a coastal marine environment.

D. Socio Economic Profile

65. The water board has planned to supply pure and safe drinking water to eight GN divisions coming under the preview of Thallawa and Ipologama Divisional secretariat areas. Details of the GN divisions are as follows.

Table 7: GN divisions

DS Division.	GN Division.	Location.	Extent.
Thalawa.	Galmaduwa- 378.	4Km from Thalawa in	4.51Sqm.
		Thalawa -Kekirawa	
		road.	
Ippologama	Ippologama Kadiyangala-510 4Km from Kekirawa in		4.94Sqm
		road to Eppawala.	
Ippologama.	Gonapathirawa-509	5Km from Kekirawa in	2.29Sqm.
		road to Eppawela.	
Ippologama.	Mahailluppallama 499.	11Km from	9.67Sqm.
		Ippologama in road to	
		Eppawela.	

66. At present, there are about 1748 families living in these areas without pure and safe drinking water. They get water from unsafe sources and with the awareness given by various sources including media they go long way to bring purified water through RO plants for drinking purpose. As such it is a timely action to extent the pipe line to this area.

Population details

Table 8: Number of families and the population in sub project area

GN Division.	Families.	Female.	Male.	Total.
Galmaduwa.	311	520	505	1025
Kadiyangala.	507	821	747	1568
Gonapathirawa.	532	810	811	1621
Mahailluppalama.	398	691	602	1831.

In addition to the total coverage of above GN Divisions, following four GN Division will be partly covered.

Table 9: Additional GN Divisions

GN Division.	Total Population.	Coverage.
Ippologama.	1272	140
Sangattawa.	1605	168
Kelediwlwewa.	1118	628
Ihalagama	1189	1172

Source: Water Board.

Table 10: Age wise population

GN. Division.	0-5	6-18	19-35	36-50	51-69	Above	Total.
						70	
Galmaduwa.	58	196	322	321	99	29	1025.
Kadiyangala.	180	461	416	392	109	15	1568
Gonapathirawa.	112	126	487	485	245	66	1621
Mahailluppallama	85	325	363	345	142	33	1293.

- 67. Ethnicity and religiosity is not an issue in these villages. According to the figures presented by SampathPathikada in DS offices, 19 Tamils and 68 Muslims live in the area. According to the officers at DS and PS as well as community members, there is no disparity or discrimination among community groups. This Tamil and Muslim people live harmoniously with all people and they participate in all community activities in the society.
- 68. There could be large number of high potential CKD patients who have not been identified by medical doctors However; currently the health sector has identified 21 CKD patients living in the area. Development officers at DS offices accepted that this number is correct and patients are being paid a welfare allowance.

Table 11: Source of drinking water

GN Division.	Source of drin	Source of drinking water.			ilets.
	Well water.	Tap water	Other.	Available.	No.
		(CBO).			
Galmaduwa.	131.	150	12	304	05
Kadiyangala.	-	433	-	438	05
Gonapathirawa.	5	528	-	528	05
Mahailluppalama.	99	85	190	372	06

69. The table shows that people of the area are getting drinking water from unprotected sources as cited by people in the project area. The main water source for CBO water supply schemes is ground water wells as per NWSDB. Since the ground water is found to be contaminated, it is not in the safe drinkable status for the people in the project area. ADB had assisted by providing three water towers to Galmaduwa, Kadiyangala and Gonapathirawa GN divisions five years ago. Villagers in these GN divisions have formed three Community Based Organizations to manage the process of water supply to villagers. Details of CBOs are given below.

Table 12: CBOs and Beneficiaries

GN Division.	CBO.	Beneficiaries
Galmaduwa.	Parakum-CBO	162.
Kadiyangala.	PipenaKusum-CBO	500.
Gonapathirawa.	SuwaUdana-CBO	528.

70. These CBOs have been registered with the water board and the Divisional Secretariat offices in the area. According to their records, they have made arrangement to distribute water and may charge the fee per month that is around Rs.400/ per family. It is observed that these CBOs are managing the water supply schemes well and operate according to the procedures

given to them. Parakum CBO at Galmaduwa has maintained a bank account with a saving balance of Rs.600, 000.00.

- 71. However, with the eruption of the CKD issue and diverse revelations made by different sources, the community members are reluctant to use water from deep wells in the area. The assistant director planning at the Thallawa DS mentioned that the prime request of the community members is the treated and pure drinking water. Community members also mentioned that their major need is the pure drinking water to protect the future generations from the CKD epidemic.
- 72. Understanding the ground reality, the water board has planned to provide safe and treated drinking water to these areas. The water board will provide bulk supply to the above mentioned three CBOs. CBOs will manage the distribution to households as they do now. According to the discussion with community members, CBO leaders and government officers, the demand for treated water will be increased by about 50%. In addition to supplying water in to CBO towers, the water board will provide individual connections to dwellers along the main road from Mahailluppallama to Kalediulwewa. According to the water board, this number will be about 682 new connections. However, all the community members have requested to DS offices to provide them with pure drinking water. Under these circumstances, the project will be feasible and beneficial to about 5500 members in 1748 families.

Availability of health facilities

73. Following table show that the community members in this area deliberately need treated pure drinking water. Figures in relation to using toilets show that their awareness and behavior is encouraging.

GN Division. Source of drinking water. Availability of toilets. Well water. Tap Other. Available. No. water(CBO). 304 131. 150 12 05 Galmaduwa. Kadiyangala. 433 _ 438 05 5 05 Gonapathirawa. 528 528 Mahailluppalama. 99 85 190 372 06

Table 13: Health facilities

74. All these GN divisions get rural hospitals to go for treatment for minor ailments. Midwife conducts the monthly maternal and child care clinic with the help of medical officers from MOH office. There are few private medical centers where the government doctors treat patients in the evening. For major treatment, community members go to Kekirawa hospital and Anuradhapura teaching hospital.

Economy and Employment

75. Back born of the economy in these areas is paddy farming. About 540.17 hectares are covered by paddy cultivation. These paddy fields are being fed by water from Kalawewa through small tanks and canals. Although farmers face with diverse problems in cultivation and selling the harvest is still an issue. The following table explains very well the land use pattern in DS divisions.

Table 14: Land use

Crop	Extent of hectares
Paddy	521.79 (Hectars.)
Coconut.	78.7
Home garden.	122.4
Other Cultivation	497.9
Forest.	182.4
Buildings.	32.4
Water areas.	81.4

76. Majority of the community members are engaged in paddy cultivation as the main occupation. Very few works in government and private sectors. Following table explains the distribution of employment. There is a garment factory in Eppawela town and it provides employment to large number of youth in the area.

Table 15: Distribution of employment

Cultivation.	38
Government- Employment.	12
Private Sector.	18
Self Employment.	15.
Labor work.	8
Foreign Employment.	4
Other.	5
Total.	100

77. From the field survey and observations, it is clear that community members in these villages live a satisfactory life other than staying with fear on CKD epidemic. About 95% houses are completed with sheet/ tile roofing and cemented floor.

Market Facilities

78. Thalawa, Eppawela and Kakirawa are the main towns where community members go for marketing. In addition to these main towns, there are small townships in every junction on the main road. According to the community members as well as development officers in DS officers there are good number of small boutiques inside of the villages where they can buy day today needs.

Table 16: Availability of electricity and telephone facilities.

GN Division.	Availability of Electricity.		Availability of Telephone.		
	Available.	No	Fixed line.	Mobile.	No.
Galmaduwa.	271	22			
Kadiyangala.	418	25	200	850	-
Gonapathirawa.	523	10	285	1380	-
Mahailluppalama.	295	79	156	734	-

79. Following table shows that community members in this area are enjoying with 100% communication facilities and more than 90% houses have the electricity connection. Others are waiting to get the electricity under the new government policy of "Electricity for all"

Transport

80. As these GN Divisions are situated along the Kekirawa- Thalawa main road, they have a very good bus service to go to nearby towns as well as to Anuradhapura. Further with current development of the general economy, majority of families have their own transport like bicycle, three wheelers and small Lories.

E. Site Specific Description of Environmental Conditions

81. The proposed project site is traversing through paddy fields, residential areas, home gardens, barren lands, government institutions and small factories. In addition, a small stream called Yoda Ella is passing the side of the gravel road called Samanalapura road. Thestream is providing water for the paddy farming in the area. The project site starts from the Ipalogama town area and extend up to Galmaduwa CBO water tower for about 23km. Along the Thalawa – Kekirawa road, there are tall trees as well as smaller trees along the road. Some of the trees need to be uprooted (about 20 trees)while some branches have to be cut down in order to carry out the construction works. Some of the gravel roads are located inside the Galmaduwa, Ganthiriyagama and Kadiyangala GN divisions. Some roads inside the GN divisions consist of tar surfaces while some have gravel surfaces.

V. ANTICIPATED IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

82. Screening and assessment of potential impacts. The subproject is considered small-scale and potential environmental impacts have been assessed using ADB Rapid Environmental Assessment Checklist for Water Supply (Appendix 5) then potential negative impacts were identified in relation to pre-construction, construction and operation of the improved infrastructure.

A. Pre-Construction

- 83. Discussions were carried out with design engineers about technical solutions to mitigate environmental impacts arising during implementation period of the subproject. Subsequently the subproject was looked at in the light of environmental concerns and construction methodologies complying with CEA and ADB SPS 2009.
- 84. Although there are some potential adverse environmental impacts, they are mostly temporary and localized. The net environmental impacts are positive and large. However, Potential negative impacts for subproject categories are summarized in Table 17. These can largely be avoided through proper subproject design or mitigation through adopting proper mitigation measures and management of the project which will be incorporated into contractors' contract documents.

B. Construction

85. Based on the REA Checklist, the subproject is unlikely to cause significant adverse impacts

- because: (i) only pipe laying along roads will be carried as major construction activities (ii) predicted impacts during construction are localized and likely to be associated with the construction process and are produced because the process is invasive, involving excavation and earth movements; and (iii) areas where civil construction activities are to go have no specific biodiversity impacts as only few smaller trees will be uprooted.(iv) no water ways or sensitive flood areas are intercepted during the construction.(v) no resettlement activities or relocation of utilities are needed as existing ROW is used for laying pipes.(vi) no voluntary or involuntary land acquisition is required (vii) minor traffic condition may be created in the Mahailluppallalama town areas and near commercial establishments due to piling of materials and equipment's. The potential impacts identified include impact on air quality due to increased dust generation, increased noise levels, generation and disposal soil, traffic flows and increased soil erosion due to excavation works.
- 86. When working during dry periods, dust generation will be possible in town areas and it will affect residences and commercial establishments close to roads and to road users. Systematic watering in excavated sections will be the solution.
- 87. Noise level should be below 75db (A). Machines used for drilling and mixing of concretes should not produce the sound level above 75db (A) and it should be measured using relevant equipment. Additionally, sound controlled devices on machineries and equipment need to be adopted as per CEA environmental regulations.
- 88. Trenches will be dug by the workforce as per engineering designs and as a result, the excavated soil is loaded to a lorry by a backhoe once the back filling is completed. Excavated soil will be covered with a tarpaulin cover while transporting. It will minimize the impacts on the environment and health of the people due to emissions of dust in the wind.
- 89. Excavation along existing road sections using medium level backhoe to lay PVC pipes will create minor scale impacts for road users. Proper traffic management system with appropriate sign boards should be established by the contractor.
- 90. It is advised to carry out the construction activities during the dry season especially from June to October of the year and avoid the construction during the rainy season as it will induce soil erosion and create run off condition on the site. Therefore to avoid soil erosion and increased silt run-off, excavated soil should not be stockpiled at the site and taken away as soon as the back filing is finished.
- 91. There will be no damage and interruption on the public utilities: Burying PVC pipes in trenches along roads may sometimes disturb the existing utility lines like Telecom lines, access to residences or other existing structures such as culverts and drains. NWS&DB has already identified such locations and will inform the contractor for necessary relocation or precautions if needed. Systematic and careful cutting of the earth and refilling after burying pipes will avoid potential damages on existing utilities and other permanent structures. Technical designs will also explain construction procedures. However, as per field observations, few small trees will have to be uprooted for laying of pipes. It is required to locate the existing CBO water pipe lay outs form CBOs or NWSDB in Galmaduwa, Gonapathirawa and Kadiyangala GN Divisions prior to commencing the laying of main water line to respective CBO water towers.

