

Initial Environmental Examination

May 2017

PNG: Building Resilience to Climate Change in
Papua New Guinea—Additional Financing

Climate Proofing and Connectivity Improvement of Alotau
Provincial Wharf

CURRENCY EQUIVALENTS

(as of 18 April 2017)

Currency unit	–	Kina (K)
K1.00	=	\$0.3148
\$1.00	=	K3.1766

ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	–	Asian Development Bank
AP	–	affected person
AULLG	–	Alotau Urban Local Level Government
BRCC	–	Building Resilience to Climate Change
BRCC-PMU	–	Building Resilience to Climate Change project management unit
CCDA	–	Climate Change and Development Authority
CEPA	–	Conservation and Environmental Protection Authority
CSS	–	Country Safeguards System
DED	–	detailed engineering design
EARF	–	environmental assessment and review framework
EIA	–	environmental impact assessment
EIS	–	environmental impact statement
EHSG	–	Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines
EMP	–	environmental management plan
EMR	–	environmental monitoring report
EPAR	–	Environment (Prescribed Activities) Regulation
EO	–	Environment Officer (of implementing agency, MBPA)
ESO	–	Environmental Safeguard Officer (of executing agency, CCDA)
ESS	–	Environmental Safeguard Specialist (of PISC to BRCC)
FGD	–	focus group discussion
IEE	–	initial environmental examination
GFP	–	grievance focal point
GRM	–	grievance redress mechanism
KII	–	key informant interview
MBPA	–	Milne Bay Provincial Administration
MBPA-PIU	–	Project Implementation Unit (of implementing agency, MBPA)
MBPTA	–	Milne Bay Provincial Transport Authority
MDG	–	Millennium Development Goal
NMSA	–	National Maritime Safety Administration
PAC	–	Provincial Advisory Committee
PGK	–	PNG Kina
PISC	–	Project Implementation Support Consultant
PNG	–	Papua New Guinea
PPE	–	personal protective equipment
PPTA	–	project preparatory technical assistance
PSC	–	Project Steering Committee
SEMP	–	site-specific environmental management plan

NOTE

In this report, "\$" refers to US dollars.

This initial environmental examination is a document of the borrower. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of ADB's Board of Directors, Management, or staff, and may be preliminary in nature. Your attention is directed to the ["terms of use"](#) section on ADB's website.

In preparing any country program or strategy, financing any project, or by making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area in this document, the Asian Development Bank does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

CONTENTS

Page

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
A. Background	i
B. Project Rational and Location	ii
C. Institutional Arrangements	ii
D. Description of the Project.....	ii
E. Impacts and Mitigations.....	iii
F. Environmental Management Plan.....	iv
G. Consultation and Disclosure.....	iv
H. Grievance Redress Mechanism.....	v
I. Monitoring and Reporting.....	v
J. Conclusion.....	v
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background and Rationale.....	1
B. Objectives, Impacts and Outcome of the Investment	2
C. Structure of the Report	3
II. LEGAL, POLICY AND ADMINISTRATIVE FRAMEWORK	3
A. Country Safeguards Systems.....	3
B. Asian Development Bank Safeguard Requirements	6
III. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT	7
A. Rationale.....	7
B. Options for Improvement of Wharf	9
C. Description of Proposed Works - Option 3b.....	9
D. Construction Workforce Numbers and Accommodation	11
E. Implementation Period.....	11
F. Consideration of Environmental Concerns in Project Design	11
IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT	19
A. Milne Bay Province	19
B. Alotau Wharf	19
C. Project Areas of Influence.....	19
D. Physical Environment	21
E. Biological Environment.....	31

F. Socio-economic Environment.....	33
V. ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	38
A. Design and Pre-Construction Phase.....	38
B. Construction Phase.....	40
C. Operation Phase.....	45
D. Summary	46
VI. ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES	48
A. Without-Project Alternative	48
B. With Project Alternatives	50
C. “Without” versus “With” Project Alternatives	51
VII. INFORMATION DISCLOSURE, CONSULTATION AND PARTICIPATION	54
A. Stakeholder Consultations.....	54
B. Information Disclosure.....	56
VIII. ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN	56
A. Institutional Arrangements and Responsibilities.....	56
B. Mitigation and Management	61
C. Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM).....	61
D. Monitoring and Reporting.....	63
IX. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	87
Sources of Information	88

Annexes		
A.	Details on Wave Climate and Wind	89
B.	Results of Ambient Noise Level Survey (March 2017)	93
C.	Relevant Maps from National Marine Conservation Assessment for Papua New Guinea	97
D.	Stakeholders Consultations and Participation during the PPTA	101
Tables		
Table 2.1:	Screening of Proposed Project Per EPAR 2002	4
Table 3.1:	Environmental Issues/Concerns, Suggested Corrective Actions and Status of Incorporation in Project Design --- Alotau Provincial Wharf, Sanderson Bay	11
Table 3.2:	Implementation Period for Construction	18
Table 4.1:	Potentially Affected Resources	21
Table 4.2:	Alotau Landforms	22
Table 4.3:	Annual and Seasonal Trends in Air Temperature and Rainfall at Port Moresby for the Period 1950-2009 and Rainfall at Kavieng for the Period 1957-2009	24
Table 4.4:	Projected change in the annual and seasonal mean climate for PNG, under the B1 (low, blue), A1B (medium, green) and A2 (high, purple) emissions scenarios	25
Table 4.5:	Ambient Noise Baseline Data (March 2017)*	28
Table 4.6:	Identified Sensitive Receptors in the Project’s Area of Influence	37
Table 5.1:	Measures to Mitigate Impacts on Air Quality	41
Table 5.2:	Some Measures to Mitigate Impacts on Marine Water Quality	43
Table 5.3:	Measures to Mitigate Impacts on the Socio-economic Environment	45

Table 5.4.	Summary of Potential Issues/Concerns/Impacts, Type of Mitigation and Fund Source	47
Table 6.1:	Summary of Findings from the Environmental Safeguard Due Diligence	49
Table 6.2:	Environmental Multi-Criteria Assessment	52
Table 6.3:	Climate Change Criteria Assessment	52
Table 6.4:	Social Multi-Criteria Assessment	52
Table 7.1:	Raised Concerns on Existing Wharf and Entire Wharf/Jetty Area (Mission 2)	54
Table 7.2:	Anticipated Impacts during Construction and Perceived Benefits from a New Wharf (Mission 3)	55
Table 8.1:	Institutional Responsibilities	59
Table 8.2:	Environmental and Social Management Plan	65
Table 8.3:	Environmental Monitoring Plan	75
Figures		
Figure 1.1:	Papua New Guinea, Milne Bay Province and Alotau Wharf	2
Figure 3.1:	Location of the Drainage Discharge Points at the Seawall and Current Backflow During High Tide Level	13
Figure 3.2:	General Arrangement of the New Wharf (Option 3b)	14
Figure 3.3:	Piling Layout for Options 3b	14
Figure 3.4:	New Split Level Wharf – Main Wharf Deck - Plan and Sections (for Option 4a)	15
Figure 3.5:	New Split Level Wharf – Elevation of Wharf (for Option 4a)	16
Figure 3.6:	New Split Level Wharf - Details of Lower Level Wharf Decks (for Option 4a)	17
Figure 4.1:	Papua New Guinea, Milne Bay Province and Alotau Wharf	19
Figure 4.2:	Sanderson Bay (2016)	20
Figure 4.3:	Project's Main Area of Influence	20
Figure 4.4:	Main Geological Elements of PNG - modified from Williamson and Hancock (2005)	22
Figure 4.5:	Major Landforms in PNG	23
Figure 4.6:	Monthly Maximum, Minimum, and Average Temperatures and Monthly Rainfall for 1995 to 2007 - Gurney, Alotau	24
Figure 4.7:	Annual Wave Rose for Alotau and Mean Wave Conditions Calculated between 1979 and 2010 for Alotau	26
Figure 4.8:	Annual Wind Rose and Monthly Wind Speed and Wind Direction for Alotau	27
Figure 4.9:	Historical Tropical Cyclone Activity from 1945 to 2008	29
Figure 4.10:	PNG's Historical Earthquake Activity from 1900-2008	30
Figure 4.11:	Location of Natural Disasters in Papua New Guinea	30
Figure 4.12:	Marine Ecoregions and Bioregions of PNG	32
Figure 4.13:	Land Use/ Land Cover Map of Alotau in 1992 [a] and 2014 [b]	35
Figure 4.14:	Land Use/Land Cover Map of Sanderson Bay Area	36
Figure 6.1:	Photos Taken by the PPTA Engineers during the Visual Inspection of the Existing Wharf in January 2017	53
Figure 8.1:	Grievance Redress Procedure	62

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. Background

1. The Asian Development Bank (ADB) is supporting the Government of Papua New Guinea (PNG) (the government) to develop the Building Resilience to Climate Change (BRCC) project, designed to implement the country's Strategic Program for Climate Resilience (SPCR). The SPCR is funded under the Pilot Program for Climate Resilience (PPCR) of the Strategic Climate Fund (SCF); a multi-donor Trust Fund within the Climate Investment Funds (CIF). The BRCC project will facilitate integration of climate resilience into development processes through: (i) enhanced access to financial resources dedicated to climate change adaptation; (ii) development and dissemination of knowledge products and adaptation tools; (iii) better understanding of climate change vulnerabilities and adaptation options; (iv) increased adaptive capacity at the sectoral, national, district, and community levels, thereby building climate resilient communities, (v) addressing climate change risks to food security; and (vi) development of climate-resilient infrastructure.

2. In July 2015, the SCF PPCR Sub-Committee endorsed: (i) USD 24.25 million in PPCR grant funding for the proposed BRCC Project, to be administered by ADB; and (ii) the concept note for the allocation of an additional USD 5.0 million in PPCR grant resources to the project: *Additional Financing for Building Resilience to Climate Change in Papua New Guinea* to climate-proof Alotau Provincial Wharf. The government request was for this additional support from the ADB the fund the rehabilitation and climate proofing of the Provincial Wharf at Alotau, the Provincial Capital of Milne Bay Province.

3. In order to prepare the project for funding, the government has requested assistance from ADB in developing and upgrading the wharf, including climate proofing features. The original BRCC program has three outputs: (i) climate change and vulnerability assessments carried out and adaptation plans developed for target communities, (ii) sustainable fishery ecosystems and food security investments piloted in nine vulnerable island and atoll communities, and (iii) the establishment of an enabling framework for climate resilient infrastructure, and extension of a related early-warning communications network. The proposed additional financing delivers a fourth output on the climate proofing of the existing Alotau Provincial Wharf. This will serve as a pilot and demonstration climate adaptation model for climate proofing similar structures in PNG, thereby contributing to and augmenting output 3 to develop a climate resilient framework for similar vulnerable structures in PNG.

4. This report is the initial environmental examination (IEE) report, the environmental safeguard document prepared for the Climate Proofing and Connectivity Improvement of Alotau Provincial Wharf (or Project). The IEE was conducted as part of the project preparation, with the prime purpose of: (i) identifying and assessing potential impacts and risks arising from the implementation of the proposed project on the physical, biological, physical cultural and socio-economic environment; and (ii) recommending measures to avoid, mitigate, and compensate for adverse impacts, and enhance positive impacts of the project. The IEE was carried out following the Papua New Guinea Environment Act 2000 and Safeguard Policy Statement 2009 (SPS) of the ADB, and with reference to the most-relevant best practice and guidelines. Relevant reports/documents, site reconnaissance, consultations with stakeholders and close working coordination with the Climate Change and Development Authority (CCDA) and the Milne Bay Province Administration (MBPA) have provided the basis for the IEE.

B. Project Rational and Location

5. The Alotau provincial wharf is located in Milne Bay in a readily accessible location. The communities and economies in the outer-islands are fully dependent on the wharf for access to essential services and business activities in Alotau. The wharf serves both small and medium cargo and passenger vessels (up to 600 tons displacement) engaged in Milne Bay's outer islands trade. Reliable maritime infrastructure is an essential pre-requisite for trade, economic development, health services, and poverty reduction in these outer islands.

6. The project aims to upgrade the Alotau provincial wharf using innovative engineering design that incorporates climate proofing features. The impact will be increased resilience to the impacts of climate variability and climate change. The outcome will be improved capacities of communities (in vulnerable atolls and islands), government agencies, and civil society to plan and respond to the impacts of climate change. While the impact and outcome are unchanged, they will be enhanced through physical implementation of innovative climate change adaptation methods for climate-proofing the Alotau Provincial Wharf, which would have replication potential in other similar structures in PNG. The creation of new climate proofing design codes and standards for Alotau contribute directly to output 3 of the original project, which is an enabling framework for climate resilient infrastructure established and communications networks extended.

C. Institutional Arrangements

7. The Executing Agency for the project is the PNG Climate Change Development Agency (CCDA), a department of the PNG National Government. The Implementing Agency is the Milne Bay Works Supervision Unit (WSU), which is the Department of the Milne Bay Provincial Government (MBPG) charged with the design, procurement and construction of civil works required by the Provincial Government. A Project Implementation Unit (PIU) will be established within the WSU of the provincial government administration (MBPA-PIU) for day-to-day management of project implementation. The Beneficiary of the Wharf investment is the Milne Bay Provincial Transport Authority (MBPTA) which is the operator of the three Alotau wharves owned and operated by the MBPG, namely the Provincial Jetty, the Provincial Jetty and the dinghy (small open boat) wharves. The Deputy Provincial Administrator has been assigned as the focal person for the project and the Manager of the Milne Bay Province Transport Authority as the alternate focal person. A Provincial Advisory Committee, established under the CCDA, shall steer and advise project teams and provide final endorsement on project recommendations and outputs, including recommendations on necessary institutional and capacity strengthening measures for the implementing agency and beneficiary.

D. Description of the Project

8. The project will upgrade and climate-proof the Alotau provincial wharf. A number of options were considered comprising four major design alternatives, two of them with design variants:

- Option 1 - Full refurbishment of the existing wharf;
- Option 2 - Replacing the existing wharf with a floating pontoon wharf;
- Option 3 - Retaining the existing wharf and constructing a new wharf with climate proofed wharf structures;
- Option 3a - Same as Option 3, but including the demolition of the existing wharf with the new structure constructed over the footprint of the existing wharf.

- Option 4 - Retaining the existing structure (similar to Option 3) but with steps on the rear face (landward side) to facilitate serving of smaller boats.
- Option 4a – Same as Option 4 but includes the demolition of the existing wharf with the new structure constructed over the footprint of the existing wharf.

9. An options evaluation workshop was held in Alotau on March 06 2017. Based on a consensus of stakeholders, Options 3a and 4a were preferred. Consequently, the feasibility study focused on Options 3a and 4a. Both options include the decommissioning and demolition of the existing wharf and uses the same main wharf design and location, with the development occupying approximately the same footprint as the existing wharf. Following further evaluation of resource constraints, an Option 3b was developed which provides a slight modification on the design of Option 3a, but at lower cost than Option 4a. This provides 2 additional piles, 1 headstock and an extra approach slab to Option 3a to allow the flexibility for the addition of a rear berth (or berths – as proposed under Option 4a) to be added to the wharf later when or if funding permits.

10. Under Option 3b, the new wharf will utilize precast concrete decking with a cast in situ reinforced concrete topping slab. It will have an approach deck positioned (as near as possible) within the footprint of the existing wharf. The length of the approach is 25m for Options 3b. The main wharf, 40m in length, would provide suitable access for two x 20m length vessels, or one 30m length vessel. The fendering to be provided on the main wharf considers all tidal ranges. The width of the wharf and access way will be sufficient to provide for safe access for concurrent pedestrian and vehicle movements. Foundations will be driven steel tubular piles, reinforced concrete bored piers or precast reinforced concrete piles. Wheel stops or kerbs are to be provided to all exposed edges of the structure. Handrails are to be provided to all non-operational faces of the wharf. A minimum of three safety ladders will be provided at approximately 60m intervals. Lighting will be provided to the structure to enable night time operations. Top of deck level of the deck shall be +3.4m lowest astronomical tide.

11. The construction period is estimated to be 9 months including mobilization of the contractor, demolition of the existing wharf, and construction of the new structure. During construction, provision for continuing vessel operation will be made by the MBPTA as operators of the wharf and jetty. Up to wharf demolition, MBPTA will carry out urgent rehabilitations to improve the safety of current operations. During construction vessels will use the adjacent jetty where feasible, and where not, will use private wharves or the PNG Ports Corporation's coastal wharf.

E. Impacts and Mitigations

12. The main environmental and social impacts will occur during the construction phase. These potentially include: (i) deposits on or contamination of the seabed; (ii) reduced localized air quality; (iii) noise and vibration; (iv) impacts on marine water quality; (v) impacts on marine ecology; (vi) impacts on the socio-economic environment such as impacts on the sustainability of urban services such as drainage and solid waste services due to the large volume of generated solid waste; (vii) local traffic congestion; (viii) local flooding from indiscriminate stockpiles of natural aggregates and contractor's container storage; (ix) potential social conflicts from hiring workers from outside; (x) disruption of socio-economic activities; and (xi) worker and public health and safety risks and hazards. All impacts during construction will be temporary, localized, and can be mitigated without difficulty using standard mitigation measures. Mitigation measures have been identified, at a generic level, and included in the environmental management plan (EMP). The contractor will elaborate upon these measures (see section F).

