

INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATA SHEET

APPRAISAL STAGE

Report No.: ISDSA15717

Date ISDS Prepared/Updated: 11-Jan-2016

Date ISDS Approved/Disclosed: 12-Jan-2016

I. BASIC INFORMATION

1. Basic Project Data

Country:	Africa	Project ID:	P153702
Project Name:	West Africa Survey Harmonization Project (P153702)		
Task Team Leader(s):	Johannes G. Hoogeveen		
Estimated Appraisal Date:	20-Jan-2016	Estimated Board Date:	10-Mar-2016
Managing Unit:	GPV01	Lending Instrument:	Investment Project Financing
Sector(s):	Public administration- Information and communications (55%), General agriculture, fishing and forestry sector (20%), General education sector (10%), Health (10%), General energy sector (5%)		
Theme(s):	Economic statistics, modeling and forecasting (100%)		
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)?			No
Financing (In USD Million)			
Total Project Cost:	40.50	Total Bank Financing:	40.50
Financing Gap:	0.00		
Financing Source			Amount
BORROWER/RECIPIENT			0.00
IDA Grant			40.50
Total			40.50
Environmental Category:	C - Not Required		
Is this a Repeater project?	No		

2. Project Development Objective(s)

The PDO is to support the WAEMU Commission at strengthening the capacity of its member countries to conduct living conditions surveys that meet harmonized, regional standards and to make the collected micro-data publicly accessible.

3. Project Description

The project will strengthen regional integration in West Africa by harmonizing living conditions information and by strengthening the capacity at the WAEMU Commission and the national statistical agencies of all WAEMU member states to regularly produce and to publicly disseminate high quality, living conditions information that are comparable over time and across countries. The project provides assistance in ways that reflect differing country needs and capacities. A regional approach has several advantages. By working through the WAEMU Commission the demand for quality statistics is sustained. A regional approach also brings efficiency gains, as it allows setting common methodological standards, and enhances data comparability and data dissemination. A regional approach unlocks new opportunities for financial sustainability and, finally, facilitates south-south learning and peer review.

4. Project location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis (if known)

This is a regional statistical project coordinated by the WAEMU Commission in Burkina Faso. It concerns the harmonization of living conditions surveys (instruments; methodology; manuals; core statistics) and data collection methods (CAPI). Field work will be carried out by each of the eight WAEMU member countries: Benin, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea Bissau, Mali, Niger, Senegal and Togo. The activities to be funded by the project are limited to capacity building and data production and dissemination and use. It will not fund any civil works that could have adverse environmental or social impacts. The social impact is expected to be positive because by making quality data publicly available it will nurture public debate on matters of economic, financial, and social concern, facilitate public accountability and a better targeting of public policies and use of public resources.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

6. Safeguard Policies	Triggered?	Explanation (Optional)
Environmental Assessment OP/BP 4.01	No	No need for an environmental assessment: the work entails desk work (to design new survey instruments; data cleaning and analysis) and data collection using field enumerators.
Natural Habitats OP/BP 4.04	No	No need for an environmental assessment: the work entails desk work (to design new survey instruments; data cleaning and analysis) and data collection using field enumerators.
Forests OP/BP 4.36	No	No need for an environmental assessment: the work entails desk work (to design new survey instruments; data cleaning and analysis) and data collection using field enumerators.
Pest Management OP 4.09	No	No need for an environmental assessment: the work entails desk work (to design new survey instruments; data cleaning and analysis) and data collection using field enumerators.
Physical Cultural Resources OP/BP 4.11	No	No need for an environmental assessment: the work entails desk work (to design new survey instruments; data

		cleaning and analysis) and data collection using field enumerators.
Indigenous Peoples OP/BP 4.10	No	No need for an environmental assessment: the work entails desk work (to design new survey instruments; data cleaning and analysis) and data collection using field enumerators.
Involuntary Resettlement OP/BP 4.12	No	No need for an environmental assessment: the work entails desk work (to design new survey instruments; data cleaning and analysis) and data collection using field enumerators.
Safety of Dams OP/BP 4.37	No	No need for an environmental assessment: the work entails desk work (to design new survey instruments; data cleaning and analysis) and data collection using field enumerators.
Projects on International Waterways OP/BP 7.50	No	No need for an environmental assessment: the work entails desk work (to design new survey instruments; data cleaning and analysis) and data collection using field enumerators.
Projects in Disputed Areas OP/BP 7.60	No	No need for an environmental assessment: the work entails desk work (to design new survey instruments; data cleaning and analysis) and data collection using field enumerators.

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts:
N/A
2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:
N/A
3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.
N/A
4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described.
N/A
5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.
N/A

B. Disclosure Requirements

If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources policies, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/

Audit/or EMP.
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:
N/A

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level

The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information			
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
All Safeguard Policies			
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>]	No [<input type="checkbox"/>]	NA [<input type="checkbox"/>]

III. APPROVALS

Task Team Leader(s):	Name: Johannes G. Hoogeveen	
<i>Approved By</i>		
Practice Manager/ Manager:	Name: Pablo Fajnzylber (PMGR)	Date: 12-Jan-2016