- 92. Pace is available in surrounding areas of the project for construction materials storage. Thus stock piling of construction materials and natural drainage paths at the site and surrounding will not be disturbed.
- 93. Storage of PVC pipes, other related materials and construction equipment's should not be done along road sides. The overall construction programme period needs to be shortened using sufficient labour force and equipment as it will minimize the inconvenience on residents, commercial establishments and other transport services at construction sites.
- 94. Construction workers will be deployed from neighborhood to worksites.
- 95. The construction activities will be conducted during daylight hours to minimize the disturbances to local residents. Due to construction activities, access to the business premises located around the Thalawa-Kekirawa main road and Mahailluppallama town area may be disturbed during the day time. This could be reduced through adopting temporary access provisions and traffic sign boards as business accesses should not be disturbed unnecessarily.
- 96. All organic and other forms of solid wastes generated will be disposed to CEA approved disposal yards. The excavated soil will be disposed to disposal yards directly.
- 97. Construction impacts from construction of the water supply system will cause inconvenience to the people in the area. The contractor should attempt to minimize inconveniences on people finishing off scheduled works in a specific area before opening another area for construction. It is important to maintain cleanliness of the construction area during the progress of work.
- 98. Natural drainage patterns in the project area will not be impacted by the construction activities as no diversion of canal or waterways will be made during the implementation of project activities.
- 99. Land use pattern and Livelihood activities including paddy field works will not affected by the project activities as the construction will be carried out only along the right of way of the road(ROW) without invading private lands, residential areas and forest areas situated in the vicinity of the construction area
- 100. Mitigation measures. As discussed above, the potential impacts identified during construction include impact on air quality due to increased dust generation, increased noise levels, increased traffic flows due to road excavations for laying pipes and dumping of soils along roads, drainage issues due to piling of materials and disposal of soil, solid waste generation due to camping and increased soil erosion due to excavation works. Measures to mitigate the potential impacts are presented in Table 18. Both the contractor and NWSDB will be responsible for mitigation activities and monitoring of effectiveness of these measures. Supervision of the activities has to be done mainly through the respective SPCU and CLG.

C. Operation and Maintenance

- 101. The proposed water supply scheme will be operated and maintained by NWSDB. A sound operational and maintenance plan and schedules will be formulated by NWSDB prior to commissioning of the sub project.
- 102. Potential environmental impacts during operations and maintenance of the sub project include (i) possible water leakages through pipe joints (ii) generation of additional sludge and waste water due to frequent back wash operations for filters and; (iii) increased sewage due to improved water supply system; and (iv) illegal water connections and wastage of water
- 103. This will be involved with regular checking and recording of performance for signs of deterioration, servicing and replacement of parts, etc. A small number of people will be employed to operate and maintain the water supply system.
- 104. The main requirement for maintenance of the transmission and distribution system will be for the detection and repair of leakages. Generally the existing flat topography and usage of good quality PVC pipes for construction ensures that pipeline breaks and water leakages are very rare and are mainly limited to joints between pipes. The repair of household connections and the provision of new connections to increase the number of people will reduce the incidence of illegal connections that are often a major source of leakages. There may be occasions to carry out pipe repairs based on the routine maintenance or on public complaints.
- 105. Monitoring of water quality and quantity should be done on regular basis by NWSDB during the operational period. The water quality tests should be done by NWSDB and relevant MOH office.
- 106. Proper maintenance of rapid sand filter and chlorination will be very important for successful operation of the project. During the periods of heavy rains or floods, it is advisable to clean the rapid sand filter with frequent back wash operations.
- 107. There may be increased back wash operations due to accumulation of more particles in filters at the IWTP. As well, the sludge generated could be more in amount as the water demand has been increased due to the operation of the subproject. The Sludge generated is released to an abandoned forest area (non protected forest area) and banana plantation located in the back of IWTP. The waste water is released to an open water body located in the vicinity of the IWTP. However, the existing sludge lagoons and dry beds will be upgraded under the "**Puraneguma project**" in the latter part of 2016. Under the new project, the sludge disposal system, estimation of sludge quantity and analysis of supernatant water will be streamlined and recorded for proper operation and maintenance purpose of the treatment plant.
- 108. Increased in sewage is anticipated due to improved water supply system. However, this impact is assessed to be not significant and can be mitigated as results of the socio-economic survey shows availability of sanitation facilities in households.
- 109. Waste materials are expected to be generated during operation and maintenance activities. However, these will be minimal and not significant as IWTP is considered as small-scale. Any waste that will be generated will be segregated. Reusable and recyclable materials will not be disposed.

- 110. Mitigation measures. As discussed above, the potential impacts identified during operation and maintenance include (i) possible water leakages through pipe joints (ii) generation of additional sludge and waste water from increased back wash operations (iii) increased sewage due to improved water supply system; and (iv) illegal water connections and wastage of water. Measures to mitigate the potential impacts are presented in Table 14. NWSDB will be responsible for mitigation activities and monitoring of effectiveness of these measures.
- 111. Table17 summarizes the potential impacts at different phases of subproject implementation, severity and duration. It can be seen that the potential impacts are during construction and operation and maintenance are not significant and temporary in duration. Table 18 provides the mitigation measures to ensure that impacts are within acceptable limits and remain insignificant throughout subproject implementation.

Table 1: Environmental Impacts during Construction and Operational Phases

Activity	Potential Negative Impacts	Severity	Duration
Pre-Construction Phase	Obtaining permits for use of ROW for burying pipe lines	N	Т
	Approvals obtained for burrowing of earth	M	T+P
	Approvals obtained from Irrigation Department for use of stream side	N	Т
Construction Phase	Traffic congestion during road excavation	M	Т
	Damages to existing roads	M	T
	Increased Noise and dust	N	Т
	Impacts on existing habitats	N	Т
	Damages to natural drainage pattern	N	Т
	Waste generation and camping around	M	Т
Operational Phase	Lowering water quality due to addition of affluent	N	Т
	Amount of Sludge generated	N	Т
	Increased in sewage generation	N	T+P
	Possible negative impacts due to poor operation and maintenance (O&M) systems on the project by NWSDB	N	Т
	Possible negative impacts to quality and quantity of water supplied by the project and to other water intakes	N	Т
	Possible negative impacts to PVC pipes laid along roads due to allowing of all heavy vehicles to be parked	М	Т
	Possible negative impacts to PVC pipes suspended along the stream	N	Т
	Proper maintenance of Rapid Sand	N	Т

Filter (RSF)		
Generation of waste materials	N	T

N - Negligible, M - Moderate, S - Severe, T - Temporary, P - Permanent

Table 18: Mitigation Measures for Potential Environmental Impacts

Activity	Potential Negative Impacts	Mitigation Measures
Pre-	Permits to be obtained for cutting trees	Cut down branches of trees rather than
Construction	remits to be obtained for eatting trees	removing.
Phase	Approvals obtained for burrowing of	Source the materials from qualified
	earth	suppliers
Construction Phase	Traffic congestion during road excavation	To implement a proper traffic control plan using sign boards, barricade tapes, and flag men.
	CAGAVATION	Alternative spaces to store materials/ park machineries need to be arranged.
	Damages to existing roads	Excavations to be carried out after study of design drawings. It will minimize unnecessary damages on roads Small to medium size machineries will be used for narrow roads.
	Dust generation	Regularly spray water on excavated soil surface to minimize generation of dust.
		When transporting all materials such as earth, sand and cement, cover them with tarpaulin to avoid spillage of materials and production of dust due to wind. Appropriate measures shall be in place to minimize the emissions of dust while handling, loading/unloading of materials
		Avoid transporting of excavated soils and mud during rainy days
	Increased noise level	Construction activities be carried out only during day time from 7am to 5.pm every day and limited night work be done for the concrete slabs. All machineries used in this regard will have noise control devices to reduce the sound level below 75 db as specified by CEA environmental regulations and as shown in the Appendix 8.
	Impacts on the existing CBO pipelines	Use CBO layout drawings on the ground avoid construction activities on the same side of the road.
	Impacts on existing habitats	No endemic or endangered tree

Activity	Potential Negative Impacts	Mitigation Measures
		species are damaged and to control
		soil erosion, plant more trees in the project area and the neighborhood
	Damages to natural drainage pattern	No impact for drainage flow as
	3 1	drainage flows down along roads and
		surrounding areas.
	Waste generation and camping around	The solid wastes generated need to
		be removed to appropriate disposal yards
Operation	Lowering water quality due to addition	No industries to be located around the
and Maintenance	of affluent	water source and more Chlorine will be added to the water
Phase	Sludge generation	Collect in an underground chamber
		and allow for settling and remove the solid sludge to abandoned forest
		areas
	Increased in sewage generation	The local community has well-built
		sanitation facilities and will manage
		the sewage generated
	Possible negative impacts due to poor	Measures will be taken to avoid poor
	operation and maintenance (O&M)	operation and maintenance systems
	systems on the project by NWS&DB	for the entire water project.
	Possible negative impacts to quality	Water quality and quantity tests to be
	and quantity of water supplied by the	carried out on regular basis (dry and
	project and to other water intakes	wet periods) and diversion of water from the primary water intakes to
		irrigation or other means will be
		stopped.
	Possible negative impacts to PVC	Pipelines laid in the passage of road
	pipes laid along roads due to allowing of all heavy vehicles to be parked	ROW Is located far from parking areas
	Proper maintenance of Rapid Sand	Trained NWS&DB staff will be
	Filter (RSF)	appointed to backwash RSF
	Generation of waste materials	Collect solid wastes and dispose to
		CEA approved disposal yards

VI. PUBLIC CONSULTATION AND INFORMATIONDISCLOUSURE

A. Consultations Conducted

112. Consultations with stakeholders, NWSDB engineers, and CEA have been conducted to discuss engineering and potential environmental issues. The main comments discussed at the meetings include request of clean drinking water from NWS&DB, stop increasing No of CKD patients in the area, support to be extended from the local community, willingness to donate

land plots if needed, formation of local society to resolve environmental and social issues and monitor the project works, request of awareness programs to be conducted, equal distribution of water supply throughout the day, request of water connections to all the households, efficient communication for water pipe repairs and renovation of pipes and joints in the future.

113. In order to gather the public views on shaping the technical design and community friendly implementation process, public consultation meeting was held with the participation of all relevant stakeholders and in the presence of NWSDB staff at the Ipalogama Predeshiyashabha office on 22nd April 2016. NWSDB has explained technical, social and environmental aspects and health benefits to be delivered due to execution of the subproject in the area. Appendix 6 provides the report on public consultation.

114. Recommendations of the public consultation

- All households in the area should be given the water connections
- Water quality tests at periodic intervals should be conducted as scheduled
- Assessing water quantity at periodic intervals. Should be done as scheduled
- The environmental and social issues should be resolved with the participation of local community.

Table 19: Summary of the Public Consultation Conducted

Date of consultatio n meeting	Place held	Consultat ion tool	Aim of the meeting	Participants	Issues raised
22/04/2016	Ipalogam apredishi yasubha, Ipalogam a	Group discussion and individual interviews	To educate the People of Mahaillupallam a about the potential environmental and social issues and delivery of pure drinking water with the support of NWS&DB and PMU	Local residents of Mahailluppallama and officers from Ipalogama PS, DS,NWS&DB and SPCU, RDC, Forest Department and GramaNiladari	connections to all households,

B. Future Consultation and Disclosure

- 115. The public consultation and disclosure program with stakeholders will remain as a continuous process throughout the project implementation. During the construction and operation of the project, public consultation and institutional consultation will take place if there would be any necessity for discussing issues in respect of change of designs in association with Contractor and NWSDB. In order to avoid undue delays in implementation and completion of the project, it is required to identify the issues and points to be discussed at this stage and hold discussions with relevant organizations, institutions, CBOs and NGOs in the subproject site.
- 116. As well, minutes of the meeting recorded need to be attached to IEE report and the progress reports produced during the project period. The points discussed for adoption in the construction and operation activities has to be performed for enhancing the trust and mutual understanding of all stakeholders of the project.