13. There do not appear to be any operational adverse effects. The new wharf will be located at the site of the existing 50-year old wharf. There will only be piles supporting the wharf's deck. The Project Implementation Unit will liaise and coordinate with other projects. Climate change data developed under the study will be provided to any other works in Sanderson Bay, such as the World Bank-assisted PNG Tourism Sector Development Project.

F. Environmental Management Plan

14. The EMP will serve as the framework for the environmental management in all phases of the Project (detailed design and pre-construction through to operation). The EMP contains: (i) institutional arrangement and responsibilities for EMP implementation; (ii) mitigation and management; (iii) grievance redress mechanism; and (iv) monitoring and reporting. The EMP contained in the IEE will be updated based on detailed design and additional baseline studies to be undertaken by the design and supervision consultant.¹ The contractor will respond to the EMP with a site-specific EMP (SEMP) which will detail their construction methodology, sub-plans and site-specific drawings and layout plans clearly showing how they will achieve the mitigations and targets specified in the EMP.

G. Consultation and Disclosure

15. Stakeholder consultations were held over the periods 13-24 February and 07-14 March 2017. Consultations included on-site random interviews, key informant interviews, and focus group discussions (FGD). The first consultations were due diligence-oriented, soliciting general information about the environmental, social and economic concerns about the existing wharf and associated facilities, and potential impacts of the upgrade activities. The second round of consultations were oriented toward obtaining comments on the design options for the new wharf, anticipated impacts during construction and the benefits that will be derived from the new wharf.

16. Stakeholder consultations will continue throughout Project implementation and operation following the process set out in the Project's communication and consultation plan (CCP): (i) during detailed design to disclose the IEE and EMP to affected communities through public meetings; (ii) prior to construction, through an intensive information, education and communication (IEC) campaign to ensure sufficient level of awareness/information among the affected communities regarding the upcoming construction, its anticipated impacts, the grievance redress mechanism, contact details and location of the BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU, among others; (iii) during construction, through regular random interviews to monitor environmental and social concerns of the affected communities; and (iv) during operation, for a period prescribed in the CCP, periodic random interviews will be held to monitor the environmental and social concerns of the communities in the main area of influence of the completed wharf.

17. To date, the following information has been disclosed: (i) the plan to build a new climate-proofed wharf, and (ii) the design options and the preferred option. During detailed engineering design, the IEE and EMP will be made available at the offices of the MBPA-PIU and MBPTA for the perusal of interested parties. The environmental monitoring reports during construction will be disclosed and made available on the ADB's website.

¹ This will in particular include water quality and marine ecology (including benthic flora and fauna) baseline information based on surveys to be carried out under the project concurrently with the detailed design. In addition, any baseline data emerging from the forthcoming WB-supported tourism improvement project in Sanderson Bay may be used to supplement this baseline.

H. Grievance Redress Mechanism

18. The Project will elaborate and refine as required the grievance redress mechanism (GRM) set out in the environmental assessment and review framework (EARF) prepared for the overall program, i.e. the BRCC. The GRM is included in the EMP so as to make it clear what the contractor must do to resolve complaints and concerns. A grievance focal point will be established by the Alotau Urban Local Level Government, assisted and supported by the WSU staff member identified to perform the role of Environmental Officer (EO) under the MBPA-PIU, who will maintain a register of complaints, keep track of their status, and report to the CCDA.

I. Monitoring and Reporting

19. Throughout Project implementation, CCDA and ADB will monitor the progress and impact of the Project, this includes evaluating the overall impacts and benefits of the project and monitoring the implementation and effectiveness of mitigation measures. CCDA is required to implement safeguard measures and to periodically submit monitoring reports on implementation performance. The MBPA-PIU will monitor contractor's compliance with the approved SEMP during construction, and report to CCDA to in turn report to the ADB. Environmental monitoring reports will be prepared as follows: (i) a report at the end of project design, prepared by the MBPA-PIU for submission to the CCDA; (ii) a monthly report prepared by the Contractor during construction submitted to the MBPA-PIU, who in turn will submit to CCDA; (iii) a quarterly progress report prepared by CCDA for ADB which will cover safeguards matters; (iv) semi-annual safeguards monitoring reports; and (v) an annual report prepared by the operator during operation for as long as monitoring is specified in the EMP.

J. Conclusion

20. The IEE concludes that the proposed Project is not located adjacent to or within environmentally sensitive/critical areas and any impacts created are expected to be localized, confined within the Project's main area of influence and can be readily mitigated.

21. The impacts will not be sufficient to threaten or weaken the surrounding resources. The preparation and implementation of the SEMP will address, as a minimum requirement, the matters identified in this IEE and EMP.

22. Based on the above conclusions, the Project's classification as Category B for environment is confirmed.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background and Rationale

1. The Asian Development Bank (ADB) is supporting the Government of Papua New Guinea (PNG) (the government) to develop the Building Resilience to Climate Change (BRCC) project, designed to implement the country's Strategic Program for Climate Resilience (SPCR). The SPCR is funded under the Pilot Program for Climate Resilience (PPCR) of the Strategic Climate Fund (SCF); a multi-donor Trust Fund within the Climate Investment Funds (CIF). The BRCC project will facilitate integration of climate resilience into development processes through: (i) enhanced access to financial resources dedicated to climate change adaptation; (ii) development and dissemination of knowledge products and adaptation tools; (iii) better understanding of climate change vulnerabilities and adaptation options; (iv) increased adaptive capacity at the sectoral, national, district, and community levels, thereby building climate resilient communities, (v) addressing climate change risks to food security; and (vi) development of climate-resilient infrastructure.

2. The government has requested a support from the ADB for the rehabilitation and climate proofing of the Provincial Wharf at Alotau, the Provincial Capital of Milne Bay Province. In July 2015, the Strategic Climate Fund (SCF) Pilot Program for Climate Resilience (PPCR) Sub-Committee endorsed additional financing of US\$5 million to upgrade the wharf. The original BRCC program has three outputs: (i) climate change and vulnerability assessments carried out and adaptation plans developed for target communities, (ii) sustainable fishery ecosystems and food security investments piloted in nine vulnerable island and atoll communities, and (iii) the establishment of an enabling framework for climate resilient infrastructure, and extension of a related early-warning communications network. The proposed additional financing delivers a fourth output on the climate proofing of the existing Alotau Provincial Wharf. This will serve as a pilot and demonstration climate adaptation model for climate proofing similar structures in PNG, thereby contributing to and augmenting output 3 to develop a climate resilient framework for similar vulnerable structures in PNG.


3. In order to prepare the project for funding, the government has requested assistance from ADB in developing and upgrading the wharf, including climate proofing features:

- the preparation of rehabilitation options and a pre-feasibility design and procurement proposals for the selected option;
- an adaptation report in accordance with the CIF's PPCR guidelines;
- a full Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment
- completed application forms as per CIF's PPCR guidelines
- due diligence studies and reports covering the economic, financial, institutional, procurement, and safeguards aspects of the project; and
- procurement and construction recommendations to allow the early commencement of any recommended climate proofing and improvement works.

4. This report is the initial environmental examination (IEE) report, the environmental safeguard document prepared for the Climate Proofing and Connectivity Improvement of Alotau Provincial Wharf (or Project). The IEE was conducted as part of the project preparation, with the prime objective of: (i) identifying and assessing potential impacts and risks arising from the implementation of the proposed project on the physical, biological, physical cultural and socio-

economic environment; and (ii) recommending measures to avoid, mitigate, and compensate for adverse impacts, and enhance positive impacts. The IEE was carried out following the Papua New Guinea Environment Act 2000 and Safeguard Policy Statement 2009 (SPS) of the ADB and with reference to the most-relevant best practice and guidelines. Relevant reports/documents, site reconnaissance, consultations with stakeholders and close working coordination with the CCDA and the MBPA have provided basis for the IEE.

Figure 1.1: Papua New Guinea, Milne Bay Province and Alotau



B. Objectives, Impacts and Outcome of the Investment

5. The Alotau provincial wharf is located in Milne Bay in a readily accessible location. The communities and economies in the outer-islands are fully dependent on the wharf for access to essential services and business activities in Alotau. The wharf serves both small and medium cargo and passenger vessels engaged in Milne Bay's outer islands trade. Reliable maritime infrastructure is an essential pre-requisite for trade, economic development, health services, and poverty reduction in such outer islands.

6. The project aims to upgrade the Alotau provincial wharf using innovative engineering design that incorporates climate proofing features. The impact will be increased resilience to the impacts of climate variability and climate change. The outcome will be improved capacities of communities (in vulnerable atolls and islands), government agencies, and civil society to plan and respond to the impacts of climate change. While the impact and outcome are unchanged, they

will be enhanced through physical implementation of innovative climate change adaptation methods of climate proofing of Alotau Provincial Wharf, which will have replication potential in other similar structures in PNG. The creation of new climate proofing design codes and standards for Alotau contribute directly to output 3 of the original project, which is to establish an enabling framework for climate resilient infrastructure, and extend the communications network.

C. Structure of the Report

7. The IEE report is organized following the outline prescribed in Appendix 1 of the SPS: Executive Summary; (i) introduction; (ii) legal and policy framework; (iii) description of the Project; (iv) description of the environment; (v) anticipated environmental impacts and mitigation measures; (vi) analysis of alternatives; (vii) information disclosure, consultation and participation; (viii) environmental management plan; and (ix) conclusions and recommendations.

II. LEGAL, POLICY AND ADMINISTRATIVE FRAMEWORK

A. Country Safeguards Systems

8. **Legal framework.** The country safeguards system (CSS) for environment includes the Environment Act 2000, Environment (Amendment) Act 2014, and Environment (Prescribed Activities) Regulation 2002 (EPAR), which address environmental impact assessment and management. The Environment Act (the Act) provides for the sustainable management of the biological and physical components of the land, air and water resources of the country. It sets out the country's environmental safeguard system, regulating the impacts of development activities on the environment through an established environmental approval and permitting process.

9. The Act classifies development activities into Levels 1, 2 or 3, depending on the extent of their impacts on the environment and human health:

- A level 3 activity is one which may: (i) result in serious environmental harm; or (ii) have a significant negative impact on a matter of national importance.
- A level 2 activity is one which is not a level 3 activity but which may: (i) result in material environmental harm; or (ii) may have a negative impact on a matter of national importance.
- A level 1 activity is any other activity that is neither a level 2 or 3 activity. A level 1 activity applies environmental guidelines or codes of practice developed for specific activities on a voluntary basis. Provincial and local level governments may regulate these activities.
- Level 2 and 3 activities require the submission of a notification of intention to carry out preparatory work. The notification will allow the Conservation and Environmental Protection Authority (CEPA) to determine if an activity is Level 2 or 3.
- If an activity is determined to be a Level 2 activity from its notification, project proponent can proceed to the application of an environment permit. If determined to be a Level 3 activity, project proponent is required to have an environmental inception report approved, undertake EIA and have an environmental impact statement (EIS) approved prior to applying for an environment permit.
- When a Level 2 activity poses a threat of serious environmental harm, it could be required to undergo an EIA.

10. The EPAR sets out the Level 2 and 3 activities as “Prescribed Activities”. Schedule 1 provides the list of Level 2 activities that are subdivided into Category A and B. Category B has 10 sub-categories with sub-category 12 addressing Infrastructure Development under which items 12.1 and 12.2 cover maritime infrastructure. Schedule 2 consists of Level 3 activities classified into 8 sub-categories with sub-category 19 addressing Infrastructure Construction that has item 19 covering maritime infrastructure. Activities associated with the construction of wharf, such as gravel extraction and quarrying, are included in Schedule 1 as Level 2 – Category A activities (items 2.3 and 2.4 of sub-category 2), requiring environment permit from CEPA. See Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Screening of Proposed Project Per EPAR 2002^a

Relevant Provisions in EPAR 2002	Required documents/ submission ^b				Remarks
	1	2	3	4	
Schedule 1, Level 2, Sub-Category 12 – Infrastructure					
12.1 Operation of maritime construction, deballast and repair facilities designed to handle vessels of a mass of greater than 50 tonnes.	X	-	-	X	Likely applicable to the proposed wharf construction.
12.2 Construction of marinas and boating facilities designed or used to provide moorings for more than 50 powered vessels at any one time.	X	-	-	X	The proposed wharf is designed to handle at the maximum, only 12 vessels at any one time. (Less than 25% of the prescribed threshold)
Schedule 2, Level 3, Sub-Category 19 – Infrastructure Construction					
14.1 Activities involving investment of a capital cost of more than K50 million, except where such investment is made in pursuing an activity otherwise dealt with in this Regulation in which case that category of activity will apply to the investment.	X	X	X	X	K50 million is equivalent to USD 15.75 million. The project will involve only USD 5 million (only about 1/3 of prescribed amount).
19.2 Construction of sea ports and ship repair facilities serving ships of an individual tonnage of more than 500 tonnes.	X	X	X	X	The proposed wharf is designed to handle ships with individual DWT of only 40 tonnes or 60 tonnes laden displacement (only 12% of 500 tonnes and prescribed threshold is more than 500 tonnes).

^a Mainly referring the design scale and scope of the project to Schedules 1 and 2 of EPAR 2000.

^b 1 = Notice of intention to carry out preparatory work.

2 = Environmental Inception Report

3 = EIS

4 = Environment Permit

Note: The proposed project is outside the conditions specified in Section 50 of the Environment Act 2000 whereby Level 2 activities would require an EIA undertaking. In case, the project would be required to undertake an EIA, the EIS preparation, assessment, public review and approval process is described in detail in Environmental Act 2000.

11. The country’s safeguard system also includes a number of procedures set out in several guidelines including: (i) Guideline for submission of an application for an environment permit to discharge waste (GL-Env/03/2004) which covers: noise discharges (IB-ENV/03/2004); air discharges (IB-ENV/02/2004); and water and land discharges (IB-ENV/04/2004); and (ii) Guideline for Preparation of an Environmental Management Plan (EMP) (No. 02/2013), which is intended to assist and guide prospective developers (and/or their consultants) to prepare an EMP, as required for Level 2 and 3 activities.

12. The following legislation and regulation will also apply to the project:

- **Sea water quality.** The Environment (Water Quality Criteria) Regulation 2002 provides the water quality criteria for the protection of marine aquatic life.

- **Public health.** The Public Health Act 1978 protects the general public by regulating and controlling the unplanned disposal of any environmental contaminants such as domestic or industrial waste and/or refuse that will have some kind of impact on the lives of people. The act regulates the proper and planned establishment of waste disposal points such as rubbish dumps and landfills so that such establishments are seen to be causing minimal inconvenience to people's lives. The act also covers all the activities that pose risks and potential risks and inconveniences to the usage of the environment surrounding the area of activity. As the project will affect the lives of people, especially the communities in the area of influence of the wharf, this act is applicable and has been taken into consideration.
- **Labor health and safety.** The Employment Act 1978 is an act relating to the employment of nationals and non-citizens. The act covers recruitment, conditions of employment as well as health and safety aspects and is administered by the Department of Labor and Employment. Conditions of this act are relevant to the health and safety of workers employed during construction and during operation and are reflected in the IEE.
- **Quarrying, gravel extraction.** The Mining Act 1992 and Regulation, which also covers quarrying, provides that the assessment of proposals for a mining lease shall consider whether the applicant has evidence regarding having complied with the requirements of CEPA in terms of the protection of the environment.
- **Dumping of wastes at sea.** The Dumping of Wastes at Sea Act 1979, provides for the prevention of pollution of the sea by the dumping of wastes and other matter, which may create hazards to human health, harm living resources, damage amenities or interfere with other legitimate uses of the sea. This Act is pending repeal. A bill for an act entitled Marine Pollution (Sea Dumping) Act is currently in under deliberation.

13. In the absence of established national technical standards for ambient air quality and noise², the Environmental, Health and Safety Guidelines (EHSG) will apply. Compliance with the EHSG is also a general requirement of the SPS.

14. PNG is party to the following international conventions, deemed most relevant to the Project:

- London Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter, 1972.
- London Convention for the Prevention of Pollution of the Sea by Oil.
- Convention for the Protection of the Natural Resources and Environment of the South Pacific Region and related Protocols (SPREP, 1986) and the associated protocol, entitled Protocol for the Prevention of Pollution of the South Pacific Region by Dumping.