C. Disclosure of information

- 117. Disclosure of information at an early stage of the project has many benefits such as to avoid any objections by the public towards successful project implementation, passing of misinformation in to the hands of public through ignited groups and local NGOs.
- 118. While disclosure of information can be done through the Divisional Secretariat and the GramaNiladari (village administrative officer) of the area, Farmer Based Organizations (FBOs), Community Based Organizations (CBO) and village societies are also possible sources of disseminating project related information.
- 119. Village leaders such as the head priest of the temple can also be resource persons for such an activity. The use of mass media to advertise the availability of the report could help information disclosure to other interested groups outside the subproject site.
- 120. According to the requirements of the ADB SPS, the draft IEE will be disclosed in ADB website before the Management Review Meeting (MRM) is held. The IEE report in Tamil/Sinhala versions also will be kept open for the public and other interested parties for comments at offices of NWSDB, DS secretary and PMU.

VII. GRIEVANCE REDRESS MECHANISM

- 121. project-specific grievance redress mechanism (GRM) has been established to receive, evaluate, and facilitate the resolution of affected person's concerns, complaints and grievances about the social and environmental performance of LGESP. The GRM of the project has been prepared and accepted by ADB and disclosed in the project website The GRM chart providing information on receipt of complaints and levels of redresses is displayed in all subproject sites, local authorities' offices, SPCU offices and other important places. The SPCU records all grievances received and address them on priority. To date all grievances are addressed at the stage of first tier.
- 122. The GRM aims to provide a time-bound and transparent mechanism to voice and resolve social and environmental concerns linked to the project. The GRM is project-specific and not intended to bypass the government's own redress process; rather it is intended to address affected people's concerns and complaints promptly, making it readily accessible to all segments of the affected people and is scaled to the risks and impacts of the project.

123. The PMU and SPCUs will make the public aware of the GRM through public awareness campaigns. Grievances can be filed in writing using the Complaint Register and Complaint Forms (Appendix 7)or by phone with any member of the PMU or SPCU. The contact details of the respective SPCUs will serve as a main avenue for complaints and will be publicized through display on notice boards outside their offices and at construction sites. The safeguard documents made available to the public in an accessible version will include information on the GRM and will be widely disseminated throughout the corridor by the safeguards officers in the PMU and SPCUs.

124. First tier of GRM. The SPCU is the first tier of GRM which offers the fastest and most accessible mechanism for resolution of grievances. The Safeguards Manager – Social and Gender in the SPCU will be designated as the key officer for grievance redress. Resolution of complaints will be done at the earliest. Investigation of grievances will involve site visits and consultations with relevant parties (e.g., affected persons, contractors, traffic police, etc.). The Community Development Officer of the local authority or in the absence of Community Development Officer, any officer who is given the responsibility of this, would coordinate with the safeguards and gender manager of SPCU in redressing the grievances. Grievances will be documented and personal details (name, address, date of complaint, etc.) will be included unless anonymity is requested. A tracking number will be assigned for each grievance, including the following elements:

- Complaint Register and Complaint Forms (including the description of the grievance) with an acknowledgement of receipt given to the complainant when the complaint is registered;
- Grievance monitoring sheet with actions taken (investigation, corrective measures); and
- Closure sheet (Result of Grievance Redressal), one copy of which will be handed to the complainant after he/she has agreed to the resolution and signed-off.

125. The updated register of grievances and complaints will be available to the public at the SPCU office, construction sites, and other key public offices. Shall the grievance remain unresolved it will be escalated to the second tier.

126. **Second Tier of GRM.** The Social Safeguards and Gender Manager of SPCU will activate the second tier of GRM¹ by referring the unresolved issue (with written documentation), The Grievance Redress Committee (GRC) will be established before commencement of site works. A hearing will be called with the GRC, if necessary, where the affected person can present his and/or her concern or issues. The process will facilitate resolution through mediation. This local GRC will meet as necessary when there are grievances to be addressed. The local GRC will suggest corrective measures at the field level and assign clear responsibilities for implementing its decision at the earliest. The contractor will have observer status on GRC. If unsatisfied with the decision, the existence of the GRC will not impede the complainant's access to the Government's judicial or administrative remedies.

Page 39 of 89

¹The GRC will consist of the following persons (i) Commissioner of Local Government of the Province as Chairman, (ii) Divisional Secretary of the area; (iii) Chairman of the respective pradeshiyasabha; (iv) representative of nongovernment organizations and/or community based organizations working in the area as nominated by CLG; (v) Member of clergy of pradeshesiya area; (vi) Chairman of Samathamandal; (vii) GramaNiladhari of the area; (vii) Social Safeguard and gender Manager - as Member Secretary of the GRC. The functions of the local GRC are as follows: (i) resolve problems quickly and provide support to affected persons arising from various issues including environmental and social issues.

- 127. The safeguards and gender manager of SPCUs will be responsible for processing and placing all papers before the GRC, maintaining database of complaints, recording decisions, issuing minutes of the meetings and monitoring to see that formal orders are issued and the decisions carried out.
- **128. Third tier of GRM.** In the event that a grievance cannot be resolved directly by the SPCUs (first tier) or GRC (second tier), the affected person can seek redress through third tier at the central level. The third tier Central Grievance Redressal Committee consists of (i) Project Director as Chairman; and (ii) Legal Officer of MPCLG as member and Social Safeguard and Gender Officer of PMU as Member Secretary.
- 129. In case the grievance is not solved at this level, then the complainant can refer the same to the court of law.
- 130. The detailed GRM is hosted in the project website.
- 131. The safeguard monitoring reports will include the following aspects pertaining to progress on grievances: (i) number of cases registered with the GRC, level of jurisdiction (first, second and third tiers), number of hearings held, decisions made, and the status of pending cases; and (ii) lists of cases in process and already decided upon may be prepared with details such as name, ID with unique serial number, date of notice, date of application, date of hearing, decisions, remarks, actions taken to resolve issues, and status of grievance (i.e. open, closed, pending).
- 132. All costs involved in resolving the complaints (meetings, consultations, communication and reporting and/or information dissemination) will be borne by the executing agency.

Grievance Redress Mechanism Complaint/Complainant Complaint Receiving SPCU GN CLG CS LA DS Office Office Office SPCU First Tier GRM (SPCU) Primary Level Resolution SPCU Second Tier PMU GRM (GRC) PMU Third Tier Tertiary Level GRM (CGRC) micate final decision to complainant CGRC= Central Governance Redress Mechanism, CLG=Commissioner of Local Government, CS=Chief Secretary, D5=Divisional Secretary, GN=Grama Niladhari, GRC=Grievance Redress Committee, GRM=Grievance Redress Mechanism, LA-Local Authority, PMU-Project Management Unit, SPCU-Subproject Coordination Unit

Fig 1: Tiers of GRM

VIII. ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

- 133. An environmental management plan (EMP) has been developed to provide mitigation measures to reduce all negative impacts to acceptable levels.
- 134. The EMP will guide the environmentally-sound construction of the subproject and ensure efficient lines of communication between PMU, SPMU, consultants and contractors. The EMP will (i) ensure that the activities are undertaken in a responsible non-detrimental manner; (i) provide a pro-active, feasible and practical working tool to enable the measurement and monitoring of environmental performance on site; (ii) guide and control the implementation of findings and recommendations of the environmental assessment conducted for the subproject; (iii) detail specific actions deemed necessary to assist in mitigating the environmental impact of the subproject; and (iv) ensure that safety recommendations are complied with. The EMP

includes a monitoring program to measure the environmental condition and effectiveness of implementation of the mitigation measures.

- 135. The contractor will be required to submit to SPMU, for review and approval, a site environmental plan (SEP) including (i) proposed sites/locations for construction work camps, storage areas, hauling roads, lay down areas, disposal areas for solid and hazardous wastes; (ii) specific mitigation measures following the approved EMP; (iii) monitoring program as per SEP; and (iv) budget for SEP implementation. No works are allowed to commence prior to approval ofSEP.
- 136. A copy of the EMP/approved SEP will be kept on site during the construction period at all times. The EMP included in the bid and contract documents. Non-compliance with, or any deviation from, the conditions set out in this document constitutes a failure in compliance.

A. Safeguards Implementation Arrangements

- 137. The MPCLG is the executing agency. A National Steering Committee, headed by the Secretary of MPCLG, will provide policy guidance to the project. A ministerial committee, also headed by the Secretary of MPCLG, will be responsible for decisions on overall approvals and operational policies of the project.
- 138. A PMU in the MLGPC, headed by a Project Director, will be responsible for overall coordination, management, administration, project implementation, monitoring, and supervision. The PMU will function as the project office of the executing agency, will be in-charge of subproject appraisal and approval, and will ensure compliance with ADB loan covenants. An Environment Safeguards Officer (PMU ESO) will have the following responsibilities: (i) support project director in addressing all environment-related safeguards issues of the project; (ii) implement the EARF; (iii) monitor physical and on-physical activities under the Project; (iv) monitor implementation of safeguards plans; (v) guide the SPCUs as and when necessary; and (vi) endorse and/or submit periodic monitoring reports received from SPCU to the PMU, project director, who will then submit these to ADB. It will also coordinate with national and state agencies to resolve inter-departmental issues, if any.
- 139. The PMU will be assisted by PMC Safeguard Specialist (PMC SS). The PMC SS will (i) review and finalize all reports in consultation with the PMU ESO; (ii) provide project management support, (iii) assure the technical quality of design and construction; (iv) review EIA/IEE/resettlement plan/indigenous peoples plan reports submitted by SPCUs; and (v) provide advice on policy reforms. In addition, the PMC SS will assist the PMU on the procurement needs and other project implementation aspects and shall play a central role in ensuring capacity building on environmental management of the PMU, contractors, and line departments through capacity development support and training.
- 140. SPCU in each of the seven provinces will take responsibility for supporting subproject preparation, screening and endorsement, procurement, implementation monitoring including quality control and assurance and ensuring safeguards compliance. It is essential that Provincial Councils provide clear guidance to the target PradeshiyaSabhas in their development planning and subproject identification process, to ensure coherence with the provincial physical development plans and facilitate collaboration among neighboring local authorities possibly for joint infrastructure development. Each SPCU will be headed by the Commissioner of Local Government who will be assigned as the Provincial Project Director and will be the administrative head. For each SPCU, a senior engineer will be appointed as the executive head

and will be in-charge of the day-to-day activities of the unit. The Safeguard Manager of SPCU will be responsible for: (i) review of the EIAs/IEEs prepared by DSCs as well as the implementation of the EMP provided in each EIA/IEE; (ii) undertake surveys and record their observations throughout the construction period to ensure that safeguards and mitigation measures are provided as intended; (iii) implement and monitor safeguards compliance activities, public relations activities, gender mainstreaming activities and community participation activities; (iv) obtain statutory clearances from government agencies/other entities; and (v) coordinate for obtaining ROW clearances with related provincial and national agencies.

141. .Environment Specialists will also be appointed as part of the DSC teams to (i) prepare IEEs in the detailed design stage; (ii) assist in the monitoring of EMP during construction stage; and (iii) prepare EIAs/IEEs for new subprojects, where required to comply with national law and/or ADB procedure.

Project Director - PMU Deputy Project Director -Safeguard Expert PMU (Environmental) - PMC Safeguard Manager (Environmental) - PMU Safeguards Safequards Safeguard Safeguards Safeguards Safequards Manager Manager Manager Manager Manager SPCU - NCP SPCU - SP SPCU - UVA Manager SPCU - NWP SPCU - WP Safeguard Safeguard Safeguard Safeguard Safeguard Safeguard Specialist Specialist Specialist Specialist Specialist Specialist DSC - SP DSC - CP DSC - NCP DSC - NWP DSC - UVA DSC - WP

Fig 2: Safeguards Implementation Arrangement

B. Institutional Capacity Development Program

142. The PMC SS will be responsible for training of PMU and SPCUs staff on environmental awareness and management in accordance with both ADB and government requirements. Specific modules customized for the available skill set shall be devised after assessing the capabilities of the target participants and the requirements of the project. The entire training will cover basic principles of environmental assessment and management; mitigation plans and programs, implementation techniques, monitoring methods and tools. Typical modules that will be present for the training session would be as follows: (i) sensitization; (ii) introduction to environment and environmental considerations in urban development projects; (iii) review of IEEs and integration into the subproject detailed design; (iv) improved coordination within nodal departments; and (v) monitoring and reporting system. The proposed training program along with the frequency of sessions is presented in Table 20.

Table 20: Training Program for Environmental Management

Program	Description	Participants	Form of Training	Duration/ Location	Conducting Agency
A. Pre-Const	ruction Stage				
Awareness Workshop	Awareness of requirements of environmental safeguard s in design, execution and managing the assets created under the project including procedures to be followed and approvals to be obtained.	Senior officers of MPCLG, NSC members and elected representatives of <i>PradeshiyaSabhas</i>	Workshop	½ day	PMU with support of PMC and ADB (SLRM)
Sensitization Workshop	Introduction to Environment: Basic Concept of environment Environmental Regulations and Statutory requirements as per Government and ADB	PradeshiyaSabhas, SPCU Staff	Workshop	1½ Working Day	SPCU, DSC, PMU
Session I Module I	Introduction to Environment: Basic Concept of environment Environmental Regulations and Statutory requirements as per Government and ADB	PradeshiyaSabhas, SPCU Staff	Lecture	Working Day	SPCU, DSC, PMU
Module II	Environmental Considerations in Urban Development Projects: Environmental components affected by urban development in	PradeshiyaSabhas, SPCU Staff	Workshop		SPCU, DSC, PMU

Program	Description	Participants	Form of Training	Duration/ Location	Conducting Agency
	construction and operation stages Activities causing pollution during construction and operation stages Environmental Management Good Practices in Urban Infrastructure Projects				
Module III	Review of IEE and its Integration into Designs: IEE Methodology Environmental Provisions in the EMPs Implementation Arrangements Methodology of Assessment of Pollution Monitoring Methodology for site selection of burrow areas, waste disposal areas etc.	PradeshiyaSabhas, SPCU Staff	Lecture and Field Visit	1½ Working Day	SPCU, DSC, PMU
Module IV	Improved Coordination with other Institutions: Overview of the Project Environmental and Social Impacts Statutory Permissions Procedural Requirements Cooperation and Coordination with other Institutions. Requirement of target setting, team work and team building	PradeshiyaSabhas, SPCU Staff	Lecture and/or Interactive Sessions		SPCU, DSC, PMU

Program	Description	Participants	Form of	Duration/	Conducting
	·		Training	Location	Agency
Module V	Special Issues in the Project Bio-Diversity Assessment and Conservation Geomorphologic Assessment and Soil and Erosion Protection Statutory Permissions – Procedural Requirements Consultation and Counseling	PradeshiyaSabhas, SPCU Staff	Lecture	Working Day	SPCU, DSC, PMU
	Working out			1/2	
	responsibility chart and plan of action			Working Day	
B. Construct	ion Stage		1	•	
Session II	T =		T -	T	
Module VI	Role during Construction Roles and Responsibilities of officials/ contractors/ consultants towards protection of environment Implementation Arrangements Monitoring mechanisms Introducing necessities of auditing, checks and balances	Pradochive Sabbas	Lecture and/or Interactive Sessions	Working Day	SPCU, DSC, PMU
Module VII	Monitoring and Reporting System	PradeshiyaSabhas, SPCU Staff c. DSC = Design and	Lecture and/or Interactive Sessions	1/2 Working Day	SPCU, DSC, PMU

ADB = Asian Development Bank, DSC = Design and Supervision Consultants, MPCLG = Ministry of Provincial Councils and Local Government, PMU = project management unit, SLRM = Sri Lanka Resident Mission, SPCU = subproject coordination unit.

C. Staffing Requirement and Budget

- 143. The costs for environmental safeguard activities which are responsibilities of the PMC and DSC are included in respective consultant packages. The cost of mitigation measures during construction stage will be incorporated into the contractor's costs. Thus, remaining costs related to environmental safeguards cover the following activities:
 - (i) Conduct of IEE or EIA studies, preparing and submitting reports and public consultation and disclosure;
 - (ii) EPL applications, if required;
 - (iii) Conduct of environmental monitoring for baseline data generation and long-term surveys along with GIS based mapping and infrastructure system;
 - (iv) Replacement and maintenance of trees, if required; and
 - (v) Conduct of environmental capacity-building lectures and workshops for creating awareness.
- 144. The indicative costs of these various inputs are shown in Table 21.

:

Table 21: Indicative Cost of EMP Implementation

	able 21. Indic			
Item	Quantity	Unit Cost (US\$)	Sub- total Cost (US\$)	Source of Funds
Administrative Cost				
(i) Public Consultations	Lump sum per province (7 provinces)	\$1,000	\$7,000	Project Cost - PMU Costs (to be paid under incremental administration cost)
(ii) Environmental Monitoring				
(a) Design Stage to establish baseline environmental data	Lump sum per province (7 provinces)	\$3,000	\$21,000	Project Cost - PMU Costs (to be done under the guidance of PMC / SPCU by SPCU staff and accounted under incremental administration cost.
(b) Construction Phase		-	-	Civil Works Contractor Costs
(c) O&M		1	-	PradeshiyaSabhas' cost
(iii) Landscaping and tree-planting	Lump sum per province (7 provinces)	\$2,000	\$14,000	Civil Works Contractor Costs
(iv) Capacity Building Expenses	Lump sum per province (7 provinces)	\$1,000	\$7,000	On job training is done by PMC / DSC - Any other workshops and/or sessions on these will be under Project Cost - PMU Costs and accounted under Capacity Building expenditure.

*This costs are overall project. Subproject specific cost will be spent under the respective contracts

D. Environmental Management Plan

145. The contractor will be required to submit to SPMU, for review and approval, a site environmental plan (SEP) including (i) proposed sites/locations for construction work camps, storage areas, hauling roads, lay down areas, disposal areas for solid and hazardous wastes; (ii) specific mitigation measures following the approved EMP; (iii) monitoring program as per SEP; and (iv) budget for SEP implementation. No works are allowed to commence prior to approval of SEP.

E. Environmental Monitoring Program

146. Table 23 shows the proposed environmental monitoring program for the project. It includes all relevant parameters, location, responsibility of mitigation and monitoring, method and frequency of monitoring.

Table22: Environmental Management Plan

Activity	Potential	Mitigation Measures	Responsible	Responsible for	Parameter/s	Source of Funds
Activity	Negative	willigation weasures	for	Monitoring	to be	Source of Fullus
	Impacts		Mitigation	Wormoning	Monitored	
Pre-	Permits to be	Cut down branches of	Contractor	NWSDB,	Left trees in	Contractor's, cost
Construction	obtained for		Contractor	SPCU and CLG		Contractor s, cost
		trees rather than		SPCO and CLG	the project	
Phase	cutting trees	removing.	0 1 1	NIMODD	area	0 1 1 1
	Approvals	Source the materials	Contractor	NWSDB	Field reports	Contractor's, cost
	obtained for	from qualified suppliers.		SPCU and CLG	and	
	burrowing of				observations	
	earth			1 11 4 (O D D	=	
Construction	Traffic	To implement a proper	Contractor	NWSDB	Field reports	Contractor's, cost
Phase	congestion	traffic control plan using		SPCU and CLG	and	
	during road	sign boards, barricade			observations	
	excavation	tapes, and flag men.				
		All I		AUACODD	F: 11 .	0
		Alternative spaces to	Contractor	NWSDB	Field reports	Contractor's, cost
		store materials/ park		SPCU and CLG	and	
		machineries need to be			observations	
		arranged.		1 11 4 C D D		
	Damages to	Excavations to be	Contractor	NWSDB	Field reports	Contractor's, cost
	existing roads	carried out after study of		SPCU and CLG	and	
		design drawings. It will			observations	
		minimize unnecessary				
		damages on roads		1 11 4 (O D D	-	
		Small to medium size	Contractor	NWSDB	Field reports	Contractor's, cost
		machineries will be used		SPCU and CLG	and	
		for narrow roads.			observations	
	Dust	Regularly spray water on	Contractor	NWSDB	Field report	Contractor's, cost
	generation	excavated soil surface to		SPCU and CLG	and	
		minimize generation of			complaints if	
		dust.			any	
		When transporting all	Suppliers	NWSDB	Field report	Contractor's, cost
		materials such as earth,		SPCU and CLG	and	
		sand and cement, cover			complaints if	
		them with tarpaulin to			any	

Activity	Potential Negative Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Responsible for Mitigation	Responsible for Monitoring	Parameter/s to be Monitored	Source of Funds
		avoid spillage of materials and production of dust due to wind.				
		Appropriate measures shall be in place to minimize the emissions of dust while handling, loading/unloading of materials	Contractor	NWSDB	Field report and complaints if any	Contractor's, cost
		Avoid transporting of excavated soils and mud during rainy days	Contractor/ Engineer/ Consultant	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field report and complaints if any	No cost
	Increased noise level	Construction activities be carried out only during day time from 7am to 5.pm every day and limited night work be done for the concrete slabs. All machineries used in this regard will have noise control devices to reduce the sound level below 75 db as specified by CEA environmental regulations and as shown in the Appendix 8.	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Noise reports	Contractor's cost
	Impacts on existing habitats	No endemic or endangered tree species are damaged and to control soil erosion, plant	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field report and complaints if any	Contractor's cost

Activity	Potential Negative Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Responsible for Mitigation	Responsible for Monitoring	Parameter/s to be Monitored	Source of Funds
		more trees in the project area and the neighborhood				
	Damages to natural drainage pattern	No impact for drainage flow as drainage flows down along roads and to surrounding areas.	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field report and complaints if any	Contractor's cost
	Waste generation and camping around	The solid wastes generated need to be removed to appropriate disposal yards	Contractor	NWSDB ,SPCU and CLG	Field reports	Contractor's cost
Operation and Maintenance Phase	Lowering water quality due to addition of affluent	No industries to be located around the water source and more Chlorine will be added to the water	NWSDB	NWSDB	Reports on maintenance operation	Operational cost borne by NWSDB
	Sludge generation	Collect in an underground chamber and allow for settling and remove the solid sludge to abandoned forest areas	NWSDB	NWSDB	Maintenance reports	Operational cost borne by NWSDB
	Increased in sewage generation	The local community has well-built sanitation facilities and will manage the sewage generated	Local community	Public health inspectors	Field reports and public health inspector's report	Not required
	Possible negative impacts due to poor operation and	Measures will be taken to avoid poor operation and maintenance systems for the entire water project.	NWSDB	NWSDB	Field reports	Operational cost borne by NWSDB

Activity	Potential Negative Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Responsible for Mitigation	Responsible for Monitoring	Parameter/s to be Monitored	Source of Funds
	maintenance (O&M) systems on the project by NWS&DB					
	Possible negative impacts on quality and quantity of water supplied by the project	Water quality and quantity tests to be carried out on regular basis (dry and wet periods) and No diversion of water from the primary water intakes to irrigation or other means.	NWSDB	NWSDB	Field reports	Operational cost borne by NWSDB
	Possible negative impacts to PVC pipes laid along roads due to allowing of all heavy vehicles to be parked	Pipelines laid in the passage of road ROW is located far from parking areas	NWSDB	NWSDB	Field reports	Operational cost borne by NWSDB
	Proper maintenance of Rapid Sand Filter (RSF)	Trained NWS&DB staff will be appointed to backwash RSF	NWSDB	NWSDB	Field reports	Operational cost borne by NWSDB
	Generation of waste materials	Collect solid wastes and dispose to CEA approved disposal yards	NWSDB	NWSDB	Field reports	Operational cost borne by NWSDB