15. **Policy and Institutional Framework.** The Conservation and Environment Protection Authority (CEPA), formerly known as the Department of Environment and Conservation, is

² There are currently no PNG standards for ambient air quality and noise, and hence compliance will be with EHSG. In addition, following the baseline studies, a better understanding of baseline conditions, impacts and required mitigations will be available, and therefore the standards to be complied with.

government's environmental management agency. It operates with the mission statement: "To ensure PNG's natural resources are managed to sustain environmental quality, human well-being and support improved standards of living" (CEPA Corporate Plan (2009-2012)). It administers the Act and its associated regulations, most relevant of which are the: (i) EPAR; and (ii) Environmental (Permits and Transitional) Regulations 2002. The CEPA consists of six divisions, of which the Environment Protection Division is responsible for the effective and efficient administration of the Environment Regulatory System in regulating development activities with potential for causing environmental harm including providing cost recovery guidelines (CEPA website, <http://pngcepa.com/about-us/divisions>).

16. CEPA operates at the national level from its office based in Port Moresby. It does not have offices and staff in the provinces. All environment approvals are made in the central office in Port Moresby. As part of the PNG decentralization policy, CEPA has to work in close consultation with the provincial governments through the respective provincial administrations to ensure implementation of environmental legislation at the provincial level. Certain environmental management and monitoring functions are delegated to provincial administrations on an "if and when" they have the resources and capacity to conduct these activities

B. Asian Development Bank Safeguard Requirements

17. **Safeguard Policy.** In addition to complying with country safeguards, the Project will also need to comply with the SPS, which sets out the policies and principles for protecting the environment and people by wherever possible avoiding impacts and mitigating and/or compensating for impacts that cannot be avoided. The SPS is a policy document in respect of safeguards and avoiding, minimizing or mitigating adverse impacts on people and the environment.

18. The SPS has the following objectives: (i) avoid adverse impacts of projects on the environment and affected people; (ii) where possible; minimize, mitigate, and/or compensate for adverse project impacts on the environment and affected people when avoidance is not possible; and (iii) help borrowers/clients to strengthen their safeguard systems and develop the capacity to manage environmental and social risks. The environment safeguard requires due diligence, which entails addressing environmental concerns, if any, of a proposed activity in the initial stages of project preparation.

19. The SPS categorizes potential projects or activities into categories of impact (A, B or C) to determine the level of due diligence required to address the potential impacts. Category A defines projects with the potential to cause significant adverse impacts; while category C are projects are those posing no or minimal impacts. The Project is categorized as environment Category B because potential adverse environmental impacts are site-specific, few if any of them are irreversible, and in most cases mitigation measures can be readily designed. This IEE has been prepared as the appropriate level of assessment for projects screened as category B for environment.

20. **Environmental Assessment and Review Framework (EARF).** The EARF for Building Resilience to Climate Change in Papua New Guinea sets out, for the overall program, the processes adopted to comply with both the country's safeguard system and SPS in the environmental assessment of this Project. The EARF: (i) reflects the policy objectives and relevant policy principles and safeguard requirements governing preparation and implementation of projects and/or components; (ii) explains the general anticipated impacts of the project and/or

components; (iii) specifies the requirements that will be followed for subproject screening and categorization, assessment, and planning, information disclosure, meaningful consultation, and grievance redress mechanism; and (iv) describes implementation procedures, including budgets, institutional arrangements, and capacity development.

21. The EARF also sets out the capacity building measures that will be implemented during implementation of the program.

22. **Initial Environmental Examination.** Under the SPS, the Project is classified Category B because the potential adverse environmental impacts are site-specific, few if any of them are irreversible, and mitigation measures can be designed readily. The appropriate level of environmental assessment for environment Category B is an IEE. The objectives of this IEE are to: (i) identify and describe the existing environmental conditions in the project area including the identification of environmentally sensitive areas; (ii) assess the proposed works and activities to identify their potential impacts, evaluate the impacts, and determine their significance; and (iii) propose appropriate mitigation measures that can be incorporated into the proposed activities to minimize any adverse impacts, ensure that residual impacts are acceptable and establish the requirements for monitoring of the Project.

23. The IEE is based on compilation of primary and secondary sources of information and data (including from published reports). The team conducted interviews with local people and leaders in the Project area to gather the relevant social and environment-related information and data needed for this report. Site reconnaissance was conducted. Public consultations with government stakeholders and communities were undertaken as part of the IEE process to determine community perceptions relating to the development, and to obtain relevant information. The report structure follows the format outlined in Appendix 1 of the SPS.

III. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

A. Rationale

24. The Alotau provincial wharf, built in 1968, is located in a readily accessible location in Sanderson Bay at the northern head of Milne Bay. This facility, is an essential element in the socio-economic life of Milne Bay Province and directly benefits all income groups including the poor as it seeks to improve and ensure connectivity for the population residing in Milne Bay Province and especially between the remoter outer islands and the provincial town of Alotau. The communities and economies in the outer-islands are fully dependent on the wharf and the associated jetty for access to essential services and business activities in Alotau. The wharf serves small and medium cargo and passenger vessels (up to 600 tonnes displacement) engaged in Milne Bay's outer islands trade. The jetty exclusively serves small craft (up to 30 tonnes displacement).

25. However, the 50-year old facility is in a poor state of repair and is vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change, especially sea level rise and increasingly intensive storm surges. The Provincial Government confirms that the wharf is in urgent need of rehabilitation and climate proofing.

26. Alotau is the capital and main town of Milne Bay province. It is the commercial, medical and institutional centre for the offshore islands where over 50% of the provincial population

resides. There is therefore a continuous flow of goods and people between Alotau and the islands by small vessels (8 m-25 m) with Alotau itself largely connected with other provinces and overseas markets by larger commercial ships. Shipping is handled at several locations within Alotau town.

27. In Sanderson Bay, the provincial government is responsible for 2 small adjacent facilities designated as: (i) the Provincial Jetty of about 40 metres length; and (ii) this project's subject Provincial Wharf with a length of 36 metres. Moored end on, the jetty handles about 15 vessels and moored side on, the wharf handles up to three larger (20m)³ vessels and occasionally very small vessels such as police boats on the landward side behind the wharf.

28. Between them, these two berths serve almost all of the provincial interisland traffic. Traffic from the islands to Alotau comprises: (i) passengers coming for various purposes including shopping, medical appointments, social and work commitments etc. and (ii) cargoes which are mainly agricultural and other local produce. From Alotau to the islands, traffic comprises returning passengers and food, rice, consumer goods, fuel, building materials and sundry items. Apart from regular freight and passenger vessels, there are irregular emergency and naval/military vessels which are not counted. Hospital ships including the PNG Ywam Hospital Vessel generally dock at the PNG Ports Coastal facility. Occasionally, fishing vessels of up to 30m in length change crew at Alotau wharf although this will likely cease once the JICA funded fish market and fish jetty is constructed.

29. In general, the mix of fuel, passengers, people and cargo operations at both the jetty and the wharf currently pose a threat to life and safety.

30. The assessment of the existing wharf condition, undertaken in January 2017 by the engineering team of the Project Preparatory Technical Assistance (PPTA) Team through visual inspection made from the deck level and by inspection boat, revealed that the existing wharf is at the end of its service life and is in disrepair, with serious structural defects. Deck-supporting steel beams are severely corroded, some piles show section loss due to corrosion on flanges, concrete decks have severe cracks and other damage, and the design of the interfaces does not meet current standards, posing significant risks to current operations and indicating that a refurbishment is unlikely to be a viable option. A replacement structure should no longer be deferred. (Refer Figure 6.1) (ii) The existing deck is occasionally inundated during storms, requiring a raised wharf to accommodate future sea level rises and storm surges.

31. The environmental and social safeguards due diligence in February 2017, through site reconnaissance and consultations with boat and dinghy operators, passengers and other stakeholders, concluded that the critical conditions of the wharf structure, which local users expect to collapse any time, warranted an urgent replacement.

32. This project responds to the request of the Provincial Government for support in the rehabilitation and climate proofing of the wharf. The project will contribute to the achievement of one of the objectives of the BRCC program, i.e., the integration of climate resilience into the development process through the development of climate-resilient infrastructure.

³ Up to 25 metre length vessels occasionally.

B. Options for Improvement of Wharf

33. Four redevelopment and improvement options were developed by ICF/GHD in February 2017 to form part of the Options Workshop held in Alotau on 08 March 2017. These options are summarized as follows:

- Option 1 - Full refurbishment of the existing wharf;
- Option 2 - Replacing the existing wharf with a floating pontoon wharf;
- Option 3 - Retaining the existing wharf and constructing a new wharf with climate proofed wharf structures; and
- Option 4 - Retaining the existing wharf and constructing a new wharf with climate proofed structures with steps on the rear face (landward side) to provide improved access for small craft and/or low tide operations.

34. As a result of site observations, a visual inspection, and discussions with representatives from the MBPA and MBPTA, variants to options 3 and 4 were subsequently developed - options 3a and 4a – and these were prepared and also presented during the Options Workshop.

- Option 3a - Same as Option 3, but including the demolition of the existing wharf with the new structure constructed over the footprint of the existing wharf (see Figures 3.1, and 3.2).
- Option 4a – Same as Option 4 but including the demolition of the existing wharf with the new structure constructed over the footprint of the existing wharf (See Figures 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5).

35. Based on the review of cost estimates, design options and funding limitation understood to be a constraint to the financial resources available for this project, a further option, defined as option 3b, was developed, which includes the main features of option 3a, but with the geometry (positional) arrangement of option 4a. This option provides the flexibility for the addition of a rear berth (or berths) to the wharf, as proposed under Option 4a, at a later date, when or if funding permits. The main difference between options 3b and 3a is the provision of 2 extra piles, 1 headstock and extra approach slab.

36. Option 3b is recommended as the preferred option under the Feasibility Report. The geometry and structural provision under this option makes provision for the future phased development of additional stepped wharves to provide a more flexible facility.

C. Description of Proposed Works - Option 3b

37. Option 3b features the construction of a main wharf, with provision for the subsequent construction of additional lower platforms. (See Figures 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5.)

38. **Main Wharf.** Option 3b includes the following activities and components:

- The decommissioning and demolition of the existing wharf;
- A new wharf utilising precast concrete decking with a cast in situ reinforced concrete topping slab. Upon completion of the works, the topping slab, precast decking units and headstocks shall form a monolithic structure by the use of shear ligatures cast into the precast elements;

- The wharf shall have an approach deck and shall be positioned (as near as possible) within the footprint of the existing wharf. The length of the approach shall be 25m and 30m for Option 3a and 4a respectively;
- The wharf shall include a main wharf, forty metres in length, and provide suitable access for two 20m length vessels, or one 30m length vessel. Fendering of the main wharf shall consider all tide ranges;
- The width of the wharf and access way is to be of sufficient width to provide for safe access for concurrent pedestrian and vehicle movements;
- Foundations are to be either driven steel tubular piles, reinforced concrete bored piers or precast reinforced concrete piles. A decision on the preferred option is to be deferred until a geotechnical assessment has been undertaken allowing section of the most appropriate option to be made considering both capital and maintenance costs;
- Wheel stops or kerbs are to be provided to all exposed edges of the structure;
- Handrails are to be provided to all non-operational faces of the wharf. A minimum of three safety ladders shall be provided at a maximum of 60m intervals;
- Lighting shall be provided to the structure to enable 'after dark' operations (minimum P8 category in accordance with AS 1158). The design of the lighting shall minimise glare to the navigation channel;
- Top of deck level of the deck shall be +3.4m LAT. Landside works (completed by others) shall match the top of deck level. It is assumed that a run slab will be provided to connect to a new access road;
- Security gates shall be provided at the entrance to the wharf;
- Cast-in-place mounting sleeves for removable davits may be required to assist with the loading / unloading of vessels. Confirmation of their requirement and location of the sleeves shall be provided after consultation is completed with wharf users; and
- Other structures which are to be located on land (access road and sanitation building) are not included within the scope of this document.

39. **Provision for Lower Platforms.** The design and structural elements included under option 3b provides for the later addition of a secondary wharf, located along the shore side of the main wharf. This secondary wharf would consist of two platforms at different heights to facilitate easier access to small vessels at lower tides, and the high platform at higher tides. These two landings would be accessible by stairs and access ramps, with sufficient space for berthing for two 12 m craft. The flooring for the lower access platforms (including ramps and steps) would consist of open mesh grating (nominal fibre reinforced plastic grates) to reduce uplift forces from waves whilst the platforms are submerged. The grating material would also be removable, and considered for re-use at a later date should tidal level changes result in the lower level becoming redundant. Access ramps would be provided from the main wharf to the landings with a maximum gradient of 1:14 and at least 1.8m (minimum 1.2m) between handrails. Access would be compliant with AS4997 for assisted wheelchair access.

40. **Wharf Drainage and Cleanup Sumps.** The wharf shall drain directly into the waterbody. Kerbs shall be provided with drain holes to allow free flow of any surface water. It is understood from item 21 of the ADB memorandum of understanding that bunkering operations will cease at the berth. Therefore, petrochemicals or materials hazardous to the marine environment are not anticipated to be handled across the deck. This operation will occur at the International wharf on the other side of the Bay. If the handling of such material is required, the operator shall be responsible for ensuring that no petrochemicals or materials hazardous to the marine environment are handled across the deck without suitable precautions in place to prevent such material entering the waterbody, subject to acceptance of the wharf owner.

41. **Berth Pocket.** From PPTA engineers' site observations and based on the fact that the oil tanker, MV Lukianos, 3,640 tonnes and 90m length overall, berths at the wharf approximately every two weeks, the existing depth at the wharf is sufficient for the design vessels (20-30 m length overall and up to 600 tonnes displacement). Depth is estimated to be 8–12 m. The wharf is to be positioned such that dredging is not required during construction, with a low risk of dredging through operational use.

42. **Equipment and Maintenance Requirements.** Equipment and maintenance requirements are to be kept at a minimum. Owing to this, the main structural elements for the wharf (piles and concrete deck) shall be designed for a design life of 50 years with minimum intervention. Design measures to minimize loss of structural capacity of the steel elements from corrosion include: (i) piles to be coated and cased; (ii) application of a protective coating to the fender steelwork; and (iii) use of a thicker section of steel (or adding a "sacrificial thickness" of 3mm) to provide for the assumed 3mm loss of steel to corrosion in 50 years' time. To minimize cracking of the reinforced concrete decks, causing entry of chlorides to cause corrosion of the steel reinforcements, the appropriate concrete grade and reinforcement cover will be adopted.

D. Construction Workforce Numbers and Accommodation

43. The number of construction workers, and the arrangements for their accommodation will depend on the contractor's approach to the construction. However, it is anticipated that a workforce of about 40 would be involved in the demolition and reconstruction of the wharf, of which the majority would be locally hired. Those not locally hired would be accommodated within Alotau town in guest houses, hotels and other existing accommodation. There would not be need for a temporary construction camp.

E. Implementation Period

44. Project implementation mode will be for separate detailed design followed by competitive tender of an ad measurement construction contract. The implementation period for the fabrication, installation and construction phase is estimated to be 12 months in total, to include: (i) about three months for contractor mobilization and barge mobilization; and (ii) about nine months for demolition of existing wharf, installation of piles, placement of precast deck, casting on site of the topping slab, installation of other furniture/fixtures and defect amendments.

F. Consideration of Environmental Concerns in Project Design

45. Environmental issues and concerns need to be considered early on in the project design process. Table 3.1 below presents the considerations made at this stage.

Table 3.1: Environmental Issues/Concerns, Suggested Corrective Actions and Status of Incorporation in Project Design --- Alotau Provincial Wharf, Sanderson Bay

Salient Issues/Concerns	Suggested Corrective Actions	Remarks
○ The existing wharf's structural elements with serious defects. Corroded steel elements, cracks and hole in concrete decks.	○ Replace wharf with a new one.	○ A new wharf will be built under the Project.
○ Drainage channels on each side of the access road have their discharge points close to each side of the wharf's entrance. Currently, backflow was	○ Design and supervision consultant to: - ensure new wharf will in not in any way obstruct effective discharge from these channels; and	○ There are two drainage discharge points, both from the drainage channels alongside the access road.