Table 23: Environmental Monitoring Program

Activity	Potential Negative Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Responsi ble for Mitigation	Responsi ble for Monitorin g	Parameter /s to be Monitored	Location	Frequency
Pre- Constructio n Phase	Permits to be obtained for cutting trees	Cut down branches of trees rather than removing.	Contractor	NWSDB, SPCU and CLG	Left trees in the project area	Project area	Before commencin g
	Approvals obtained for burrowing of earth	Source the materials from qualified suppliers rather attempting to burrowing from sites	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field reports and observatio ns	Location around	Before commencin g
Constructio n Phase	Traffic congestion during road excavation	To implement a proper traffic control plan using sign boards, barricade tapes, and flag men.	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field reports and observatio ns	Project area	weekly
		Alternative spaces to store materials/ park machineries need to be arranged.	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field reports and observatio ns	Project area	Once three months
	Damages to existing roads	Excavations to be carried out after study of design drawings. It will minimize unnecessary damages on roads	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field reports and observatio ns	Project area	Once two months
		Small to medium size machineries will be used for narrow roads.	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field reports and observatio ns	Project area	Once every month
	Dust generation	Regularly spray water on excavated soil surface to minimize generation of dust.	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field report and complaints if any	Project area	weekly

Activity	Potential Negative Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Responsi ble for Mitigation	Responsi ble for Monitorin g	Parameter /s to be Monitored	Location	Frequency
		When transporting all materials such as earth, sand and cement, cover them with tarpaulin to avoid spillage of materials and production of dust due to wind.	Suppliers	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field report and complaints if any	Off the project site	weekly
		Appropriate measures shall be in place to minimize the emissions of dust while handling, loading/unloading of materials	Contractor	NWSDB	Field report and complaints if any	Project site	weekly
		Avoid transporting of excavated soils and mud during rainy days	Contractor / Engineer/ Consultan t	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field report and complaints if any	Project site and off the site	monthly
	Increased noise level	Construction activities be carried out only during day time from 7am to 5.pm every day and limited night work be done for the concrete slabs. All machineries used in this regard will have noise control devices to reduce the sound level below 75 db as specified by CEA environmental regulations and as shown in the Appendix 8.	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Noise reports	Project site	Daily
	Impacts on existing habitats	No endemic or endangered tree species are damaged and to control soil erosion, plant more trees in the project area and the neighborhood	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and CLG	Field report and complaints if any	Project site	Once Six months
	Damages to natural	No impact for drainage flow as drainage flows down along	Contractor	NWSDB SPCU and	Field report and	Project site	Once three months

Activity	Potential Negative Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Responsi ble for Mitigation	Responsi ble for Monitorin g	Parameter /s to be Monitored	Location	Frequency
	drainage pattern	roads and to surrounding areas.		CLG	complaints if any		
	Waste generation and camping around	The solid wastes generated need to be removed to appropriate disposal yards	Contractor	NWSDB ,SPCU and CLG	Field reports	Project site	Once three months
Operation and Maintenan ce Phase	Lowering water quality due to addition of affluent	No industries to be located around the water source and more Chlorine will be added to the water	NWSDB	NWSDB	Reports on maintenan ce operation	Water source	weekly
	Sludge generation	Collect in an underground chamber and allow settling and remove the solid sludge to abandoned forest areas	NWSDB	NWSDB	Maintenan ce reports	IWTP	Daily
	Increased in sewage generation	The local community has well-built sanitation facilities and will manage the sewage generated	Local communit y	Public health inspectors	Field reports and public health inspector's report	Local area	Once three months
	Possible negative impacts due to poor operation and maintenan ce (O&M)	Measures will be taken to avoid poor operation and maintenance systems for the entire water project.	NWSDB	NWSDB	Field reports	IWTP and project area	Once every month

Activity	Potential Negative Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Responsi ble for Mitigation	Responsi ble for Monitorin g	Parameter /s to be Monitored	Location	Frequency
	systems on the project by NWS&DB						
	Possible negative impacts on quality and quantity of water supplied by the project	Water quality and quantity tests to be carried out on regular basis (dry and wet periods) and No diversion of water from the primary water intakes to irrigation or other means.	NWSDB	NWSDB	Field reports	IWTP and project site	Once month
	Possible negative impacts to PVC pipes laid along roads due to allowing of all heavy vehicles to be parked	Pipelines laid in the passage of road ROW is located far from parking areas	NWSDB	NWSDB	Field reports	Project site	Once three months
	Proper maintenan ce of Rapid Sand Filter (RSF)	Trained NWS&DB staff will be appointed to backwash RSF	NWSDB	NWSDB	Field reports	IWTP	Daily
	Generation of waste materials	Collect solid wastes and dispose to CEA approved disposal yards	NWSDB	NWSDB	Field reports	IWTP	Weekly

IX. MONITORING AND REPORTING

- 147. The PMU will continue to monitor and measure the progress of EMP implementation. The monitoring activities will be corresponding with the subproject's risks and impacts and will be identified in the EIAs/IEEs for the subprojects. The PMU and SPCUs will continue to undertake site inspections, document review to verify compliance with the EMP and progress toward the final outcome and recording information of the work, deviation of work components from original scope.
- 148. DSC will submit monthly monitoring and implementation reports to SPCU, who will take follow-up actions, if necessary. SPCU will submit the quarterly monitoring and implementation reports to PMU who will then submit to the project director. The PMU will submit semi-annual monitoring reports to ADB. The suggested monitoring report format is in Appendix 9. Project budgets will reflect the costs of monitoring and reporting requirements. For subprojects likely to have significant adverse environmental impacts during operation, reporting will continue at the minimum on an annual basis. Monitoring reports will be posted in a location accessible to the public.
- 149. For projects likely to have significant adverse environmental impacts, the executing agency will retain qualified and experienced external experts to verify its monitoring information. The executing agency will document monitoring results, identify the necessary corrective actions, and reflect them in a corrective action plan. The executing agency, in each quarter, will study the compliance with the action plan developed in the previous quarter. Compliance with loan covenants will be screened by the executing agency.
- 150. ADB will review project performance against the executing agency's commitments as agreed in the legal documents. The extent of ADB's monitoring and supervision activities will be commensurate with the project's risks and impacts. Monitoring and supervising of social and environmental safeguards will be integrated into the project performance management system. ADB will monitor projects on an ongoing basis until a project completion report is issued. ADB will carry out the following monitoring actions to supervise project implementation:
 - (i) conduct periodic site visits for projects with adverse environmental or social impacts;
 - (ii) conduct supervision missions with detailed review by ADB's safeguard specialists/officers or consultants for projects with significant adverse social or environmental impacts;
 - (iii) review the periodic monitoring reports submitted by executing agency to ensure that adverse impacts and risks are mitigated as planned and as agreed with ADB;
 - (iv) work with executing agency to rectify to the extent possible any failures to comply with their safeguard commitments, as covenanted in the legal agreements, and exercise remedies to re-establish compliance as appropriate; and
 - (v) Prepare a project completion report that assesses whether the objective and desired outcomes of the safeguard plans have been achieved, taking into account the baseline conditions and the results of monitoring.

X. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 151. The negative environmental impacts arising due to execution of the proposed water supply scheme are minor and negligible as compared to the long term Socio-economic and health benefits to be delivered to people of the project area. During the dry period from June to October, water quantity and quality should be carefully assessed by NWS&DB as the water level of the Kalawewa water tank may be reduced due to severe drought condition in the area. Negative impacts can be mitigated to acceptable levels through proper change of engineering design and application of recommended mitigation measures and procedures as mentioned in the EMP/SEP.
- 152. It is recommended that (i) IEE be made part of the bid and contract documents to ensure that mitigation measures are appropriately implemented with legally binding to the contractors; (ii) monitor diligently contractor/s EMP implementation by PMU, SPMU and consultants (iii) involve stakeholders in all phases of implementation and disclose relevant project related documents; and (iv) continue existing GRM process.
- 153. Conclusion. The subproject is unlikely to cause significant adverse impacts. As per ADB SPS, the subproject is classified as environmental Category B and does not require further EIA.

Aeration Flocculation Sedimentation

Pumping to Treated Water Tank

Filtration Filtration

Appendix 1: Detailed Water Treatment Process

Aeration:

The Kekkirawa water treatment plant is a conventional surface water treatment plant that has a fairly standard sequence of processes. At the same time, the process of aeration takes place by pumping the Pre-Chlorinated water through a special structure to mix with sufficient amount of Air/Oxygen. This aeration will dispel the bad odour, gases dissolved in water and reduce the water hardness to some extent. Depending on the PH value of water, lime liquid is added to increase the pH value. In addition, pre Chlorination is done to disinfect the raw water at the inception of the treatment process. By Pre-Chlorination, algae, biological matter like Bacteria and viruses are killed or neutralized in their Capacity to activate.

Flocculation:

After screening out large objects like fish and sticks, coagulant chemicals/ Alum (AlminiumSulfate) are added to the water to cause the tiny particles suspended in the water. The coagulants make the water cloudy to be attracted to each other and form "flocs." Flocculation—the formation of larger flocs from smaller flocs—is typically achieved using gentle, constant mixing of the water to encourage particles and small floc to "bump" into each other, stick, and form larger floc. Once the flocs are large and heavy enough to be settled, the water moves into quiet sedimentation or settling basins.

Sedimentation:

Waters exiting in the flocculation basin enter the sedimentation basin or called it as a clarifier or settling basin. It is a large tank with low water velocities, allowing floc to settle to the bottom. The sedimentation basin is best located close to the flocculation basin. Hence, the transition between two processes does not permit the settlement or floc s to break up. The sedimentation basins are generally rectangular flowing from one end to another end for the effective sedimentation process. The particles settled down in the bottom are washed to the waste water tank called "Thinkner" where the water is allowed to settle for 24 hours. After 24 hours, the super-Nated water is passed through another pipe to a separate chamber where super-nated water is released to a nearby natural water body after testing the water quality. This water analysis has proved that the water released after treatments meets the surface water quality standards of CEA. The sludge produced due to sedimentation is discharged to the forest areas where it can be absorbed to the surface of the soil. This forest area has sufficient ground cover to disperse the sludge in the area. However, the sludge lagoons or the dry beds need to be built up in order to remove the water and make the sludge dry in dry beds. It has been planned to dry beds in the second phase of the Capacity improvement of the Kekirawa water treatment plant.


Filtration:

When most of the solids have settled out, the water is passed through rapid sand filters (RSF) that consist of top sand layer and granular or pebble type layer down the sand layer. This is a conventional shallow sand bed structure allowing to filter the water. The larger particles in the water retain on the top of the sand layer while the small particles of organic sediment left in the rapid sand filter are eaten by microscopic organisms including bacteria and protozoans which 'stick' in the layers of slime that form around the sand particles. The clean water which passes through the filter is safe to drink.

This RSF has much greater water treatment filtration rate and the ability to clean automatically using back wash system. The RSF does not use biological filtration and depends primarily on mechanical straining, sedimentation, impaction, interception, adhesion and physical adsorption. In the rapid sand water filter, the complete filtration cycle (filtration and back washing) occurs sequentially.

This rapid sand filters can be cleaned by passing water upward through the filter after blowing compressed air up through the bottom. This air blowing process breaks up the clog and allows to back wash with the water. The back wash water is passed through pipes and ends in a waste water tank where it can retain for about 2 hours. After settling the impurities, the water is released to the natural open water body. This water is much cleaner and meets the surface water quality standards of CEA as per required criteria.

Rapid Sand Filter



Chlorination:

Chlorination is used for disinfection of water and in the Kekkirawa treatment Plant, gas chlorination is used. Neutralization plant has been installed to overcome any hazardous accidents. 1000kg gas Chlorine tonners are being used in the treatment plant. Chlorine is added to the sump to disinfect the biological properties of water prior to distribution. Additional residual chlorine (RCL) is added to meet the standards of the Sri Lanka Standards Institute (SLSI) (0.2mg/I) at the last dead end of the distribution system. 20 minutes of retention period is provided for RCL at the storage tank.