Salient Issues/Concerns	Suggested Corrective Actions	Remarks
observed during high tide level. (See Figure 3.6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - discuss with the Provincial Government on how to best prevent/mitigate worsening of backflow during construction. o Proposed improvement of access road by Provincial Government should correct the current back flow and incorporate SLR in the design of the drainage discharge. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - One discharge point is at the existing barge ramp that will be maintained in all Options. - The other is currently between the fence of NMSA and the wharf. Presently, it is outside the width of the existing wharf. With a wider new wharf, it is possible that this discharge point will be within the 8m width of the new wharf. However, the centerlines of Piles P1 and P2 will be 1.6 m away from the existing seawall (or a clear distance of about 1.3 m, considering P1 and P2 will be about 63cm in diameter). Hence, no structural element will obstruct this discharge point. o The responsibility to ensure effective discharge will rest on the Provincial Government's design of the improved access road.
o An existing barge ramp adjacent on the N face of the wharf.	o New wharf must allow continued use of the ramp.	o The new wharf will be so positioned to maintain use of barge ramp.
o Pump house of Islands Petroleum at the existing wharf, with exposed pipes attached to the outside of the wharf superstructure beams.	o Remove the pump house and all pipes and electric cables as soon as bunkering operations have been moved to the Alotau International Port.	o If not removed prior to start of construction, the pump house and associated pipes and cables will be removed during the demolition of the existing wharf.
o Width quite narrow, and narrower during peak loading/unloading operations. No side railing, posing safety risks.	o Include railings in the new wharf, as appropriate.	o Option 3b proposes a width that will provide safe access for concurrent passenger and vehicle movement and handrails at non-operational faces of the wharf.
o Wharf not accommodating to small vessels/dinghies. Dinghy operators & passengers are exposed to health risk when having to wade through contaminated bay water when getting off and on the dinghy.	o New wharf to also accommodate small crafts/dinghies.	o Option 3b will allow future construction of lower decks as featured in Option 4a.
o No lighting	o Provide lighting.	o Option 3b is designed with sufficient lighting.
o The wharf can be accessed anytime by the non-relevant public (non-passenger, non-boat operator/crew). There are threats of robbery and theft in the area.	o New wharf should be secured from entry of non-relevant public.	Option provides for security gates at the entrance to the main wharf.
o No waiting shed or terminal for passengers. No segregation of cargoes (particularly fuel-filled or emptied drums). No sanitation facilities and access to potable water supply. No fire-fighting facility. No covered litter bins. No help desk. No safety warning signage.	o Proposed improvement of access road by Provincial Government should incorporate the mentioned landside facilities. Provincial Government to negotiate with NMSA for use of a portion of their property for such landside facilities.	o Landside facilities are not within the scope of the Project.
o Clear distance between the wharf's and jetty's edges should be such that permits safe maneuvering towards and from the jetty and wharf, especially during peak operations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Design must ensure that there is adequately safe space for maneuvering in the area between wharf and jetty. o The Alotau District Level Government suggested an offshore anchorage area to relieve congestion. 	o It appears from the drawings that the width of 8m entry deck will be measured from the N edge of the existing wharf southwards to NMSA side. If this is correct, then the Options maintain the existing distance between jetty & wharf.

Figure 3.1: Location of the Drainage Discharge Points at the Seawall and Current Backflow During High Tide Level



Figure 3.2: General Arrangement of the New Wharf (Option 3b)




Figure 3.3: Piling Layout (Option 3b)




Figure 3.4: New Split Level Wharf – Main Wharf Deck - Plan and Sections (for Option 4a)




Figure 3.5: New Split Level Wharf – Elevation of Wharf (for Option 4a)




Figure 3.6: New Split Level Wharf - Details of Lower Level Wharf Decks (for Option 4a)




Table 3.2: Implementation Period for Construction

ID	Task Mode	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Predecessors	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Month 7	Month 8	Month 9	Month 10	Year 2	Month 11	Month 12	Month 13	Month 14	Month 15	Month 16	Month 17	Month 18	Month 19	Month 20
1		Presentation of Feasibility Study	1 day	Tue 4/04/17	Tue 4/04/17			Presentation of Feasibility Study																			
2		Review of Options	15 days	Wed 5/04/17	Tue 25/04/17	1		Review of Options																			
3		Preparation of Tender Documents	20 days	Wed 26/04/17	Tue 23/05/17	2		Preparation of Tender Documents																			
4		Expression of Interest	15 days	Wed 24/05/17	Tue 13/06/17	3		Expression of Interest																			
5		Tender Phase	30 days	Wed 14/06/17	Tue 25/07/17	4		Tender Phase																			
6		Tender Review	20 days	Wed 26/07/17	Tue 22/08/17	5		Tender Review																			
7		Award and Contract Negotiation	20 days	Wed 23/08/17	Tue 19/09/17	6		Award and Contract Negotiation																			
8		Construction	60 days	Wed 20/09/17	Tue 12/12/17			Construction																			
9		Contractor Mobilisation	30 days	Wed 20/09/17	Tue 31/10/17	7		Contractor Mobilisation																			
10		Barge mobilisation	60 days	Wed 20/09/17	Tue 12/12/17	7		Barge mobilisation																			
11		Detailed Design	40 days	Wed 20/09/17	Tue 14/11/17	7		Detailed Design																			
12		Procurement of Long Lead Items	160 days	Wed 4/10/17	Tue 15/05/18			Procurement of Long Lead Items																			
13		Piles	60 days	Wed 4/10/17	Tue 26/12/17	11FS-30 days		Piles																			
14		Fenders/Bollards	60 days	Wed 1/11/17	Tue 23/01/18	11FS-10 days		Fenders/Bollards																			
15		Precast Elements	30 days	Wed 18/10/17	Tue 28/11/17	11FS-20 days		Precast Elements																			
16		Demolition of Existing Wharf	40 days	Wed 13/12/17	Tue 6/02/18	10		Demolition of Existing Wharf																			
17		Installation of Piles	30 days	Wed 7/02/18	Tue 20/03/18	13,16		Installation of Piles																			
18		Headstock Installation	15 days	Wed 21/03/18	Tue 10/04/18	17		Headstock Installation																			
19		Precast Deck Placement	15 days	Wed 28/03/18	Tue 17/04/18	18FS-10 days		Precast Deck Placement																			
20		RC Topping Slab	30 days	Wed 4/04/18	Tue 15/05/18	19FS-10 days		RC Topping Slab																			
21		Lower Level Landing	15 days	Wed 18/04/18	Tue 8/05/18	19		Lower Level Landing																			
22		Kerbs and Handrails	10 days	Wed 18/04/18	Tue 1/05/18	20FS-20 days		Kerbs and Handrails																			
23		Lighting and Services	10 days	Fri 20/04/18	Thu 3/05/18	22SS+2 days		Lighting and Services																			
24		Wharf Furniture	20 days	Wed 18/04/18	Tue 15/05/18	20FS-20 days		Wharf Furniture																			
25		As Built Drawings	10 days	Wed 16/05/18	Tue 29/05/18	12		As Built Drawings																			
26		Defect Amendments	10 days	Wed 16/05/18	Tue 29/05/18	12		Defect Amendments																			
27		Handover	1 day	Wed 30/05/18	Wed 30/05/18	26		Handover																			

Task		Inactive Task		Manual Summary Rollup		External Milestone		Manual Progress	
Split		Inactive Milestone		Manual Summary		Deadline			
Milestone		Inactive Summary		Start-only		Critical			
Summary		Manual Task		Finish-only		Critical Split			
Project Summary		Duration-only		External Tasks		Progress			

Project: ADB_Draft Alotau Prog
Date: Mon 3/04/17


Page 1

IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT

A. Milne Bay Province

46. Milne Bay Province, located in the southeast of PNG, comprises the eastern peninsulas of the mainland of PNG together with some 600 islands, about 160 of which are inhabited, lying to the east and northeast of Milne Bay itself. The province covers 16,202 km² of land and 252,990 km² of sea. Alotau is the provincial capital, and lies on the northern shore, close to the head, of Milne Bay.

Figure 4.1: Papua New Guinea, Milne Bay Province and Alotau Wharf



(Source: Google Maps)

B. Alotau Wharf

47. Sanderson Bay is located in Milne Bay. The Alotau Wharf, is situated in Sanderson Bay, some 1 km southeast of the Alotau town center. The wharf is an L-shaped pier on the north-western shore of Sanderson Bay and south of the provincial jetty. Sanderson Bay includes a number of mooring facilities, including a dinghy mooring area with a small jetty (north and northeast), private wharves (east), and the provincial jetty and the Alotau Wharf (northwest). The Alotau International Port lies to the southeast. In the center of Sanderson Bay are two navigational beacons denoting the reef (See Figure 4.2).

C. Project Areas of Influence

48. The project influence area or impact area includes: (i) the directly impacted areas, covering the project construction footprint and immediately surrounding areas (as shown in Figure 4.3), considering the potential reach of impacts during construction; and (ii) indirect or extended areas of influence which include quarry sites, waste disposal site, sources of water for construction use, workers' campsites and sources of labor, and include the following areas: (a) sections of Abel Highway outside the main area of influence; and (b) access routes to and from the areas of influence. Potentially affected resources within the main area of influence are presented Table 4.1 below.


Figure 4.2: Sanderson Bay (2016)



Source of base map: Milne Bay Provincial Administration

1-Provincial Wharf; 2-Provincial Jetty; 3-Dinghy mooring area; 4-private wharves; 5-Alotau International Port (not shown in map)

Figure 4.3: Project's Main Area of Influence



Source of base map: Google Maps

Table 4.1: Potentially Affected Resources

Natural Resource	Socio-economic Resource
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sanderson Bay & its marine ecology • Milne Bay & its marine ecology 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community (various sensitive receptors) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Boat and dinghy owners/operators & passengers - Transit Hotel caretakers & lodgers - Informal market administration, vendors & patrons - Business establishments' management/employees & patrons - Employees of MBPTA and NMSA - Pedestrians along Abel Highway - Management & employees of the two nearest private establishments to the east of the Bay - Users of Abel Highway - Residential communities and the management/employees & patrons of commercial establishments across Abel Highway • Existing maritime & maritime-associated facilities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provincial jetty - Dinghy mooring area - Private wharves - Office building of NMSA • Existing utility lines in the area

D. Physical Environment

49. **Geology.**⁴ Three main components define the geological framework of Papua New Guinea, namely the: (i) Australian Craton; (ii) New Guinea Orogen; and (iii) Melanesian Arc. Of the three components, the New Guinea Orogen is most relevant, as this component includes the Aure Fold Belt (see Davies 2009), which incorporates the Aure Deformation Zone and Eastern Fold Belt of Williamson and Hancock (2005), and the Port Moresby, Kutu and Menyamyia terranes of Pigram and Davies (1987). From Figure 4.4, Alotau is situated in the Eastern Fold Belt.

50. The New Guinea Orogen comprises sedimentary and volcanic rocks that have undergone fold-and-thrust belt deformation and metamorphism, granitic and gabbroic rocks, and obducted oceanic crust. The Aure Fold Belt is composed of a thick sequence of mainly classic sedimentary rocks that were deposited from the late Oligocene to the Pliocene. East of about Port Moresby (where Alotau is found), these folded sedimentary rocks give way to thrust-bounded, strike ridges of Paleocene to Eocene fine-grained siliciclastic sedimentary rocks with minor coarser-grained Oligocene sedimentary rocks, all intruded by Oligocene gabbro of the Sadowa Gabbro during the early Eocene to middle Oligocene.

51. **Landform.**⁵ Based on the regional geomorphological perceptions, the north coast of the region's mainland is made up of raised coral limestone and has no deep-water anchorage. The eastern section of the Cape Vogel basin comprises low hills, flood plains and raised coral platforms.


52. The bay area, of which Alotau is part, is 28 kilometers long. At its western end, there is a Naura/Hagita plain with the Sagarai plain to the south and the Gadaisu/Mullins Harbor areas to the southwest. The bay areas are the province's only large area of flat land.

⁴ Sheppard, S and Cranfield, LC, 2012, Geological framework and mineralization of Papua New Guinea — an update: Mineral Resources Authority, Papua New Guinea, 65p.)

⁵ Extracted from "Information on Alotau – Sanderson Bay". Information on the existing environment collected by the Environment Unit, Milne Bay Provincial Administration. 21 March 2017.

53. Alotau District stretches from the mountains at the end of the Owen Stanley Ranges to the coastal areas of the southeastern end of the mainland. The coastal areas are dominated by narrow plains and inland hills, while the interior of the district is mountainous. The major landform types of Alotau comprise the mountain and hill areas extending outwards into Sanderson Bay flanked by the narrow coastal plains on its eastern and western flanks (Table 4.2 and Figure 4.5).

Figure 4.4: Main Geological Elements of PNG - modified from Williamson and Hancock (2005)




(Source: Sheppard, S and Cranfield, LC, 2012, Geological framework and mineralization of Papua New Guinea — an update: Mineral Resources Authority, Papua New Guinea, 65p.)

Table 4.2: Alotau Landforms

Landform Type	Zone	Location
Littoral landform	The littoral landform consisted of Mangroves, estuarine plain and deltas and beach ridge plains, tidal flats, beach ridges and alluvial plain.	Parts of Alotau area
Alluvial Plains	The landform type includes small areas of alluvial fans and small narrow alluvial plains. It also includes ridges and v-shaped valleys associating with the relict surface and structural plateau.	Coastal areas of Alotau
Mountains	The steep land of the province having dominant slope over 30 degrees and high to very high relief (100 meters).	Upland Alotau area
Hills	Hilly landform environment is a complex and highly variable landform due largely to differences in relative resistance of the underlying bedrock in response to weathering and erosion. They are mostly form on sedimentary rock and have low relief (less than 100 meters) and slopes dominantly in the 20-30 degrees range.	Alotau area

(Source: "Information on Alotau – Sanderson Bay". Information on the existing environment collected by the Environment Unit, Milne Bay Provincial Administration. 21 March 2017.)

Figure 4.5: Major Landforms in PNG




(Source: "Information on Alotau – Sanderson Bay". Information on the existing environment collected by the Environment Unit, Milne Bay Administration. 21 March 2017.)

54. **Climate.** Alotau climate is dominated by lowland humid climate (Type 3), with annual maximum temperatures slightly cooler than the drier lowland climates and average annual rainfall between 2,000 mm and 3,500 mm.⁶

55. In Gurney, Alotau, temperatures peak in the summer months during the Northwest wind monsoon season (December-January), during which maximum temperature reaches 33°C, minimum temperature reaches 23°C, and average temperature is 28°C. Temperature is lowest in August, at which point maximum temperature reaches 28°C, minimum temperature is between 20 and 21°C, and average temperature is between 24 and 25°C. Average annual rainfall in Gurney, Alotau is 2,368 mm, with an average monthly rainfall of 197.3 mm (Kulawin et al). During the Northwest monsoon wind season (December-March), monthly average rainfall is 156.7 mm, while the Southeast trade wind season (May-October) experiences a monthly average rainfall of 210 mm (Kaluwin et al) (Refer Figure 4.6.).

⁶ Extracted from "Information on Alotau – Sanderson Bay". Information on the existing environment collected by the Environment Unit, Milne Bay Administration. 21 March 2017.

Figure 4.6: Monthly Maximum, Minimum, and Average Temperatures and Monthly Rainfall for 1995 to 2007 - Gurney, Alotau



(Source: Kaluwin et al. 2011) Obtained from: PPTA Team's Draft PNG Alotau Wharf Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment. March 2017. Note: Gurney is about 13-14 km from Alotau town center.

56. **Climate Change.**⁷ For the period 1950-2009, the observed trends in air temperature at Port Moresby are as follows: (i) Warming trends of a similar magnitude are evident in both annual and seasonal mean air temperatures. (ii) Air temperature trends are generally greater in the wet season than they are in the dry season and minimum air temperature trends are considerably stronger than maximum air temperature trends. Annual and seasonal rainfall trends for Port Moresby for the period 1950-2009 and Kavieng for the period 1957-2009 are not statistically significant.

Table 4.3: Annual and Seasonal Trends in Air Temperature and Rainfall at Port Moresby for the Period 1950-2009 and Rainfall at Kavieng for the Period 1957-2009

	Port Moresby Tmax (°C per 10 yrs)	Port Moresby Tmin (°C per 10 yrs)	Port Moresby Tmean (°C per 10 yrs)	Port Moresby Rain (mm per 10 yrs)	Kavieng Rain (mm per 10 yrs)
Annual	+0.11	+0.31	+0.21	+7	-27
Wet season	+0.14	+0.32	+0.23	-4	-42
Dry season	+0.08	+0.31	+0.20	+4	+13

(Source: Australian Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO, 2011. Climate Change in the Pacific: Scientific Assessment and New Research. Volume 1: Regional Overview. Volume 2: Country Reports.)

57. Projections in surface air temperature and rainfall are presented in Table 4.4. Annual average air temperature will continue to increase, resulting in a rise in the number of hot days and warm nights and a decline in cooler weather. By 2090, annual mean surface air temperature is projected to increase by up to 3.4°C, 1-in-20-year maximum temperature is projected to increase

⁷ Extracted from: Australian Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO, 2011. Climate Change in the Pacific: Scientific Assessment and New Research. Volume 1: Regional Overview. Volume 2: Country Reports.

by up to 4.2°C, and 1-in-20-year minimum temperature is projected to increase by up to 4.7°C, based on the upper bound of the 95% confidence interval for high emissions scenario (A2) projections.

58. Average annual and seasonal rainfall is projected to increase over the course of the 21st century, consistent with the expected intensification of the West Pacific Monsoon and the Intertropical Convergence Zone. By the end of the century, total rainfall is projected to increase by a maximum of 36%, wet season (November-April) rainfall is projected to increase by a maximum of 35%, and dry season (May-October) rainfall is projected to increase by a maximum of 41%.