Appendix 2: Results of Treated Water Quality Tests



NATIONAL WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE BOARD REGIONAL LABORATORY, ANURADHAPURA



Tel: 025 2222296, Fax: 025 2225609, E mail: anu.reg.lab@gmail.com

2. SAMPLE COLLECTED DATE : 2

Ihalagama 2016.02.02

3. LABORATORY REG, NO. & SAMPLING POINT

1. WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

No.	Time of sampling	Sampling Point	
227	11.25	Kala wewa	
228	11.20	Intake (Yodha ela)	
229	11.37	Settled water	
230	11.36	Filtered water	
231	1.32	Sump	
232	11.02	Tap at I/Mangalapura vidyalaya	
233	11.48	p at Thalawa rd	
234	12.04	HC Ranaviru village	
235	11.15	ap at Diyawara gammanaya	

4. SAMPLE COLLECTED BY

Mr. Sudath Dayarathne -Lab Attendant

3. REPORT REQUIRED BY

1 Manager (O&M)

2 District Engineer (Anuradhapura)

OIC Ihalagama

Results:

Parameters	Units	Maximum Requirement	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235
PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL	QUAILTY (S	LS 614 - 2013)							•		
Colour	Hazen	15	34	45	16	12	07	06	08	08	12
Turbidity	NTU	2	2.95	3.09	1.87	1.70	1.12	0.71	0.69	0.39	1.03
Electrical Conductivity at 25°C	μs/cm		267	270	272	273	272	273	273	270	273
pH		6.5 - 8.5	8.04	8.09	7.74	7.81	7.62	7.64	7.71	7.61	7,47
Chloride (as Cl')	mg / 1	250	50	40		1.4	50	-		-	-
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	mg/1	200	120	130		-	120	-	-		-
Total Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	mg/1	250	90	100	2.	- 52	80			-	
Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/I	50	1.32	0.88		-	0.88		7 ·		
Nitrites as NO ₂	mg/l	3	0.017	0.016	-	-	0.007	2		-	-
Sulphate(as SO ₄ **)	mg/l	250	02	02	E# 1		8	43	-		154
Fluorides (as F')	mg/l	1.0	0.38	0.30			0.36	+:			
Total phosphate (as PO437)	mg/l	2.0	0.44	0.29	-		0.37	-8	Hace .	-	-
Iron (as Fe)	mg/l	0.3	0.04	0.04	32		0.01	5			
Free Ammonia (as NH ₃)	mg/l	0.06	-	-	1.0	2	- 25	+	1.4	-	1
Residual Aluminium	mg/l	0.2	-	S-	- 3¥	-	0.070			-	
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/1	500	171	173	174	175	174	175	175	173	175
Residual Chlorine	mg/1	1.0	- 1	- 1	-		1.48	1.41	1.05	0.21	0.86
BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALIT	Y (SLS 614 -	2013)						22			
Coliform bacteria	Per 100 ml	0	TNTC	TNTC	-	2	0	0	0	0	0
E-Coli Bacteria	Per 100 ml	0	TNTC	TNTC	- 1	- Sec - 1	0	0	0	0	0

TNTC - Too Numerous to count.

Recommendation:

Bacteriological, tested basic physical and chemical quality of the samples are satisfactory (Treasact and chemical quality of the samples are satisfactory)

This report is issued for the information of the client. It shall not be published in total or part without the written authority of the General Manager, National Water Supply & Drainage Board. This Report is limited specifically to this specimen.

15.02.2016

Date

Regional Chemist
Catherd Water Supply & Draig Regional Chemist



NATIONAL WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE BOARD REGIONAL LABORATORY, ANURADHAPURA

Tel: 025 2222296, Fax: 025 2225609, E mail: anu.reg.lab@gmail.com



1. WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

Ihalagama

2. SAMPLE COLLECTED DATE

2016.03.02

3. LABORATORY REG. NO. & SAMPLING POINT

No.	Time of sampling	Sampling Point	
451	13.05	Kala wewa	
452	12.58	Intake (Yodha ela)	
453	12.17	Settled water	
454	12.15	Filtered water	
455	12.13	Sump	
456	12.09	Tap at I/Mangalapura vidyalaya	
457	13.21	Tap at Thalawa rd	
458	13.38	HC Ranaviru village	
459	12.40	Tap at Diyawara gammanaya	
460	13.15	HC Vijithapura rd	
461	13.17	ap at Kalakarambewa	

4. SAMPLE COLLECTED BY

Mr. Sudath Dayarathne -Lab Attendant

5. REPORT REQUIRED BY

1 Manager (O&M)

2 District Engineer (Anuradhapura)

3 OIC Ihalagama

Results:

Parameters	Units	Maximum Requirement	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461
PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL (QUAILTY (SLS 614 - 2013)								-		-	
Colour	Hazen	15	30	28	08	06	10	06	05	05	05	07	97
Turbidity	NTU	2	6.25	5.42	0.78	0.35	1.18	0.64	0.39	0.16	0.27	0.52	0.44
Electrical Conductivity at 25°C	μs/cm		262	264	259	263	263	286	273	270	276	270	272
pH	-	6.5 - 8.5	8.17	8.13	7.99	7.60	8.08	8.11	7.98	7.58	7.75	7.61	7.43
Chloride (as Cl')	mg/1	250	30	30		-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	mg/l	200	130	130	-	-	110			-	-	-	-
Total Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	mg/1	250	120	120		-	120	-		-			
Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/l	50	0.44	1.32	-	-	1.32	-					-
Nitrites as NO ₂	mg/1	3	0.016	0.013	-2	-	0.009		-				-
Sulphate(as SO ₄ **)	mg/l	250	02	04		-	10	-	-			-	
Fluorides (as F')	mg/l	1.0	0.38	0.36	- 24	1	0.38		-			-	
Total phosphate (as PO45)	mg/l	2.0	0.45	0.51	100		0.54	100	-				
Iron (as Fe)	mg/l	0.3	0.01	0.05		2	0.11				4	1	-
Free Ammonia (as NH ₃)	mg/I	0.06	32			-	-	4		-	-		
Residual Aluminium	mg/1	0.2		-			0.050			0.2	150	15.	
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/l	500	168	169	166	168	168	183	175	173	177	173	174
Residual Chlorine	mg/l	1.0		-		*	1.35	1.31	1.18	0.21	1.15	0.60	1.00
BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALIT	Y (SLS 614	- 2013)										-	2102
Coliform bacteria	Per 100 m	0	TNTC	TNTC		-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E-Coli Bacteria	Per 100 ml	0	TNTC	TNTC			0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TNTC - Too Numerous to count.

Recommendation:

Bacteriological, tested basic physical and chemical quality of the samples are satisfactory.

This report is issued for the information of the client. It shall not be published in total or part without the written authority of the General Manager, National Water Supply & Drainage Board. This Report is limited specifically to this specimen.

14.03.2016

Date

ional Water Supply & Drainage Plant Regional Laboratory

Appendix 3: Drinking Water Quality Standards

DRINKING WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

PHYSTC'AL.	AND CHEMOCAT	OHAILTV (SLS 614 - 2012)

No.	Sri Lanka Standards SLS 614 : 2013	Units	Maximum Requirement
Ι	Арреагансе		8 50
2	Colour	Hazen	15
3	Turbidity	NTU	2
4	pH Value		6.5 8.5
5	Electrical Conductivity	μs/cm	
6	Chloride (as Cf)	- mg/1	250
7	Total Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)		200
8	Total Hardness (as CaCO ₁)	mg/i	250
9	Nitrate (as NO ₂)	mg/l	S0
10	Nitrite (as NO ₂)	mg/l	3
11	Sulphate (as SO ₄ ²)	mg/l	250
1.2	Fluoride (as F')	mg/l	1.0
13	Total Phosphate (as PO ₄)	mg/l	2.0
14	Total fron as Fe	mg/1	0.3
15	Total Dissolved Solids	mg/l	500
16	Residual Chlorine (as OCI/HOCI)	mg/1	1.0
17	Manganese (as Mn)	mg/I	0.1
18	Magnesium (as Mg)	mg./1	j 30
19	Calcium (as Ca)	mg/1	100

BACTERIOLOGICAL QUAILTY (SLS 614: 2013)

No.	Type of Bacteria	ST-S 614:2013			
	Type on Daniella	Pipe born water	Well water		
1	Total member of all types of Coli form bacteria present in 100 ml sample at 37 °C	<3	< 10		
2	Number of E. coll in 100 ml of sample at	0	0		

PARAMETERS CONCERNING TOXIC SUBSTANCES

01	Arsenic (as As)	mg/1	0.01
02	Cadmium (as Cd)	- mg/1	0.003
03	Total Chromium (as Cr)	ing / 1	0.05
04	Cyanide (as CN)	mg/I	0.05
05	Lead (as Pb)	mg/1	0.01
06	Moreury (as Hg)	mg/1	0.001
07	Selenium (as Se)	mg/l	0.01

Appendix 4: List of Flora and Fauna Found in the Subproject Site

(a) The common faunal species identified in the project areas

Group	Species Name	Common Name	Local Name	Status
Birds	Gallus lafayetii	Sri Lanka Jungle fowl	Walikukula	Endemic
	Magalaimazeylanica	Brown headed Barbet	Polos Kottaruwa	BrR
	Streptopeliachinensis	Spotted Dove	AluKobeiyya	BrR
	Loriculusberyllinus	Sri Lanka Hanging parrot	GiraMalitta	Endemic
Reptiles	Calotescalotes	Green garden Lizard	Pala katussa	Indigenous
	Varanusbengalensis	Land monitor	Thalagoya	Indigenous
	Varanussalvator	Water monitor	Kabaragoya	Indigenous
	Daboiarusselli	Russell,s viper	Tith polonga	Indigenous
Butterflies	Graphiumagamemnon	Green iay	Kola Papilla	Indigenous
	Catopsiliapomona	Lemon emigrant	Kahapiyasariya	Indigenous
	Melanitisphedima	Dark Evening Brown		Indigenous

BrR-Breeding Resident

(b) List of Flora in the project area

Species Name	Common name	Life form	Conservation Status
Albizziamolucana	Mara	Tree	Introduced
Limoniaacidissima	Divul	Tree	Native
Mangiferaindica	Mango	Tree	Introduced
Terminalia arjuna	Kubuk	Tree	Native
Phyllanthusemblica	Nelli	Tree	Native
Berryacordifolia	Halmilla	Tree	Native
Gliricidiasepium	Wetimara	Tree	Introduced
Syzygiumcumini	Maadan	Tree	Native
Morindacitrifolia	Ahue	Tree	Native
Cocusnucifera	coconut	Tree	Introduced
Prosopisjuliflora	Kalapu-andara	Herb	Invasive
Euphorbia tirucalli	Nawa-handi	Herb	Native
Cassia auriculata	Rana-wara	Small tree	Native
Vemoniazeylanica	Pupula	Small tree	Native
Sapium insigne	Tel-kaduru	Small tree	Native
Tamarindusindica	Siyabala	Tree	Introduced
Ziziphusoenoplia	Eraminiya	Liana	Native
Tragiaplukenetii	Walkahabiliya	shrub	Nativu
Cissusquarangularis	Hirressa	Shrub	Native