Table 4.4: Projected change in the annual and seasonal mean climate for PNG, under the B1 (low, blue), A1B (medium, green) and A2 (high, purple) emissions scenarios

Variable	Season	2030	2055	2090	Confidence
Surface air temperature (°C)	Annual	+0.7 ± 0.4	+1.1 ± 0.5	+1.6 ± 0.6	High
		+0.8 ± 0.4	+1.5 ± 0.5	+2.4 ± 0.8	
		+0.7 ± 0.3	+1.5 ± 0.4	+2.8 ± 0.6	
Maximum temperature (°C)	1-in-20-year event	N/A	+1.0 ± 0.9	+1.3 ± 1.0	Low
			+1.4 ± 0.9	+2.2 ± 1.3	
			+1.5 ± 0.7	+2.7 ± 1.5	
Minimum temperature (°C)	1-in-20-year event	N/A	+1.4 ± 1.8	+1.8 ± 1.8	Low
			+1.7 ± 2.0	+2.4 ± 1.9	
			+1.6 ± 1.8	+2.6 ± 2.1	
Total rainfall (%)*	Annual	+3 ± 13	+8 ± 13	+11 ± 13	Moderate
		+3 ± 13	+7 ± 17	+15 ± 20	
		+5 ± 9	+7 ± 13	+15 ± 21	
Wet season rainfall (%)*	November-April	+4 ± 12	+10 ± 13	+12 ± 12	Moderate
		+5 ± 11	+9 ± 17	+16 ± 18	
		+6 ± 10	+8 ± 12	+15 ± 20	
Dry season rainfall (%)*	May-October	+1 ± 15	+7 ± 16	+10 ± 16	Moderate
		+1 ± 16	+5 ± 20	+15 ± 24	
		+4 ± 12	+6 ± 17	+15 ± 26	


(Source: Australian Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO, 2011. Climate Change in the Pacific: Scientific Assessment and New Research. Volume 1: Regional Overview. Volume 2: Country Reports.)

Notes: Projections are given for three 20-year periods centered on 2030 (2020-2039), 2055 (2046-2065) and 2090 (2080-2099), relative to 1990 (1980-1999). The margin of error represents 95% of the model projections.

59. **Wave Climate.**⁸ In Alotau, the average sea state is calm, particularly during the period dominated by winds from the Southeast. Rapid changes in periods of wave direction or amplitude are seldom experienced. Wave conditions tend to be consistent, meaning that they vary little within a few hours. The waves reaching Alotau are generally produced by the trade winds blowing the wave across hundreds of kilometers, although conditions are invariably calm and almost never rough. The principal direction, where waves occasionally come from is the Southeast (120°) (Refer Table 4.5, Figure 4.7 and Annex A.).

⁸ Wave Climate Report – Alotau. Waves and Coasts in the Pacific. Obtained from <http://gsd.spc.int/wacop/>.

Figure 4.7: Annual Wave Rose for Alotau and Mean Wave Conditions Calculated between 1979 and 2010 for Alotau



(Source: Wave Climate Report – Alotau. Waves and Coasts in the Pacific. Obtained from <http://gsd.spc.int/wacop/>.)


60. **Wind.**⁹ The southeasterly wind season spans the period from April/May to October, while the shorter northwesterly wind season spans November/December through March (Kaluwin et al. 2011, Milne Bay Disaster Management Office n.d.). Surface winds are the strongest during the Southeasterly Wind season, during which average wind speed is 15 knots; during the Northwesterly Wind season, average wind speed is approximately 10 knots (Kaluwin et al. 2011). In Alotau, the prevailing wind is dominated by southeasterly trade winds, with a mean wind speed of 3.64ms^{-1} (7.08knts) from the 134° .¹⁰

61. Milne Bay is currently exposed to cyclones, although the majority of cyclones directly affecting Milne Bay have strengths corresponding to Tropical Storms and Severe Tropical Storms, below Cyclone Category 1 strength. From 1967-2016, six cyclones crossed within 100 km, seven crossed 100-200 km away, 12 crossed 200-300 km away, and 22 crossed 300-400 km away from Gurney, Alotau (Kaluwin and Kilepak 2017). The tropical cyclone season occurs between November and April, overlapping with the entire Northwesterly Wind season and the beginning of the Southeasterly Wind season, and an average of six cyclones per decade occur within 400 km of Port Moresby (PCCSP 2013). Tropical cyclones occur most frequently during El Niño Southern Oscillation-neutral years (at a rate of eight cyclones per decade) and least frequently is during El Niño and La Niña years (a rate of four cyclones per decade) (PCCSP 2013).

⁹ This sub-section is largely extracted from PPTA Team's Draft PNG Alotau Wharf Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment. March 2017.

¹⁰ Wave Climate Report – Alotau. Waves and Coasts in the Pacific. Obtained from <http://gsd.spc.int/wacop/>.

Figure 4.8: Annual Wind Rose and Monthly Wind Speed and Wind Direction for Alotau



62. **Water quality.** There is lack of baseline data on water quality of Sanderson Bay. Pollutants enter Sanderson Bay from point and non-point sources. Point sources include the discharges from maritime vessels, people (litter), sewer discharge pipes of septic tanks of establishments around the bay, and the bunkering operations (drips/leaks). Non-point sources are runoff from the streets and land around the bay, potential atmospheric deposition, discharges from a creek and discharges from drainage channels. A water quality baseline survey, and surveys of benthic flora and fauna, will be undertaken during, or concurrently with, the detailed engineering design stage and the IEE and environmental management plan (EMP), as required, will be updated accordingly.

63. **Air Quality.** There is no available baseline data on air quality in Alotau. There is no entity providing professional services in air quality monitoring is based in Alotau. Sources of air pollutants in Alotau Town include light industrial activities; operation of vehicles, generator sets, and ships; and burning of solid waste and yard wastes. However, none of these sources result in observed or reported air pollution. The air quality in Alotau is considered to be good.

64. **Noise.** Ambient noise baseline data was not readily available. Initial measurements were undertaken in March 2017 in six locations in the Project's main area of influence. The findings, shown in Table 4.5 below. There were validated in April when readings were taken using a calibrated hand held sound meter manufactured to the IEC651 Type 2 standard. Of the six locations, the daytime averages of three locations (Look-Out Point, NAKO and dinghy mooring area) has met the EHSG's daytime guideline for residential areas. Those for the remaining three locations (Pik n Pay Supermarket, Transit Hotel and Informal Market) has met the EHSG daytime guideline for commercial/industrial areas (For further detail refer to Annex B.).

65. **Natural Hazards.** Current hazards experienced in the Milne Bay Province include southeasterly surge and tropical cyclone-generated storms. Tropical cyclones are particularly common within the Far Eastern Region of the Province, including the Misima, Sudest, and Rossel Islands. Additionally residents of coastal areas and low-lying islands report that they are already experiencing the impacts of climate change-induced sea-level rise, including coastal erosion and salt water inundation (Samof 2007). Based on the AWARE environmental risk screening tool, PNG has a high risk rating in sea level rise and a low risk rating from a Category 1 storm. Figure 4.9 taken from the record of PNG's Historical Tropical Cyclone Activity from 1945 to 2008, shows no storm tracks have passed through Alotau over this period. Key informant interviews held in

February 2017 confirmed that Alotau only experiences the effects of tropical cyclones centered elsewhere nearby.

Table 4.5: Ambient Noise Baseline Data (March 2017)*

Name	Measurement Location		AM		PM	
	Distance from Wharf **	Description of Community	Ave (dB)	Max (dB)	Ave (dB)	Max (dB)
1 Look-Out Point, Middle Town [^]	320 m	Within a low density residential community, across Abel Highway	40-42	66-77	38-47	72-83
2 NAKO Fisheries, Ltd.	150 m	Light commercial/light industrial, east coast of Sanderson Bay	40-52	70-80	39-50	71-78
3 Dinghy mooring area	175 m	Beach along the Abel Highway	51-54	69-81	49-53	71-82
4 Pik n Pay Supermarket	50 m	Commercial, about 25 m across the Provincial Jetty	57-58	73-79	58-60	77-81
5 Transit Hotel	100 m	Residential with informal vendors	51-60	64-78	52-60	75-81
6 Informal Market	175 m	Commercial, along the access road to the wharf	57-62	78-81	56-62	78-81
EHSg Daytime Guideline (dBA)		Residential	55			
		Commercial/Industrial	70			
Name	Some common noise sources in at least 3 measurements		Distance of Measurement Location from Noise Sources			
1 Look-Out Point, Middle Town	Birds and people talking, roosters crowing		From 0 to 20-30 m			
	Vehicles running on Abel Highway		At least 150-160m			
	Dogs barking, hammering wood		Within 50 m			
	Dinghies arriving and departing		At least 200 m			
	Lukianos' engine running while bunkering		About 320 m			
2 NAKO Fisheries, Ltd.	Boat loading cargo, people talking, birds, bay water splashing on sea wall		From 0 to 20 m			
	Vehicles running on Abel Highway		At least 45 m			
	Dinghy or boat arriving/departing, boat engine idling		Within 150 m			
	Lukianos' engine running while bunkering		About 150 m			
3 Dinghy mooring area	Vehicles running on Abel Highway		At least 80 m			
	People talking, birds, bay water splashing on shore		From 0 to 15 m			
	Dinghies departing/arriving		From 10 to 175 m			
4 PikN-Pay Supermarket	People talking/singing/shouting, large electric fan, loud music inside the supermarket		From 0 to 10 m			
	Vehicles coming and going		From 0 to 25 m			
	Lukianos' engine running while bunkering		About 75-80 m			
5 Transit Hotel	People talking, vehicles passing by/arriving/departing		From 0 to 20 m			
	Lukianos' engine running while bunkering		Within 100 m			
6 Informal market	People talking, vehicles arriving & departing, loud music inside market		From 0 to 10 m			
	Vehicles passing by		From 0 to 35 m			


* Note: The measurements were taken using only an Android apps, Sound Meter, to establish initial data.

[^] Look-Out Point, in Middle Town is at some 50 m elevation. The 320 m estimated distance from the wharf is horizontal distance.

** Approximate distances. Measured from google maps.

66. Due to its location, PNG is generally at risk of being subjected to earthquakes. However, the historical records of earthquake activities from 1900-2008 show that Alotau is not earthquake prone. Informal discussions with locals has confirmed that Alotau only experiences the effects earthquakes centered elsewhere nearby (Figure 4.10).


Figure 4.9: Historical Tropical Cyclone Activity from 1945 to 2008



(Source: Air Worldwide Corporation, 2008)


67. The AWARE risk assessment tool indicates that PNG has a high risk rating from landslide. Figure 4.11 shows that Alotau is not prone to landslides. Furthermore, informal discussions with locals has confirmed that Alotau is not landslide prone.

Figure 4.10: PNG's Historical Earthquake Activity from 1900-2008



(Source: Air Worldwide Corporation, 2008)

Figure 4.11: Location of Natural Disasters in Papua New Guinea



(Source: Natural Hazards and Disasters in Papua New Guinea. Sue Lauer. 2004.)

E. Biological Environment

68. **Sanderson Bay.** The marine environment of Sanderson Bay comprises beaches, foreshore reefs, intertidal zones, reef flats as well as shallow terraces. Each of these environments comprises different types of marine fauna known to various marine habitats identified in the area, which also are found in many of the shorelines in nearby areas making the chances of re-colonization in any affected area greater. Sanderson Bay marine environments are heavily degraded and the trend of recovery might not be great due to the current scope of work set to inhibit the growth of marine re-colonization in many of the reclaimed zones.¹¹

69. The vicinity along the existing Provincial Wharf at Sanderson Bay was among the 53 sites visited during the conduct of rapid biodiversity assessment of the coral reefs of Milne Bay Province by Conservation International in September/October 1997 in collaboration with the Milne Bay Provincial Administration. It was the first-ever systematic effort to document marine biodiversity in the province. The survey broadly classified the 53 sites into five. The site along the Provincial Wharf (or Alotau Harbor (10°18.63'S, 150°27.07'E) under the survey) was classified under "silty bays and harbours". According to the survey report, these sites: (i) were invariably low in biodiversity; (ii) were habitats often overlooked due to low visibility; (iii) were highly sheltered in areas susceptible to siltation due to proximity to terrestrial runoff; and (iv) often harbored luxuriant growths of hard and soft corals in shallow water.¹²

70. The survey report has described the Alotau Harbour site as follows: *"Heavily silted coastal reef environment; bottom of harbor (28 m depth) relatively flat with thick layer of fine silt, but surprisingly large sections of live coral (mainly tabular Montipora and Mycediurn); on west side of harbor a solid reef rises up steeply from harbor bottom to depth of about 3-4 m, then gradually decreases in depth towards shore; reef edge and shallows with an excellent variety of corals, particularly Porites, Acropora, Pectinia and Pocillopora; bottom of harbor with incredibly large population of the tiny hovering goby Bryninops natans) and an abundance of Colin's Damselfish (Pomacentrus coloni), endemic to southeastern Papua New Guinea."*⁴

71. The survey also identified 111 species of fish: mostly from the damselfish, gobies, wrasses, butterfly fishes, cardinal fishes, blennies etc. The Coral Fish Diversity Index (CFDI) value for Alotau Harbor was 68, interpreted as "poor" relative biodiversity. According to MBPA, the coral shallow reefs discussed in the survey report is the area occupied by the reclaimed land where the Transit Hotel is. No other biodiversity assessment of coral reef has been undertaken anywhere near the Alotau Wharf since then. No benthic baseline data is available. The lack of benthic flora and fauna data is a gap that will be filled during the detailed engineering design stage.

72. **Terrestrial Vegetation.** The vegetation type found around Alotau comprises lowland hill forest followed by mangrove forest (alluvium forest) along the major delta of the rivers mostly around Maiwara to Wagawaga. Lowland forest extend from sea level to 1000 meters above sea level. Most of the lowland forest is degraded to secondary forest as a result of logging along the upland areas of Padipadi and further clear-cut felling for oil palm development.¹³

¹¹ Sanderson Bay Foreshore Development Environment Impact Brief. Milne Bay Province Administration, Division of Planning and Coordination. 18 Feb 2010.


¹² A Rapid Biodiversity Assessment of the Coral Reefs of Milne Bay Province, Papua New Guinea. Conservation International. 1998.

¹³ Extracted from "Information on Alotau – Sanderson Bay". Information on the existing environment collected by the Environment Unit, Milne Bay Administration. 21 March 2017.

73. **Marine Ecology.** Secondary information were collected from various sources. The latest study¹⁴ on marine ecology, dated 2015, was funded through AusAid in collaboration with PNG Government Agencies through the CEPA.

74. The study subdivided the marine ecology of PNG into marine ecoregions and marine bioregions (Figure 4.12). The project site, Alotau Wharf, is within the Solomon Sea Marine Ecoregion and Samarai Marine Bioregion.

Figure 4.12: Marine Ecoregions and Bioregions of PNG



(Source: Government of Papua New Guinea (2015) National Marine Conservation Assessment for Papua New Guinea; Conservation and Environment Protection Authority, 51pp.)

75. The report showed no representations of the following habitat types in Solomon Sea region, where the project is located: (i) Important Bird Areas; (ii) Spawning aggregation;¹⁵ (iii) Important Turtle Sites, and (iv) Important Whale Sites. There was also very low representation of: (i) Mangroves, (ii) Deep Habitats, and (iii) Coral Reef. The site visit in February 2017 confirmed that the above habitat types were not observed at the project site.

¹⁴ Government of Papua New Guinea (2015) National Marine Conservation Assessment for Papua New Guinea; Conservation and Environment Protection Authority, 51pp.

¹⁵ A spawning aggregation is a predictable gathering of adult fishes for the purpose of spawning. (www.marinecsi.org)

76. PNG is committed to the establishment of a network of marine protected areas to fulfil national and international commitments. In order to assist this, the conservation priority areas analysis identified a range of areas of high conservation interest in the PNG marine environment, based on the principles of comprehensiveness, adequacy, representation and resilience (CARR). The report presented key areas that addressed the CARR principle. The resultant maps identify areas of high conservation interest that should be prioritized by the PNG Government for further assessment. Areas for conservation priorities, considering existing protected areas, included Milne Bay in the Samarai Marin Bioregion as low priority.

77. The overlap of high priority conservation areas and high shipping traffic maps, particularly in the Western Manus Islands and Milne Bay, was identified. The majority of the marine area in Milne Bay was noted as areas for conservation priority. The project area, however, was noted as low priority conservation area (Annex C features the relevant maps from the Study).

78. During the detailed design stage, baseline surveys including water and marine ecology (including benthic flora and fauna) will be undertaken. The EMP will be updated, as required, at that time.

F. Socio-economic Environment

79. **Land Use Development.** Information on land use/land cover in Alotau is very limited. Land use plan (with zoned areas) was not available during data gathering and site visit in February 2017. A published paper by Samanta, S. and Pal, D.K. (2016)¹⁶ noted changes in land cover through Geographic Information System (GIS) on the Remote Sensing data spanning over the last 20 years (1992-2014). Results show that sprawling urban landscape in the coastal region has become obvious including around Alotau, capital of Milne Bay Province.