Appendix 5: Completed ADB REA Checklist for Water Supply

A. PROJECT SITING IS THE PROJECT AREA • Densely populated? • Heavy with development activities? ✓ The population distribution shows that the project area is not densely populated.	Screening questions	Yes	No	Remarks
Densely populated? Heavy with development activities? Heavy with development activities? Adjacent to or within any environmentally sensitive areas? CULTURAL HERITAGE SITE PROTECTED AREA WETLAND MANGROVE ESTUARINE BUFFER ZONE OF PROTECTED AREA SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? The population distribution shows that the project area is not densely populated. No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project Volunting the project of within the Project of with				
Heavy with development activities? Adjacent to or within any environmentally sensitive areas? CULTURAL HERITAGE SITE PROTECTED AREA WETLAND MANGROVE ESTUARINE BUFFER ZONE OF PROTECTED AREA SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas to these sites? Heavy with development activities? No environmentally sensitive areas is not densely populated. No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project	IS THE PROJECT AREA			
Adjacent to or within any environmentally sensitive areas? CULTURAL HERITAGE SITE PROTECTED AREA WETLAND MANGROVE ESTUARINE BUFFER ZONE OF PROTECTED AREA SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? A diacent to or within any areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project No environmentally sensitive areas are located within the Project	Densely populated?		✓	The population distribution shows that
environmentally sensitive areas? CULTURAL HERITAGE SITE PROTECTED AREA WETLAND MANGROVE ESTUARINE BUFFER ZONE OF PROTECTED AREA SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? are located within the Project Are located within the Project Not applicated Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.	Heavy with development activities?		\	the project area is not densely populated.
CULTURAL HERITAGE SITE PROTECTED AREA WETLAND MANGROVE ESTUARINE BUFFER ZONE OF PROTECTED AREA SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.			✓	No environmentally sensitive areas
SITE PROTECTED AREA WETLAND MANGROVE ESTUARINE BUFFER ZONE OF PROTECTED AREA SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.				are located within the Project
WETLAND MANGROVE ESTUARINE BUFFER ZONE OF PROTECTED AREA SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.			√	
MANGROVE ESTUARINE BUFFER ZONE OF PROTECTED AREA SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.	 PROTECTED AREA 		✓	
ESTUARINE BUFFER ZONE OF PROTECTED AREA SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.	WETLAND		✓	
BUFFER ZONE OF PROTECTED AREA SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.	 MANGROVE 		✓	
PROTECTED AREA • SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY • BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause • Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? • Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? • Vot applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.	ESTUARINE		✓	
 SPECIAL AREA FOR PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites. 	BUFFER ZONE OF		✓	
PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY ■ BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause ■ Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? ■ Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? ■ Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.	PROTECTED AREA			
 BAY B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites. 			✓	
B. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Will the project cause • Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? • Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.	PROTECTING BIODIVERSITY			
 IMPACTS Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Vot applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites. 			✓	
 Will the project cause Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites. 				
 Pollution of raw water supply from upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites. 				
upstream wastewater discharge from communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? ■ Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? ■ Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.			√	Not applicable
communities, industries, agriculture, and soil erosion runoff? ■ Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? ■ Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.				Trot applicable.
and soil erosion runoff? ■ Impairment of historical/cultural monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? ■ Not applicable. There are no historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.				
monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites? historical/cultural monuments/areas within or adjacent to subproject sites.				
to these sites? within or adjacent to subproject sites.	 Impairment of historical/cultural 		✓	Not applicable. There are no
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
			./	
by excessive ground water			•	Not applicable.
pumping?				
			√	No displacements required.
				Subproject sites are within ROWs of
public roads	'			
Conflicts in abstraction of raw water	Conflicts in abstraction of raw water		✓	Not applicable.
for water supply with other beneficial				
water uses for surface and ground	1			
waters?				
		✓		Raw water is purified through addition
excessive pathogens or mineral of chlorine				oi chiorine
constituents)? • Delivery of unsafe water to ✓ The distributed water will be treated			_	The distributed water will be treated
				before delivery and it is ensured to
	a.c.aa.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.			comply with the National Drinking
Water Quality Standards.				

	Screening questions	Yes	No	Remarks
•	Inadequate protection of intake works or wells, leading to pollution of water supply?		√	Not applicable. Well-protected lhalagama water sump has been established.
•	Over pumping of ground water, leading to salinization and ground subsidence?		✓	Not applicable.
•	Excessive algal growth in storage reservoir?		✓	The water is chlorinated and there is no chance for algal growth
•	Increase in production of sewage beyond capabilities of community facilities?		√	Not anticipated. Community is having good sanitation facilities.
•	Inadequate disposal of sludge from water treatment plants?		✓	Minimal sludge to be generated. Dried sludge will be used as soil conditioner and fertilizer in banana plantation and nearby forested areas.
•	Inadequate buffer zone around pumping and treatment plants to alleviate noise and other possible nuisances and protect facilities?		✓	Residences are located away from the WTP.
•	Impairments associated with transmission lines and access roads?		√	Not applicable.
•	Health hazards arising from inadequate design of facilities for receiving, storing, and handling of chlorine and other hazardous chemicals.		✓	We designed office and warehouse have been built at the site of WTP.
•	Health and safety hazards to workers from handling and management of chlorine used for disinfection, other contaminants, and biological and physical hazards during project construction and operation?		✓	Well protected hazard management measures are in place at the IWTP
•	Dislocation or involuntary resettlement of people?		√	No involuntary resettlement impacts envisioned. Lands for the subproject are government-owned
•	Disproportionate impacts on the poor, women and children, indigenous peoples or other vulnerable groups?		✓	Not anticipated. The contractor will be encouraged to hire local workers from the local labor force.
•	Noise and dust from construction activities?	✓		Anticipated during construction activities. Temporary increase in noise level and dusts may be caused by excavation equipment, and the transportation of equipment, materials, and people. The impacts are negative but short-term and site-specific within a relatively small area. and reversible

	Screening questions	Yes	No	Remarks
	J.			through mitigation measures. Good construction practices will mitigate noise and dust, and will be specified in the EMP.
•	Increased road traffic due to interference of construction activities?	✓		Traffic management measures will be adopted along the construction sites with proper signage and traffic plans.
•	Continuing soil erosion/silt runoff from construction operations?	*		Due to excavation and run-off from stockpiled materials. The impacts are negative but short-term and site-specific and reversible through mitigation measures. Good construction practices will mitigate soil erosion and silt runoff and will be specified in the EMP.
•	Delivery of unsafe water due to poor O&M treatmentprocesses (especially mud accumulations in filters) and inadequate chlorination due to lack of adequate monitoring of chlorine residuals in distribution systems?		√	Back wash operations will be adopted for every 24 hours and mud and other impurities will be removed from the sedimentation and filtration beds.
•	Delivery of water to distribution system, which is corrosive due to inadequate attention to feeding of corrective chemicals?		✓	The project will include development of O&M manuals to ensure that facilities are kept in working condition, including checking and maintenance of distribution network. Any distributed water must comply with the National Drinking Water Quality Standards.
•	Accidental leakage of chlorine gas?		√	Not applicable as protective measures have been implemented at IWTP
•	Excessive abstraction of water affecting downstream water users?		√	Not applicable.
•	Competing uses of water? Increased sewage flow due to increased water supply		✓ ✓	Not applicable. Households have adequate sanitation facilities
•	Increased volume of sewage (wastewater from cooking and washing) and sludge from wastewater treatment plant		√	·
•	Large population influx during project construction and operation that causes increased burden on social infrastructure and services (such as water supply and sanitation systems)?		√	Improved management systems through capacity building and institutional development will ensure the reduced burden on services and infrastructure.
•	Social conflicts if workers from other regions or countries are hired?		V	Priority in employment will be given to local residents.
•	Risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage,		√	Not applicable. Construction will not involve use of explosives and

Screening questions	Yes	No	Remarks
and use and/or disposal of materials such as explosives, fuel and other chemicals during operation and construction?			chemicals during the construction phase.
Community safety risks due to both accidental and natural hazards, especially where the structural elements or components of the project are accessible to members of the affected community or where their failure could result in injury to the community throughout project construction, operation and decommissioning?		√	Work areas will be clearly demarcated with signage and safety barriers, and access will be controlled. Only workers and project concerned members will be allowed to visit the operational sites.

Screening Checklist Prepared By:	W.M. Lalith Perera
Position:	Environmental Specialist
Date Prepared:	04.04 2016

Appendix 6: Records of Public Consultation

Date- April 22, 2016

Time- 10.00Am.

Venue- Pradeshiya Saba Conference Hall, Ipalogama

Participants.

1. MOH- Mahailluppallama.

- Secretary-Pradeshiya Saba- Ipologama.
- 3. Assistant Director Planning. Divisional Secretari's office- Ipologama.
- 4. PHI (3) MOH Office Ippologam.
- 5. Midwife. MOH Office Ippologama.
- 6. Irrigation Engineer- MahawelliZonal Office.
- 7. Grama Nilladhari-382.lhalagama.
- 8. GramaNiladhari-378-Galmaduwa.
- 9. GramaNiladhari-379.Kelediwulwewa.
- 10. Development Officer- Divisional Secretary's Office- Ipologama.
- 11. GramaNiladhari-510- Kadiyangalla.
- 12. Technical Officer- PradeshiyaSabawa- Thalawa.
- 13. GramaNiladhari- 509. Gonapathirawa.
- 14. Technical Officer- Pradeshiya Saba. Ipologama.

Community Consultation meeting was organized with the assistance of the DS and PS offices of Ipologama and Thalawa. Former Chairman of the Ipologama Pradeshiya Saba gave extra support to organizers to make the meeting a successful because he was the main facilitator in many work implemented under LGESP work in the area. Officers of PS and DS took special effort, because the communities coming under their preview were suffering to a greater extent due to lack of pure and safe drinking water. All stake holders and more than hundred community members participated with great enthusiasm. Following main topics were discussed in detail at the Community Consultation Meeting.

- Objectives of the water supply project and contribution of LGESP and ADB
- Laying of pipe line for water supply scheme and related design
- Services provided by the sub project especially in relation to CKD
- GRM mechanism.
- Rights of indigenous people and minority groups in relation to implementation of community projects under ADB financial assistance.

Process of the Meeting.

At the beginning, Officers of the RDC Consultancy office explained the legal and social aspect of the project implementation. Project objectives were explained and community members were requested to cooperate with the implementation work to obtain the maximum benefit of the water supply project in the wake of CDK epidemic.

Pipe laying design was given to the community representative get their feedback on the coverage of the all houses in the village. Community members showed some new areas where the pipe line should be extended.

Explained about the GRM Mechanism developed by the project and explained that the grievances and complains should be genuine and practical. Further it was explained that the project activities will be implemented in such a way that no person or the properties belonged to a person will be harmed or damaged. Community members mentioned that they extend their fullest cooperation because the project will solve one of the greatest problems they faced in their life time.

Explained the project policy on minority groups, indigenous people and gender sensitivity. Community members clearly explained that these issues are not relevant to these areas because all the community members living a harmonious life.

Mr. Ekanayake PHI of Ipologama MOH office gave a short lecture on CKD issue and the responsibility of the community in supporting the water supply project as a preventive measure to protect future generation without being a prey to CKD epidemic.

Special issues came up at the Public consultation meeting

- Mahailluppallama project is different from other water supply project because the water board has planned to give bulk supply to three water towers situated at Kadiyangala, Gonapathirawa and Gamaduwa Divisions. ADB had given financial support to construction of water towers, laying of pipe lines and strengthening of CBOs five years ago. At the meeting, Community members mentioned that activities of CBO are not reliable and they want the direct connection from the water board. Team leader and other officers together with PS officers mentioned that those tanks and CBOs were developed with ADB assistance. And the CBO should take initiative to reorganize the process and supply water to all villagers. The PS and Technical Officer will help to expedite the reorganizing process.
- There were requests for water supply schemes from the community members who
 came from adjoining GN Division. The team leader explained that their requests will be
 accommodated in a future project. Meeting was concluded with a happy note in every
 body. Community members pledged their support to implement the project successfully.







Appendix 7: Complaint Register and Complaint Forms

(To be available ii	n Local Language)				
TheProject welcomes complaints, suggestions, queries and comments regarding project implementation. We encourage persons with grievance to provide their name and contact information to enable us to get in touch with you for clarification and feedback.					
confidential, pleas	se to include your personal se inform us by writing/typing	*(CONFIDENTIAL)*			
Date	Place of reg	istration			
	on/Personal Details				
Name:		Gender:Male Female	Age:		
Home Address					
Village / Town					
District					
Phone no.					
E-mail	ation/Commont/Ougation Disc	a provide the dete	ila (wha what what and		
how) of your griev	stion/Comment/Question Pleas	se provide the deta	iis (who, what, where and		
	chment/note/letter, please tick	hara:			
	us to reach you for feedback o		mmont/grievance?		
How do you want	us to reach you for reedback o	upuale on your con	minent/gnevance:		
FOR OFFICIAL U					
Registered by: (N	ame of Official registering griev	rance)			
Verified thru:	Note/Letter	E-mail	Verbal/Telephonic		
Reviewed by: (Na	ames/Positions of Official(s) rev	iewing grievance)			
Tieviewed by. (Na	ines/1 ostilons of Official(s) fev	lewing grievance)			
Action Taken:					
Action ranch.					
Whether Action Ta	aken Disclosed:				
		Yes	No		
Means of Disclosu	ure:	-			

Appendix 8: Applicable Noise Level Standards

PERMISSIBLE NOISE LEVELS IN ACCORDANCE WITH NOISE CONTROL REGULATIONS

Maximum Permissible Noise Levels (as $L_{Acq}\,T$) at Boundaries of the land in which the noise source is located shall not exceed the limits set out below.