80. The coastal areas in Sanderson Bay used to be low dense vegetation, open fallow land and urban built-up area in 1992. It was noted to have become a fully urbanized area by 2014 based on GIS image (Figure 4.13). This is confirmed in an image of the vicinity of the project area captured in Google Earth Pro (Figure 4.14). The immediate areas surrounding Sanderson Bay are currently devoted to commercial, institutional and light industrial uses.


81. Sanderson Bay is bordered to the west by a triangular development with the following main features: (i) a reclaimed land on which stands the Transit Hotel, which provides basic communal accommodation and security for property for islanders visiting Alotau; (ii) an informal market immediately to the north of the Transit Hotel; (iii) a green area adjacent to the Transit Hotel that is being redeveloped for the local office of the National Maritime Safety Authority (NMSA); (iv) the unpaved access road alongside the NMSA compound and the informal market leading to the Alotau Wharf; (v) a smaller triangular area to the north of the access road currently occupied partly by the Islands Petroleum storage tank farm, partly by the office compound of the Milne Bay Province Transport Authority, and partly by two business establishments, namely Pick n Pay Supermarket Ltd. and Milne Chan Enterprises Ltd.

82. Imports of petroleum products are currently delivered to the Provincial Wharf in a 3640 DWT tanker and are pumped ashore using a pump mounted on the wharf. It is proposed to move the tank farm and deliveries to the International Port and the wholesale activities to the eastern shore of Sanderson Bay. The vacated site will then be redeveloped as a supermarket.

¹⁶ Samanta, S. and Pal, D.K. (2016) Change Detection of Land Use and Land Cover over a Period of 20 Years in Papua New Guinea. *Natural Science*, 8, 138-151. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ns.2016.83017>

83. To the north and northeast of the Bay, the remaining beach of Sanderson Bay is used as a dinghy mooring area. A small jetty exists here, but is seldom used since the site is becoming shallower. A few large trees line the coast and provide shade to lounging dinghy passengers and boat owners and operators. Discharging into Sanderson Bay are a creek and a pair of drainage channels. Bordering the landside edge of the beach is Charles Abel Highway. Across Abel Highway, terrain to the northwest and northeast rises with some houses on the slopes; while the terrain in between remains flat with a strip of low-intensity commercial establishments, after which, the terrain begins to rise. To the east, urban development is characterized by low-intensity commercial and low-to-moderate intensity industrial activities, including the Alotau International Port.

Figure 4.13: Land Use/ Land Cover Map of Alotau in 1992 [a] and 2014 [b]



(Source: Samanta, S. and Pal, D.K. (2016) Change Detection of Land Use and Land Cover over a Period of 20 Years in Papua New Guinea. *Natural Science*, 8, 138-151. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ns.2016.83017>)

Figure 4.14: Land Use/Land Cover Map of Sanderson Bay Area



(Source: Google maps for the base map.)

84. **Population.**¹⁷ Based on the population census in 2011, Milne Bay then had a total population of 276,512 or 3.8 percent of PNG population. The province had 55,262 households or 4 percent of total PNG households. The District of Alotau had: (i) 99,539 people or almost 36 percent of Milne Bay Province's population; and (ii) 19,226 households or almost 35 percent of Milne Bay Province's total households. Alotau Town (Alotau Urban) had 11,857 population or 4.3 percent of Milne Bay Province population and 1979 households or 3.6 percent of the Province's households. Averaged household size in Alotau Town is 6.0, higher than the Province's 5.0.

85. Milne Bay Province is projected to grow at an average annual growth rate of 2.5% in the next ten years. By 2021, Alotau Town is estimated to reach nearly 15,200, an increase of about 3,300 persons (or 28% of the 2011 population). In the project's area of influence, the nearest residential communities are those in the southern fringe of Middle Town and western fringe of Top Goilanai. These low density communities are at higher altitudes (estimated at least 20-25 m above the Abel Highway) and from the northern edge of Sanderson Bay overlooking the

¹⁷ Statistics obtained from: 2011 National Population and Housing Census of Papua New Guinea - Final Figures. National Statistical Office. Papua New Guinea. <https://www.nso.gov.pg/>

Sanderson Bay. At the wharf area, outside the caretakers in the Transit Hotel and owner and some management staff of the Pick and Pay Supermarket, there are no permanent residents. Table 4.6 presents the identified sensitive receptors in the project's area of influence.

Table 4.6: Identified Sensitive Receptors in the Project's Area of Influence

At the wharf's immediate vicinity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The caretakers and transit lodgers of Transit Hotel - The users of the provincial jetty (boat operators and passengers) - The management, employees and patrons/clients of Pick-n-Pay Supermarket and Milne Chan (business establishments) - Dinghy operators and passengers - Employees of the MBPTA and NMSA - Pedestrians along Abel Highway - Users of Abel Highway - The management and employees of NAKO Fisheries Ltd. - The management, vendors and patrons/clients of the Informal Market
To the north of Sanderson Bay, across Abel Highway	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The management, employees and patrons/clients of business establishments - The low-density communities in the southern fringe of Middle Town and western fringe of Top Goilana (both at higher altitudes)

86. **Road.** Most roads in Alotau Town are single carriageways, largely without sidewalks on either side. There is no concrete road in Alotau. Approximately 130 km of the existing roads are asphalt-paved. About 90 kms are unpaved provincial roads. In the project's area of influence, the Abel Highway is paved with a paved footpath on one side; but all internal roads have unpaved and exposed surfaces.¹⁸

87. **Water Supply.** Water supplied to Alotau Town is sourced from both surface water and groundwater. Surface water is drawn from Goilawaligina Creek, which has a constant flow; while groundwater is extracted through boreholes at Koiabule (KB) and Raven. Water from Goilawaligina Creek and the KB boreholes is conveyed to the Garuboi Water Treatment Plant. The system has 5 reservoirs, i.e., one main reservoir at the back of the water treatment plant and one each at Top Town, Middle Town, Cameron High School and Goilana. According to the Water PNG Business Centre in Alotau, by December of 2016, 1,640 HHs of Alotau urban area were connected to the system.¹⁹ (The number of connected households represented about 74% of the estimated 2016 total urban households.²⁰)

88. **Sanitation and Wastewater Management.** Most sanitation facilities in Alotau town are connected to septic tanks for primary wastewater treatment. There still exist a few households using pit latrines. Effluents from septic tanks discharge to water bodies, seepage pit, or (in the case of the provincial general hospital and one secondary school) to drainage channels. There is no sewerage system in Alotau. The Alotau Urban Local Level Government (AULLG) has no desludging equipment. For desludging, the AULLG engages the services of the lone private desludging services provider in town.²¹

89. In the project's area of influence, a public toilet (of 3 toilets and 2 showers each for female and male) can be found near the informal market. A user pays PGK 1 for each use of toilet and/or

¹⁸ Information obtained from key informant interviews with Mr. Wesley Katobwau, Project Officer, Works Supervision Unit of the Milne Bay Province Administration on 22 February and 14 March 2017.

¹⁹ Information obtained during key informant interview with Mr. Tau Siamweni Lauwasi, Team Leader Customer Service, Alotau Water PNG, on 22 February 2017

²⁰ Total population of Alotau Urban in 2016 was estimated to be 13,415. Assuming the household (HH) size of 6 by 2011 census remained applicable in 2016, total HHs by 2016 would be 2,235. The 1,640 households connected to the system, therefore, represented some 74% of the total 2016 HHs.

²¹ Information obtained during Key Informant Interviews with Mr. Mickey Gahinem, Alotau Urban LLG on 20 February 2017.

shower. Constructed in 2012 by the MBPA, the facility was handed over to the AULLG for management. In the last quarter of 2016, the facility was ordered closed by health inspectors of MBPA as the facility has become unhygienic from backflow. The facility's septic tank is full and has not been desludged.

90. **Drainage.** An underground storm water drainage system has been provided in the town center (or town's central business district). Outside the town center, drainage facilities are either road side earth ditches or absent. In the project's area of influence, drainage facilities are a combination of: (i) underground drainage and open concrete lined drainage along the access road from the wharf to Abel Highway; (ii) open concrete lined drainage at one side of the informal market; and (iii) earth ditches on one side of the Transit Hotel site and of Abel Highway.

91. **Solid Waste Management.** All legally subdivided lands are provided with solid waste collection services. But efficiency is not 100%. Scheduled collections are sometimes missed. Solid wastes from public places are collected once weekly. In the wharf area, AULLG tries to collect twice weekly. Collection vehicles include one unit each of 8m³ and 6 m³ compactor trucks and 2 open dump trucks (of 3 and 2 tonnes). Solid wastes in all illegal settlements that cannot be reached are not collected. Solid waste is disposed of openly in a site at Gehua, some 2.5 km from the town center.

92. **Power Supply.** Power is generated by two pairs of generator sets which have become ineffective to meet the demands of Alotau Town. Power supply is rationed, with those without generators sets being prioritized. Power outage can last for 7-8 hours. PNG power is trying to rectify the grid. It is proposed to develop hydropower supply in Alotau.

93. **Health Care and Education.** The provision of health care and educational facilities are constrained by land availability issues. Current health care facilities in Alotau include one health center and one general hospital with a 150-bed capacity. A second health center is programmed to be built in 2017. Presently, not all wards have an elementary school. (Goilanai, Koebule (KB) and Bottom Town). There are two secondary schools (Cameron Secondary school and Hagita secondary school), 1 vocational school, 1 branch of a university of Port Moresby, and one nursing school. There are 3 private schools, namely Goilanai Baptist School, Melton School and Alotau International School. The latter two are in KB.

V. ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

94. This section of the report identifies and assesses the potential environmental impacts associated with the design and pre-construction, construction and operation phases of the proposed Project. Specific mitigation and monitoring measures for any potential impact are also presented below.

A. Design and Pre-Construction Phase

95. The issues or activities that would need to be acted on or conducted during the design and pre-construction phase would mainly relate to environmentally and socially preparing the Project, key players and affected communities for construction.

96. **The limited open land in the project's vicinity** has raised the concerns of: (i) where to locate the temporary construction facilities and work areas, such as site office, stockpiles, sanitation facilities, vehicle and equipment parking, among others; and (ii) how to facilitate the entry and exit of construction vehicles and equipment, while ensuring public safety and minimal disruption of socio-economic activities in the Project's immediate area of influence. These issues and concerns can be mitigated as follows: The conduct of adequate consultations, coordination and joint planning with the stakeholders on the: (i) location of temporary facilities and work areas, e.g., stockpiles and storage areas, sanitation facilities; and (ii) measures to mitigate anticipated traffic along the access road to the wharf and congestion at the intersections of the access road with Abel Highway and the internal road beside the informal market leading to the Transit Hotel. This will mitigate public health and safety risks and disruption of socio-economic activities in the vicinity.

97. **Baseline data on environmental quality in Alotau are not available.** The lack of baseline data will make monitoring and assessment of the changes that the Project will cause on the environment during construction and operation difficult. However, during detailed engineering design, surveys to establish baseline data for ambient air quality, marine water quality, marine flora & fauna including benthic, seabed sediment; and, at the Transit Hotel site, vibration, will have to be conducted.

98. **Institutional readiness of executing and implementing agencies in monitoring and reporting on EMP implementation.** To mitigate this issue/concern: Ensure that the project execution agency (BRCC-PMU) and implementation agency (MBPA-PIU) have made the necessary arrangements for, and mobilized, the agreed environmental and social and gender specialists engaged under the BRCC Project Implementation Support Consultant's (PISC) team at the detailed design stage. The specialist assigned from PISC to work with the MBPA-PIU must have undergone an orientation workshop on the EMP and training on monitoring and reporting on Contractor's performance in EMP implementation. Monitoring and reporting forms must have been prepared prior to the commencement of construction mobilization, and the MBPA-PIU in particular must have familiarized itself with the forms. As suggested by the MBPTA through the capacity needs assessment, technical assistance in the form of project management and capacity-building support will be provided during project implementation for institutional strengthening and "hands-on" training in the environmental management of the Project.

99. **Project's compliance with country's legal environmental safeguard and associated requirements.** To mitigate these issues and concerns: Engage with CEPA. Prepare the 'notice of preparatory works' and submit to CEPA. Subject the project to screening and scoping by CEPA as per requirements of the Environment Act 2000. If required, prepare an environmental assessment report, if appropriate/applicable, submit the IEE/EMP cleared by ADB to CEPA for approval and subsequent application for an Environmental Permit.

100. **Ensuring environmentally responsible procurement.** The measures include the following: Update of the EMP as required based on detailed design and baseline surveys to be undertaken during or prior to the detailed design stage and include the ADB-cleared EMP in the bidding and contract documents. Include in the contract the requirement for the Contractor to prepare the site-specific EMP (SEMP) that will respond to the EMP included in the bid and contract documents. Ensure Contract requires the submission by the Contractor of a monthly environmental monitoring report, outline to be appended to the Contract. Ensure Contract stipulates some tie-up of progress payment and collection of performance bond with the performance in SEMP implementation.

101. **Preparation of, and obtaining BRCC-PMU clearance for the SEMP. Level of preparedness of the Contractor's Team in SEMP/EMP implementation.** Measures to mitigate issues and concerns include: (i) Ensure Contractor has engaged his environmental and social safeguards staff before the preparation of the SEMP; (ii) Ensure that the contractor prepares a SEMP that addresses as a minimum the requirements of the ADB-cleared EMP and that includes the following, among others: Aggregates Management Plan, Sediment Control Plan, Solid and Hazardous Wastes Management Plan, Hazardous Materials Management Plan, Spills Response Plan, Traffic Management Scheme; Public Health and Safety Plan; Workers' Health and Safety Plan; (iii) Evaluate SEMP quantitatively and qualitatively against the ADB-cleared EMP. (iv) Ensure SEMP has been cleared by ADB prior to start of any mobilization work: (v) Prepare Contractor's Team on SEMP implementation (at the latest 1 week prior to construction mobilization) through the conduct of orientation on the SEMP/EMP. (v) Ensure Contractor has set up & adequately equipped his Emergency Response Team (ERT) and has linked ERT to the MBPA's Disaster Risk Response Team.

102. **Community preparation for construction.** Measures to mitigate issues and concerns include: Conducting intensive IECs (following the communications and consultation plan) at the latest 1 month prior to construction mobilization to inform the affected communities of the: (i) implementation period, contact and other details, such as probably restricted area to use along access road or potential blocking of access road from pedestrians, (ii) potential risk of communicable and transmittable diseases brought with the entry of outside workers, (iii) overall health and safety hazards during construction, and (iv) GRM. Post details on project implementation at strategic locations in the main area of influence at the latest one month prior to construction mobilization. Details to include, among others – implementation period, name and contact details of the contractor and focal persons of the MBPA-PIU and CCDA-PMU.

B. Construction Phase

B.1 Physical Environment

103. **Deposits on or contamination of the seabed** resulting from the demolition of the existing wharf and building of the new wharf. These activities would involve: (i) disturbance of the seabed where piles are extracted and new piles installed leading to re-suspension, diffusion and settlement of the existing sediments that may include contaminants; and (ii) some unavoidable deposition of new sediments, rubble and/or contaminants. The works would not involve any dredging, excavation and filling under water or over land. It is expected that the disturbance of the seabed would be minor, localized and of a temporary nature. The seabed would not be significantly impacted.

104. The measures to mitigate impact on the seabed would be: (i) the installation of containment booms fitted with turbidity curtains around an effective area for the construction works and movements over water, prior to construction (the curtain may require being rolled, removed and cleaned at regular intervals). At completion of the construction works, the curtain is to be rolled and removed so that sediments caught on the curtain are not deposited on the seabed; and (ii) a moveable silt curtain around the piles to be extracted each day in the event excessive turbidity (when water has completely lost its transparency or clearness) is observed in the first few extractions. The conduct of seabed sediment monitoring at least once, within 15 days from construction demobilization, is recommended. The results will be assessed against the baseline data established during the detailed engineering design (DED) stage.

105. **Impacts on coastal zone.** Construction activities will take place in the area where the 50-year old wharf is located. Only piles will be constructed to support the deck of the wharf. Potential impacts to or modification of coastal processes, water course and hydrology with impacts to sedimentation rates and patterns and coastal erosion are foreseen to be none, or extremely low.

106. **Reduced local air quality.** Suspended particulates in air and gas emissions are potential sources of air pollution. Construction activities that would contribute to the generation and suspension of particulates include: (i) demolition of the concrete decks and structural supports of the existing wharf; (ii) transport, loading/unloading and storage of cement, natural aggregates, rubble and dry solid wastes and other materials; (iii) movements of construction-associated vehicles over unpaved roads/surfaces; and (iv) on-site concrete mixing for the reinforced concrete topping slab. Wind action on stockpiles of cement, fine natural aggregates, rubble and solid wastes is another source of suspended particulates in air. Except during windy days, fugitive dust and fine aggregates would not be transported beyond the Project's main influence area.

107. Potential sources of gas emissions include the: (i) operation of construction equipment/vehicles, including generator sets; (ii) burning of solid and hazardous construction wastes; and (iii) storage and use of high volatile organic compounds (VOC)-emitting products such as fuel and specialty applications, e.g., paint coating for corrosion protection. It is expected that the impacts on air quality would be moderate during peak construction period, but can be easily mitigated. For the rest of the construction period, impacts on air quality are expected to be minor and to remain localized.

108. Measures to mitigate the impacts on air quality are presented in Table 5.1 below. The conduct of air quality monitoring on a quarterly basis is recommended.

Table 5.1: Measures to Mitigate Impacts on Air Quality

Impact	Some Mitigation Measure
Suspended particulates/dust in air	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Spray water on concrete decks and structural elements to be demolished. ▪ Securely cover trucks that are hauling aggregates, cement and other similar materials and maintain a minimum 2 feet freeboard. ▪ Spray water on access road at least twice daily. ▪ Limit maximum speed of construction vehicles to 30 kph when in Project's main area of influence. ▪ Manage the delivery of natural aggregates, cement and other similar materials to the site to minimize having more stockpiles than necessary. Water and cover stockpiles. ▪ Set up temporary fences/walls (as applicable) between work/stockpile areas and sensitive receptors at the provincial jetty and reclamation area (Transit Hotel) and along access road. ▪ Ensure that stockpiles are securely covered; ▪ Ensure that concrete batch plants have dust prevention equipment, e.g., water sprays, enclosures, hoods, curtains, fabric filters, among others.
Gas emissions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reduce vehicular movements through coordinated/managed transport of materials, spoils & waste and use of bigger capacity trucks for hauling of wastes/spoils, where access roads allow. ▪ Ensure construction vehicles/equipment are regularly serviced and maintained to industry standards. ▪ Turn off equipment/vehicle when not in use. Limit engine idling to a maximum of 5 minutes. ▪ Use clean-fuelled (green) power generator sets. ▪ No burning of wastes.

109. **Noise.** Construction processes and activities, e.g.: (i) demolition of existing wharf deck and associated structural elements and pile extraction and driving; (ii) operation and movement of construction vehicles and equipment that are diesel-powered and without efficient mufflers; and (iii) the unloading of coarse natural aggregates, will generate noise and vibration. Noise is

expected to reach the communities along the slopes rising to Middle Town. The change in noise level is expected to be moderate to high during the peak construction period (particularly pile driving), but can be easily mitigated. For the rest of the construction period, noise impacts are expected to reduce as construction works near completion.

110. Some measures to mitigate noise include: (i) applying alternative concrete demolition techniques that emit lower noise, e.g., improved expansive grout, micro-blasting, hydrodemolition (whichever would be most applicable to the project situation); (ii) avoiding conventional pile extraction and driving (press-in piling is an alternative technology that is reportedly emitting lower noise); (iii) setting up noise barriers such as temporary fence, without gaps, around active work areas, and barriers to be as close to the source or to the receptor location as possible; (iv) installing sound-absorbing enclosures around generators; and (v) restricting the use of noisy equipment to the period from 8AM-5PM. The conduct of noise monitoring on a weekly basis is recommended.

111. **Vibration.** Based on consultations with lodgers of the Transit Hotel, vibration is felt inside the hotel when a car or a small bus travels over the reclaimed land. The foundation of the hotel building is a set of footings that are not rested on piles. For this reason, following the structure condition survey to be undertaken prior to the commencement of construction, it is recommended to monitor vibration at this area weekly during the peak construction period. To mitigate vibration, restrict heavy equipment and vehicles to move over the reclaimed area where the Transit Hotel is located. Ensure highly vibrating mechanical equipment have vibration isolation. The conduct of vibration monitoring (only near Transit Hotel) on a weekly basis is recommended.

112. **Impacts on marine water quality.** The extraction of piles of the existing wharf, the driving and installation of the new piles and sand compaction will cause the re-suspension of sediments. The new piles will need to be driven to refusal or to rock at 13.5 m depth (depending on geotechnical survey results) to achieve the required bearing and lateral capacity. Since a construction barge will be employed, the maneuvering and anchoring of the barge would also cause disturbance of the seabed. Re-suspension of sediments will cause turbidity that would be temporary and expected to be confined within the area of influence of the under water works.

113. Uncontrolled sediments from the demolition of concrete decks and structural elements of existing wharf; from on-site concrete works; from silt-laden runoff from stockpiles; and from accidental spills of fine aggregates will contribute to an increase in suspended solids and turbidity. Inadequately managed rubble from demolition works, solid and hazardous wastes, wastewater and hazardous construction materials (including accidental spills) would contribute to reduced marine water quality. However, it is expected that the impact would be temporary, confined within the area of influence of construction works, and can be easily mitigated. Some mitigation measures are presented in Table 5.2. The conduct of water quality monitoring on monthly basis and after extreme rainfall is recommended.

Table 5.2: Some Measures to Mitigate Impacts on Marine Water Quality

Issue/Concern/Impact contributing to reduced water quality	Some Mitigation Measure
Re-suspension of bottom sediments and uncontrolled sediments from activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Apply appropriate equipment and alternative techniques/ technologies in pile extraction and driving, sand compaction, demolition of decks and other structural elements, on-site concrete works--- that would generate least re-suspension of bottom sediments and/or facilitate control of new sediments entering into the water. ▪ Use floating booms with silt curtains. ▪ Provide proper formwork around on-site concrete works. ▪ Stockpile natural aggregates away from main surface drainage routes. ▪ Use silt fences, sandbags, barrier nets at the effective side/s of stockpiles.
Inadequate management of debris/rubble, other solid wastes and hazardous wastes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Enforcing waste minimization, reuse and segregation. ▪ Have adequate covered storage containers, color-coded, clearly marked. ▪ Have separate enclosed storage areas for solid and hazardous wastes that can contain spills. ▪ Dispose of residual wastes (post recovery and recycling) at designated disposal site. ▪ Coordinate with AULLG for the disposal of hazardous wastes.
Inadequate wastewater management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provide adequate sanitation facilities, adequate water supply. ▪ Strictly enforce observance of good sanitation practices.
Inadequate management of hazardous materials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Use less hazardous materials, ensuring all are legibly marked and labelled. ▪ Have safe storage of hazardous materials, installed with visible caution signage and secure from unauthorized entry. ▪ Restrict vehicle/equipment maintenance and repair on-site. ▪ Enforce on vehicles transporting hazardous materials to have spill kit in the vehicle in every haul.
Accidental spill on site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement the Spills Response Plan in the CESMP. ▪ Set up a fully equipped emergency response team to be present on site.

B.2 Biological Environment

114. **Impacts on marine ecology.** The proposed wharf project will involve an estimated 12 months of construction works, including mobilization, demolition and construction, which could be considered of a short-term duration. The existing deck will be demolished. The existing piles will be extracted. New steel pipe piles will be driven into the seabed. Some on-site concrete works will follow. A construction barge will almost certainly have to be used, when necessary. The maneuvering, anchoring, tugging and potential spills during the barge's operations are sources of direct impacts on the seabed and its habitats.

115. All these activities and operations will: (i) create elevated levels of sound and vibration under water that would be disturbing to marine life; (ii) involve the re-suspension of sediments causing increased turbidity and thereby reducing water quality; (iii) deposition of rubble and chips contributing to turbidity and introducing new sediments into the benthic zone, potentially reducing productivity; (iv) risk potential leakage of petro-chemicals and/or hydrocarbons from vessels or land-based vehicles into the marine environment; and (v) directly disturb the habitats in the benthic zone and other mobile marine resources in the influence area of the works. However, the impacts would be temporary, confined within the area of influence of construction works, and can be easily mitigated. There would be insignificant or no potential impact on:

- Alteration of areas of high biodiversity value: There is no indication of seagrass and mangrove area within or adjacent to the project site. The potentially impacted area is not considered of high terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity value or an area required for the survival of critically endangered or endangered flora and fauna.
- Alteration of aquatic habitat, including the physical removal/suspension of seabed sediments or covering of the seabed through dredging and disposal activities: The project site is the site of the old wharf. The new wharf is to be positioned such that

dredging is not required during construction. Hence, there is only temporary and short-term alteration of habitats during the construction.

- Loss of habitat and sites of importance for the conservation: There is no identified Important Bird and Biodiversity area (IBA²²) adjacent to the Project's area of influence. The closest wildlife management area is Sawataitai Island, >50 Km NE of Alotau.²³

116. To mitigate impacts on marine ecology: (i) implement the sediment control plan, solid and hazardous waste management plan and hazardous materials management plan in the SEMP; (ii) adequate preparation in spills response as prescribed in the Spills Response Plan in the SEMP; and implement the recommended measures to mitigate impacts on marine water quality (Table 5.2). Periodic and random detection survey of leaks from construction equipment and associated vehicles must be a routine task of the environmental safeguard staff of the Contractor's Team. Repairs must be acted on immediately at the earliest detection of a leak. Leaking equipment or vehicles must be removed from the project's main area of influence immediately. Repairs should be done off-site. The conduct of monitoring of marine flora and fauna, including benthic, at least once, within 15 days after construction demobilization is recommended. Monitoring results are to be assessed against the baseline data established during the detailed engineering design stage.

B.3 Socio-economic Environment

117. The anticipated impacts on the socio-economic environment include: (i) impacts on the sustainability of urban services (explained in succeeding paragraph); (ii) traffic congestion, both vehicular and pedestrian, at the intersection of the access road with Abel Highway and the internal road leading to the Transit Hotel; (iii) local flooding from indiscriminate stockpiles of natural aggregates, and possibly Contractor's container storage; (iv) potential social conflicts from hiring workers from outside; (v) disruption of socio-economic activities; (vi) public health and safety hazards; and (vii) workers' health and safety hazards. All of these impacts would be minor, temporary and localized and can be mitigated.

118. **Impacts on the sustainability of urban services.** The following will potentially impact on the sustainability of urban services: (i) inadequate management of waste, silt and aggregate stockpiling during construction, resulting to these finding their way to drainage channels along the access road and potentially along Abel Highway, compromising the effectiveness of the channels; and (ii) the **huge volume of solid wastes generated, particularly from the demolition and pile extraction works** – for collection and disposal - straining further the limited capacities of AULLG in waste collection services and the town's disposal site.

²² An Important Bird and Biodiversity Area (IBA) is an area identified using an internationally agreed set of criteria as being globally important for the conservation of bird populations. The program was developed and sites are identified by BirdLife International. Currently there are over 12,000 IBAs worldwide. These sites are small enough to be entirely conserved and differ in their character, habitat or ornithological importance from the surrounding habitat.

²³ World Database of Protected Areas 2016. www.protectedplanet.net

Table 5.3: Measures to Mitigate Impacts on the Socio-economic Environment

Impact	Some Mitigation Measure
Impacts on the sustainability of the following urban services: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ drainage channels along the access road and potentially along Abel Highway from wastes, silt and aggregate stockpiling ▪ solid waste collection services and disposal services at Gehu from the <u>large volume of solid waste generated, from demolition and pile extraction works.</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Manage stockpiles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Stockpile natural aggregates away from main surface drainage routes. - Use silt fences, sandbags, barrier nets at the effective side/s of stockpiles. - Divert offsite runoff around the project site. - Dispose of excess soil as soon as possible. ▪ <u>Manage the large volume of solid waste:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enforce waste minimization, reuse and segregation. - Arrange with private recycler/s for the recovery of recyclables and for the management of the recyclables as soon as these are generated to mitigate concerns on storage and disruptions in the Project's main are of influence. - Arrange with a private contractor for the prompt collection of residuals and hazardous wastes. - Ensure coordination with AULLG on the solid and hazardous waste management and agreement with AULLG on the disposal site/s for these wastes.
Traffic congestion (vehicular & pedestrian) at the intersection of the access road to the wharf with Abel Highway and the road leading to the Transit Hotel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Coordinate traffic management scheme implementation with the local traffic authorities & affected communities. ▪ Post traffic (flag) persons during entire working hours. ▪ Spread out schedule for materials delivery in non-peak hours. ▪ Manage arrivals/departures of trucks. ▪ Ensure stockpiles do not impede/obstruct traffic flow.
Local flooding from indiscriminate stockpiles and other blockage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Stockpile natural aggregates on flat grounds and away from, not obstructing, main surface drainage routes. ▪ Implement a prompt disposal of demolition and other construction debris and solid wastes to avoid stockpiling them on site for more than 2 days.
Potential social conflicts and entry of transmittable and communicable diseases from hiring workers from outside	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Minimize the few workers hired from outside ▪ Coordinate with AULLG & District LLG for the hiring of locals skilled in construction works. ▪ Ensure awareness of construction workers regarding potential social conflict. ▪ Recruit an NGO or CSO (an approved service provider) to implement a STIs and communicable diseases awareness and prevention program.
Disruption of socio-economic activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provide safe alternative access for pedestrians, for patrons and vendors of the informal market, for patrons of business establishments in the main area of influence. ▪ In case of accidental damage to existing water and power lines, advise concerned utility company at once for action.
Public health and safety hazards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Contractor to comply with relevant EHSG requirements ▪ Ensure stockpiles do not pose public safety hazard. ▪ Provide safe access for communities. ▪ Install adequate temporary lighting to augment the existing lighting in the main area of influence. ▪ Install adequate, legible, reflectorized signage relevant to public safety. ▪ Do not allow children to swim near the effective construction area at Sanderson Bay ▪ Recruit an NGO or CSO (an approved service provider) to implement a STIs and communicable diseases awareness and prevention program ▪ Observe good sanitation practices. ▪ Observe the GRM.
Workers' health and safety hazards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Contractor to comply with relevant EHSG requirements ▪ Contractor to prepare health and safety plan as part of SEMP; ▪ Strictly enforce use of PPE, e.g., eye & nose masks, ear muffers, helmets gloves, appropriate footwear. ▪ Install adequate lighting, safe access to/from work areas. ▪ Provide safe accommodations with reliable supply of potable water, adequate sanitation facilities. ▪ Set up emergency response team equipped with adequate staff, equipment, tools & supplies, including for fire-fighting.

C. Operation Phase

119. There do not appear to be any operational adverse effects. The new wharf will be located at the site of the existing 50-year old wharf. There will only be piles supporting the wharf's deck. The Project will liaise with groups looking at other projects in the area. Climate change data developed under the Study will be provided to any other works in Sanderson Bay, such as the WB-assisted PNG Tourism Sector Development Project.

120. For effective environmental management during operation, the wharf's operations manual must have a section on environmental management, which shall include: (i) public and workers' health and safety requirements; (ii) emergency response procedures and requirements; (iii) grievance redress mechanism; (iv) spills response plan; (v) waste management plan; and (vi) a plan for prompt investigations, implementation of required action and reporting after every extreme weather event, earthquake and any adverse incident caused by another party.

121. Extreme events, such as cyclones or significant storms, are anticipated throughout the life of the structure. After such an event, the structure should be investigated immediately and appropriate actions taken promptly. Elements likely to be damaged during such events include handrails, ladders, light poles and gates. Other structures could become damaged from improper use. For example, if a vessel remains moored at the wharf during the event, damage is likely to be inflicted on the bollards and fenders. Prompt investigation and actions to any damage must be observed and duly reported.