Area	L _{Acq} T, d	B(A)
	Day Time	Night Time
Low Noise (Pradeshiya Sabha area)	50	45
Medium Noise (Municipal Council/Urban Council area)	63*	50
High Noise (EPZZ of BOI & Industrial Estates approved under part IVC of the NEA)	70	60
Silent Zone (100 m from the boundary of a courthouse, hospital, public library, school, zoo, sacred areas and areas set apart for recreation or environmental purposes)	50	45

^{*} Provided that the noise level should not exceed 60 dB (A) inside existing houses, during day time.

Maximum permissible Noise levels at Boundaries of the land in which the source of noise is located in L_{Acq} T for construction activities.

Construction Activities

 L_{Acq} T, dB (A)

Day Time Night time 75 50

The following noise levels will be allowed where the background noise level exceed or is marginal to the given levels in the above table.

(a) For low noise areas in which the background noise level exceeds or is marginal to the given level	Measured Background Noise level + 3dB (A)
(b) For medium noise areas in which the background noise level exceeds or is marginal to the given level	Measured Background Noise level + 3dB (A)
(c) For silent zone in which the background noise level exceeds or is marginal to the given level	Measured Background Noise Level + 3dB (A)

BOARD OF INVESTMENT OF SRI LANKA

Appendix 9: Suggested Monitoring Report Format

SAMPLE SEMI-ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPORT TEMPLATE

This template must be included as an appendix in the EIA/IEE that will be prepared for the project. It can be adapted to the specific project as necessary.

Introduction

- Overall project description and objectives
- Description of sub-projects
- Environmental category of the sub-projects
- Details of site personnel and/or consultants responsible for environmental monitoring
- Overall project and sub-project progress and status

No	Sub-Project		Status o	List of Works	Progres s of Works		
	Name	Desig n	Pre- Constructio n	Constructio n	Operation al Phase		

Compliance status with National/ State/ Local statutory environmental requirements

No.	Sub-Project Name	Statutory Environmental Requirements	Status of Compliance	Action Required

Compliance status with environmental loan covenants

No. (List schedule and paragraph number of Loan Agreement)	Covenant	Status of Compliance	Action Required
,			

Compliance status with the environmental management and monitoring plan

- Provide the monitoring results as per the parameters outlined in the EMP. Append supporting documents where applicable, including Environmental Site Inspection Reports.
- There should be reporting on the following items which can be incorporated in the checklist of routine Environmental Site Inspection Report followed with a summary in the semi-annual report send to ADB. Visual assessment and review of relevant site documentation during routine site inspection needs to note and record the following:
 - What are the dust suppression techniques followed for site and if any dust was noted to escape the site boundaries;

- If muddy water was escaping site boundaries or muddy tracks were seen on adjacent roads;
- adequacy of type of erosion and sediment control measures installed on site, condition of erosion and sediment control measures including if these were intact following heavy rain;
- Are their designated areas for concrete works, and refuelling;
- Are their spill kits on site and if there are site procedure for handling emergencies;
- o Is there any chemical stored on site and what is the storage condition?
- o Is there any dewatering activities if yes, where is the water being discharged;
- How are the stockpiles being managed;
- How is solid and liquid waste being handled on site;
- Review of the complaint management system;
- O Checking if there are any activities being under taken out of working hours and how that is being managed.

Appendix 10: Summary Monitoring Table

Impacts (List from IEE)	Mitigation Measures (List from IEE)	Parameters Monitored (As a minimum those identified in the IEE should be monitored)	Method of Monitoring	Location of Monitoring	Date of Monitoring Conducted	Name of Person Who Conducted the Monitoring
Design Ph	nase					
Pre-Const	ruction Phas	se				
Construct	ion Phase					
_						
Operation	al Phase		ı			

Overall Compliance with CEMP/EMP

No.	Sub- Project Name	EMP/ CEMP Part of Contract Documents (Y/N)	CEMP/ EMP Being Implemented (Y/N)	Status of Implementation (Excellent/ Satisfactory/ Partially Satisfactory/ Below Satisfactory)	Action Proposed and Additional Measures Required

Approach and methodology for environmental monitoring of the project

 Brief description on the approach and methodology used for environmental monitoring of each sub-project

Monitoring of environmental Impacts on Project Surroundings (ambient air, water quality and noise levels)

- Brief discussion on the basis for monitoring
- Indicate type and location of environmental parameters to be monitored
- Indicate the method of monitoring and equipment to be used
- Provide monitoring results and an analysis of results in relation to baseline data and statutory requirements

As a minimum the results should be presented as per the tables below.

Air Quality Results

Site No.	Date of Testing	Site Location	Parameters (Government Standards)			
			PM10 μg/m3	SO2 µg/m3	NO2 μg/m3	

Site No.	Date of Testing	Site Location	Parameters (Monitoring Results)			
			PM10 μg/m3	SO2 µg/m3	NO2 μg/m3	

Water Quality Results

			Parameters (Government Standards)					
Site	Date of Sampling	Site Location	рН	Conducti	BOD	TSS	TN	TP
No.	Date of Sampling	Site Location		vity	mg/	mg/	mg/	mg/
				μS/cm	L	L	L	L

			Parameters (Monitoring Results)					
Site	Date of Sampling	Site Location	рН	Conducti	BOD	TSS	TN	TP
No.	Date of Sampling	Sile Location		vity	mg/	mg/	mg/	mg/
				μS/cm	L	L	L	L

Noise Quality Results

Site No.	Date of Testing	Site Location	LA _{eq} (dBA) Standard)	(Government
			Day Time	Night Time

Site No.	Date of Testing	Site Location	LA _{eq} (dBA) (Monitoring Results)	
			Day Time	Night Time

Appendix 11: Sample Environmental Site Inspection Report

Contract Number				
NAME:		DATE:		
TITLE:		DMA:		
LOCATION:		GROUP:		
WEATHER CONDITION:				
INITIAL SITE CONDITION: CONCLUDING SITE CONDITION:				
Satisfactory Unsatisfactory Unresolved		Incident F	Resolved	
INCIDENT: Nature of incident:			ı	
ncident Issues			I	
		Survey		
		Design		
Resolution	Project	Implementation		
	Activity Stage	Pre-Commissioning		
	Olago	Guarantee Period		
In	spection			
Emissions	Waste M	Waste Minimization		
Air Quality	Reuse ar	Reuse and Recycling		
Noise pollution	Dust and	Dust and Litter Control		
Hazardous Substances	Trees an	Trees and Vegetation		
Site Restored to Original Condition	Yes	No		
Signature				
Sign off				
Name Position	 Name	Position		

Appendix 12: References

- 1. ADB Safeguards Policy Statement, 2009.
- 2. National Environmental Act No 47 of 1980 and its amendments/Rules and regulations published in the Extraordinary Gazette Notifications in 1992 and 2006.
- 3. Sampath Pethikade of Thalawa and Ipalogama Divisional secretariats, 2014

Appendix 13: Maps related to the water supply sub project




Fig3: Final lay out of the Mahailuppallama water supply sub project




Fig 4: Map showing the pipe lay out in the proposed Project area




Fig 5: Map showing Land use of the proposed area

Appendix 14: Photographs of the project area



Galmaduwa road Water tower



Galmaduwa road



Puliyakulama road



Ihalagama Road



Yoda Ella road



Thalawa-Mahailluppallama road



Mahailluppallama Town



Mahailuppalallama Agri Research institute



Ganthiriyagama-Kekirawa road



Kudameegaswewa road



Kadiyangala road



Ipalogama –Kekirawa road

Appendix 15: Letters of Consent



ප<mark>ොදේශීය සභාව – ඉපලෝගම</mark> பிரதேச சபை - இபலோகம PRADESHIYA SABHA - IPALOGAMA

നായില് /വീൺ அஞ்கல் /Fax: 025 2264276



සභාපති தலைவர் Chairman තිහාල් හිලකවර්ධන 025 2264276 (කාර්යාලය)

දුරකථිතය / ශිනා නොගියනි இන. /Telephone: 025 2264276

025 4903625 (නිවස) 071 8229257

වදපුත් ලිපිනය:

මගේ අංකය எனது இல. My Ref.

NCP/IP/PS/F01/

இவே மூறை உழது இல. Your Ref. දිනය නිසනි

2016.04.26

අතිරේක සාමානාාධිකාරී, ජාතික ජලසම්පාදන හා ජලාපවහන මණ්ඩලය, පුාදේශිය සහායක සේවා මධාාස්ථානය, ගොඩගේ මාවක, අනුරාධපුර.

ඉපලෝගම සිට මහඉලුප්පල්ලම දක්වා මාර්ගයෙහි ජලය බෙදාහැරීමේ නල එළීම සදහා අවසර ලබාගැනීම සම්බන්ධවයි

උක්ත කරුණ සම්බන්ධයෙන් අංක P&D/A/KEK/EX-RQ/201-V ්හා 2016.04.26 දිනැති ලිපිය හා සම්බන්ධ වේ.

02. ඒ අනුව අනුරාධපුර දිස්තුීක්කයේ ඉපලෝගම පුාදේශීය ලේකම් කොට්ඨාශයට අයත් ඉපලෝගම, කඩියන්ගල්ල, ගෝනපතිරාව, මහඉලුප්පල්ලම සහ සංගට්ටෑව යන ගුාම නිලධාරී වසම් සඳහා නල ජල පහසුකම් සපයා දීමට ආසියානු සංවර්ධන බැංකු ණය ආධාර යටතේ ජාතික ජල සම්පාදන මණ්ඩලය විසින් වශපෘතියක් කුියාත්මක කිරීමට නියමිත බව මාවෙත දන්වා ඇත.

03. එම නිසා මෙම වහාපෘතියෙහි වැඩ කටයුතු ආරම්භ කිරීමට, අමුණා ඇති සැළසුම්පතෙහි සඳහන් පුදේශ වල ජල නල එළීම සඳහා අපගේ අනුමැතිය ලබා දීමට අවශා කටයුතු සිදුකරන බව දන්වා සිටින අතර, ජල නල එළීමේදී පුාදේශීය සභා මාර්ග වල කරනු ලබන කාණු කැපීම මාර්ග මධායේ සිට මීටර් 5 ක සීමාව තුළ (මාර්ග රක්ෂිතයේ) ඉදිකිරීම් කටයුතු සදහා අප ආයතනයේ අධ්කෘණය යටතේ ජල සම්පාදන මණ්ඩලය මගින් නැවත යථා තත්වයට පත්කර දීමේ එකඟතාවය මත ඉදිරි කටයුතු සදහා මෙයින් අනුමැති ලබාදෙමි.

ආර්.ඒ.එස්. ආරියරත්න ලේකම්

පුාදේශීය සභාව ඉපලෝගම

> ආර්.ඒ.එස්. ආර්යරත්න ලේකම් පාදේශිය සභාව ඉපලෝගම,

ජාතික ජල සම්පාදන හා ජලාපවතන මණ්ඩලය தேசிய நீர் வழங்கல், மந்நும் வடிகாலமைப்புச் சபை NATIONAL WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE BOARD



පුතල්සිය සහය සේවා පිබිතස්වාතය (උතුරු පිද) භෝඛයක් මාචිත, අනුරාබපුරක, பிரந்திய சேவை மத்தியஸ்த்தானம் (வம்) கோடகே மாவத்தை அதுராதபுரம் Regional Support Centre, (NC) Godage Mawatha, Anuradhapura. Tel: 035-2235993/ 2220727/ 2222296 Fax: 025-2225609

මගේ අංකය නොළා නිතා. My No. P&D/G/ADB/934-V¹ BOD GODO BLUGGI BAL Your No.

06th May 2016

Senior Engineer.

I.GESP Project (Pura Neguma),
Harischandra Mawathu.
Anuradhapura.

Extension of Pipe Lines to Mahailluppallama GN Division in Kekirawa Water Supply Scheme

Please be informed that we have the permission of Road Development Authority for Mahailuppallama extension for pipe laying along RDA roads, once the payment is made.

R.D.A. Supervision Charges = 1, 824, 697.20 N.B.T. = 37, 237.74 V.A.T. = 204,813.06 Amount for Supervision with 2, 066, 750.00 VAT & N.B.T. R.D.A. Depot = 3, 649, 396.40

Please make the said estimated payment and get RDA approval before commencing pipe laying works along RDA roads.

Cer

1. File.

Assistant General Manager (NC)