D. Summary

122. The identified potential issues, concerns and impacts, types of mitigation measures required and their corresponding funding sources are summarized in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4: Summary of Potential Issues/Concerns/Impacts, Type of Mitigation and Fund Source

Issues/Concerns/Impacts	Mitigation Measure Type	Cost	Fund Source
Pre-Construction Phase Limited open land in project's vicinity to situate temporary construction facilities and for facilitated entry and exit of construction vehicles/equipment to/from the project site – while ensuring public safety & minimal disruption of socio-economic activities	Consultation, coordination, joint planning with stakeholders	Part of DED scope of work	c/o DED cost
Lack of baseline data on environmental quality.	Baseline surveys	Part of DED scope of work	c/o DED and survey cost
Sustainable supply (and extraction) of gravel, sand, soil, crushed rock to meet construction demand.	Planning for aggregates management	Part of DED scope of work	c/o DED cost
Institutional readiness of executing and implementing agencies in monitoring and reporting on EMP implementation.	Hiring of safeguards focal person	CCDA & MBPA responsibility	c/o CCDA-PMO & MBPA-PIU budgets
	Orientation workshop Forms & report outline preparation	Among PIS-ESS responsibilities	c/o PIS budget
Project's compliance with country's legal environmental safeguard requirements.	Securing Environment Permit	CCDA obligation	c/o CCDA-PMO budget
Ensuring environmentally responsible procurement.	Integrating environmental safeguards into the procurement process	Part of DED scope of work	c/o DED cost
		Among PIS-ESS responsibilities	c/o PIS cost
Preparation of, and obtaining ADB clearance for, the SEMP.	Preparation of CESMP	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
	Evaluation of CESMP	CCDA-PMO responsibility Among PIS-ESS responsibilities	c/o CCDA-PMO budget c/o PIS budget
	Clearing of CESMP	ADB responsibility	c/o ADB
Community preparation for construction.	Intensive Information, Education & Communication (IEC)	CCDA-PMO responsibility	c/o CCDA-PMO budget
	Posters & billboards	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Level of preparedness of the Contractor's Team in SEMP/ EMP implementation.	Hiring of environmental and social safeguards focal person/s Workers' orientation seminar/s Setting up of well-equipped Emergency Response Team.	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Construction Phase Deposits on/contamination of seabed	Sediment quality monitoring & reporting Installation/use of engineering measures	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Reduction in local air quality	Air quality monitoring & reporting Application of good construction practices. Installation of engineering measures.	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Noise and vibration	Noise and vibration levels monitoring & reporting Application of good construction practices. Installation of engineering measures.	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost

Issues/Concerns/Impacts	Mitigation Measure Type	Cost	Fund Source
Impacts on marine water quality	Marine water quality monitoring & reporting Application of good construction practices. Installation of engineering measures.	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Impacts on marine ecology	Monitoring of marine flora and fauna, including benthic. Application of good construction practices. Installation of engineering measures.	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Impacts on the sustainability of urban services, e.g., drainage along the access road & potentially along Abel Highway; and solid waste services resulting from the large volume of generated construction debris/wastes	Management measures, contracting out to private services	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Traffic congestion (at access road's intersection with Abel Highway and internal road leading to Transit Hotel)	Coordination, collaboration Application of good construction practices	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Local flooding	Application of good construction practices	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Potential social conflicts from hiring workers outside	Coordination with District LLG		
Disruption of socio-economic activities	Application of good construction practices	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Public health and safety hazards	Application of good construction practices	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Workers' health and safety hazards	Application of good construction practices	Among Contractor's Contract obligations	c/o Contractor's cost
Operation Phase			
Ensuring effective environmental management in operations	Preparation and implementation of the environmental management section of the wharf's operations manual.	Among Operator's obligations	c/o annual budget for operations
Extreme weather event, earthquake event, or any accident or adverse incident involving the wharf structure, caused by a ship/boat or any party.	Prompt investigation of the wharf structure and reporting.	Among Operator's obligations	c/o annual budget for operations

VI. ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES

A. Without-Project Alternative

123. An assessment of the existing wharf condition was undertaken in January 2017 by engineers of the PPTA Team through visual inspection made from the deck level and by inspection boat. Photos taken during the inspection were assessed by the materials engineer and structural engineer. The assessment revealed the following, (See Figure 6.1.).

- The existing wharf is at the end of its service life and is in an extreme state of disrepair, with serious structural defects.

- The existing deck is occasionally inundated during storms and requires to be raised to accommodate future sea level rises and storm surges.

124. An environmental safeguard due diligence of the existing wharf and associated facilities was conducted in February 2017. The findings are summarized in Table 6.1 below.

Table 6.1: Summary of Findings from the Environmental Safeguard Due Diligence*

Current Salient Issues/Concerns	Suggested Corrective Actions
Physical/Chemical Environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Suspended particulates in air from: (i) movement of vehicles over unpaved surfaces; and (ii) wind action on unpaved surfaces. 	Seal/pave all internal roads.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Gas emissions from vessels, vehicles and generator set operations. 	Have emission test a pre-requisite for renewal of vessel registration.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Deteriorating bay water quality from: (i) solids---solid waste, raw sewage, sediments; and (ii) liquids--discharges from drainage outfalls, human discharges, oil and grease from spills/leaks/runoff. 	Holding tanks for vessel toilets and system of their disposal. Strict enforcement of the "no dumping at sea" law. While docking observe solid/liquid waste and sewage management regulations. Provide adequate toilets nearby. When these are available, prohibit use of boat toilets while on dock.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Bay bottom situation: (i) getting shallower due to deposits of sediments and solid waste; and (ii) contaminants from oil and grease. 	Dredging being suggested by boat operators to remove the deposits/obstructions and improve navigation/enable safer navigation. The removal of the two beacons in Sanderson Bay (after dredging) was also suggested for more navigational space. An assessment of the marine ecology, including coral reef system, flora and fauna including benthic needs to be made prior to any dredging activity. Seal/pave all internal roads.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Corroded steel structural elements of the existing wharf 	Plans to replace the old wharf should not be deferred.
Biological Environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Marine habitat in Sanderson Bay and Milne Bay (within the project's main area of influence) already modified by human activities. 	The reef at the middle of the two beacons is growing. An assessment of the marine ecology, including coral reef system, is recommended prior to the formulation of actions.
Socio-economic Environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Public safety concerns at wharf: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wharf is in a state wherein the structural elements are showing defects/damages/corrosion. 	Existing wharf needs replacement.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The deck has a hole but no warning or physical barrier is in place. 	Meanwhile project is in the preparation stage, the hole must be securely enclosed.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Children are using the deck of the wharf as diving board, lounging area. Children were also found sitting on the gas pipes at the bunkering station of Islands Petroleum. 	Planning of the new wharf must incorporate measures to keep non-boat users out from the wharf. The bunkering pipes should also be demolished.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No lighting. 	New wharf should have sufficient lighting.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No waiting shed/covered terminal for passengers. 	New wharf should have a covered waiting shed for passengers.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Public safety concerns at provincial jetty <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - fuel-filled drums & passengers in same holding area, sometimes with passengers sitting on top, sometimes some people smoking nearby. - Passengers going in and out of the boat during loading of fuel-filled drums onto the boat. - no segregation of passengers and patrons of supermarket, poor lighting, etc. 	Separate holding area for oil drums. Separate waiting area for boat passengers, not for use by non-passengers, with sufficient lighting. Passengers to be prohibited from getting near the boat while fuel-filled drums are being loaded onto the boat.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Passengers/boat operators without access to potable water and adequate sanitation facilities. Toilets of vessels do not have holding tanks. During the docking days of boats, it is expected that Sanderson Bay is directly receiving raw sewage from the boats. 	Provide water taps from sources of treated water. Adequate toilets and showers should be available closer to the wharf/jetty area. The existing public toilet near the Informal Market is about 200 m from the provincial jetty or wharf.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Inadequate awareness on the part of wharf/jetty users on the significance of keeping the Sanderson Bay clean. 	Participatory maintenance of cleanliness of, and protection of the environmental quality of, Sanderson Bay, to be encouraged from boat owners/operators and passengers. Set up an adequate system of solid and liquid waste storage and disposal. Install adequate signage.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Access road with many potholes, muddy during heavy rains; puddles during normal rains. 	Seal/pave the road. Provide adequate drainage for surface runoff.

Current Salient Issues/Concerns	Suggested Corrective Actions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Discharge point of the access road drainage is not high enough to prevent bay water from entering/flowing landward into the drainage system during high tide. 	<p>This is a recently built drainage along the access road. This concern has to be resolved during the improvement of the access road by the Provincial Government after the completion of the new wharf.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Sediment-laden runoff when it rains, dry loose sediments deposited in the drainage channels, and wind action on dry unpaved surfaces – lead sediments into the Sanderson Bay. 	<p>Seal/pave unpaved surfaces. During the improvement of the access road, improve the existing drainage on both sides to make them less vulnerable to being easily deposited with dry loose sediments and solid waste.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ A broken boat has been staying in the bay near the dinghy mooring area for long time now, contributing to obstacles in navigation. 	<p>The broken boat must be removed.</p>

*At wharf and its immediate area of influence.

B. With Project Alternatives

125. Four options for the climate proofing of the Wharf over a 50 years design life were prepared, with a further three variants subsequently developed.

126. Option 1 is the full refurbishment of the existing structure, involving the following works: (i) All concrete deck panels to be removed and replaced; (ii) The existing wharf to be utilized depending on the extent of section loss due to corrosion on steel beams --- removal and replacement of steelwork, welding of steel plates to webs and flanges, and cleaning of steelwork and coating with marine grade coating system; (iii) All H piles to be encased in reinforced concrete jacket to sea bed; (iv) Top piles to be raised; (v) New driven steel tubular piles with reinforced concrete infill to be provided as required; (vi) All pile bracing members to be either reinstated or new raking piles to be driven to accommodate lateral forces due to berthing and mooring; (vii) For all beam-to-beam and beam-to-pile connections, removal of corrosion products and re-welding where sufficient parent material is still available; where insufficient, to weld replace plate or section; and (viii) New lighting, fendering system and mooring bollards to be provided.

127. Option 2 is replacing the existing wharf with a floating pontoon wharf with the following features: (i) an off-the-shelf pontoon; (ii) steel articulated link span supported by floating support tank piers; (iii) guide piles; and (iv) combination of passive and active corrosion protection methods.

128. Option 3 involves removing and replacing the existing wharf and constructing a new wharf with climate proofed wharf structures. Main design features include: (i) precast concrete beams with precast concrete panels forming the deck; (ii) topping slab to be cast in-situ over the precast concrete panels; (iii) superstructure supported by reinforced concrete-filled steel tubular piles; and (iv) safety rails for pedestrians on the inner face.

129. Option 4 is similar to Option 3, removing and replacing the existing structure but with stepped berths on the rear face (landward side). Main design features include: (i) two dropped levels for small vessel access at the rear of the wharf; (ii) dropped level deck to consist of open grating made of durable fiber reinforced plastic; (iii) each level of 20-m length and 4-m width; (iv) mid-level to be 1 m lower than the main wharf for low freeboard vessels at high tide; (v) lower level is 2 m lower than the main wharf to provide access at low tide; (vi) ramp and stair access for safe passenger access; and (vii) railings on stairs, ramps and at the rear of the dropped levels.

130. Options 2, 3 and 4 include the demolition of the present wharf, which would stay in temporary service until demolition to allow completion of the new structure. Under the original options 3 and 4, the intention was to construct these adjacent to the existing wharf. However,

under modified variant options (see below) the new wharf is sited on the same footprint as the existing wharf, with vessels temporarily using the provincial jetty and coastal wharf during project construction.

131. The four options have been subject to environmental, climate change and social (aside from technical, economic and financial) multi-criteria assessments. From Tables 12, 13 and 14, which show the results of the environmental, climate change and social assessments: (i) Option 2 ranks first in the environmental assessment. However, it does not fully satisfy climate change requirements in terms of robustness under increased wind and wave loads. It ranks third only in the social assessment; (ii) Option 4 ranks first in the social assessment; last in the environmental assessment (having the biggest floor area among the four); and both met key climate change requirements.

132. At the Options Workshop with stakeholders on 8 March 2017, held in Alotau, the technical assessment that considered cost, complexity, maintenance, robustness and flexibility of use had: (i) Option 4 ranking first, followed closely by Option 3; (ii) Option 1, which would not provide a climate proofed wharf, ranking a far third; and (iii) Option 2, ranking last due to higher capital and maintenance costs. A floating pontoon has also been deemed unsuitable for the wind and wave environment in Sanderson Bay. The workshop led to the decision of having two more options developed: Options 3a and 4a. These follow the designs of Options 3 and 4, respectively, but were modified in terms of location, i.e., for the new wharf to be constructed over the footprint of the existing wharf; thus, requiring the prior demolition of the existing wharf. Options 3a and 4a were the subject of the feasibility analysis which identified a further option (3b) which provided the structure to enable the eventual construction of option 4a, but at a cost only marginally above that required for Option 3a. This option was agreed based on a combination of stakeholder preference and budget constraints.

C. “Without” versus “With” Project Alternatives

133. The “without project” alternative poses serious threat to the safety of the wharf users. With the wharf’s state of disrepair, actions toward a replacement of the existing wharf should no longer be deferred.

134. The “without project” alternative would be allowing the outer island to grow further as “under-serviced”, but under non-optimal or unsustainable conditions. This would impede: (i) the hastening of the social and economic development of the outer islands that are fully dependent on the wharf for access to essential services and trade opportunities in Alotau; and (ii) PNG’s delivery of its commitment to MDG1, eradicating poverty.

135. The “with project” alternative will provide residents in the outer islands a convenient, safe and reliable maritime infrastructure that will facilitate access to economic opportunities and services and basic social services, e.g., health care and education, and which is climate proofed. During construction, there will be opportunities for local employment and increased earnings of local enterprises. The opportunity for short-term employment will be both local and province-wide in scope, and not necessarily limited to the labor force available in Alotau town.

136. Overall, Milne Bay Province will benefit from the ‘with project’ alternative. It will contribute to the realization of the Province’s development goals, hasten further social and economic development and poverty reduction in the outer islands, and contribute to the overall development of MBP and the country. It will contribute to the delivery of PNG’s commitment to MDG1.

Table 6.2: Environmental Multi-Criteria Assessment

Criteria	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4
1 Demolition of the existing wharf and extraction of piles (impact on bay water and seabed)	Lesser demolition.	Existing wharf to be demolish after completion of new structure.	Existing wharf to be demolish after completion of new structure.	Existing wharf to be demolish after completion of new structure.
2 Deposition of rubble	Removal of old damaged slab & corroded steel beams. Cast-in-situ of new slab	Deck slab can be installed elsewhere prior to floating in the pontoon.	Precast deck panels. Cast-in-situ RC topping slab.	Precast slabs. Cast-in-situ RC topping slab. (Bigger deck area.)
3 Changes to substrate, disturbance of bottom sediments, induced resuspension/dispersal/settlement of sediments due to pile diving and sand compaction	Lesser piles to drive.	Least piles to drive. (Guide piles only.)	Less piles to drive.	Most piles to drive.
4 Extent of construction over water	Removal of the existing deck structure and construction of replacement deck.	The floating pontoon, floating support piers and link span will all be built off-site. The pontoon and piers can then be floated into position & fixed in position by the guide piles. The link span will then be lifted into place.	Precast deck panels. Cast-in-situ RC topping slab.	Precast deck panels. Cast-in-situ RC topping slab. (Biggest floor area.)
5 Size of overwater structure (bigger shade cast over water)	Smallest floor area.	Bigger floor area.	Bigger floor area.	Biggest floor area.
6 Corrosive elements, risk of corrosion contaminating the water	All existing piles need to cased as a result of existing corrosion.	Least piles. But subject to adequate maintenance.	Minor effect from corrosion of sacrificial steel piles.	Minor effect from corrosion of sacrificial steel piles.




Table 6.3: Climate Change Criteria Assessment

Criteria	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4
1 Sea level rise				
2 Wind and wave		Satisfies SLR requirements but not requirements for robustness under increased wind & wave loads.		




Table 6.4: Social Multi-Criteria Assessment

Criteria	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4
1 Allow use of existing wharf during construction.				
2 The position of the approach wharf from landside may be too close to the jetty, limiting the maneuvering/ positioning of vessels (for both wharf and jetty).				
3 The dropped split level rear wharf provides access to small vessels/dinghies.				
4 Ramps and stairs offers for passengers with limited mobility especially those being transported by dinghies/ small vessels (i.e., patients in wheelchair, critical/ emergency case patients).				
5 Availability for emergency use.				
6 Provision of small waiting shed, supervisor & revenue collection office.				





Figure 6.1: Photos Taken by the PPTA Engineers during the Visual Inspection of the Existing Wharf in January 2017



Obtained from: TA 8674 Trade and Transport Facilitation in the Pacific: Regional Transport Sector Study. Climate Proofing and Connectivity Improvement of Alotau Provincial Wharf. Options Report. ICF/Robert Cochrane/James Rafferty. 02 March 2017. (A power point presentation in the Options Workshop held in Alotau on 08 March 2017)

VII. INFORMATION DISCLOSURE, CONSULTATION AND PARTICIPATION

137. This section: (i) presents the form of stakeholder consultations carried out and the information disclosed during project preparation; (ii) summarizes the comments and concerns received from those consulted and suggested improvements; and (iii) describes the planned information disclosure measures (including the type of information to be disseminated and the method of dissemination) and the process for carrying out consultation with affected people and facilitating their participation during project implementation. In this section, BRCC-PMU refers to the BRCC-PMU/CCDA (Executing Agency) and MBPA-PIU refers to the MBPA Project Implementation Unit (Implementing Agency).

A. Stakeholder Consultations

138. Stakeholder consultations were held in Mission 2 (13-24 February 2017) and Mission 3 (07-14 March 2017). The process in engaging stakeholders involved on-site random interviews, key informant interviews (KIIs), joint social and environmental focus group discussion (FGD), and a workshop. Consultations held in Mission 2 were due diligence-oriented, soliciting feedback on the environmental, social and economic concerns of the existing wharf and associated facilities. The forms of consultation were on-site random interviews with wharf, jetty and dinghy landing users, KIIs with key persons from at least 20 organizations and FGD attended by at least 10 organizations.

Table 7.1: Raised Concerns on Existing Wharf and Entire Wharf/Jetty Area (Mission 2)

Raised Concerns	Suggested Improvements
Wharf dangerous, any time could collapse. Some referring to the wharf as a "time bomb".	A new bigger wharf to replace existing wharf. A new wharf that can be used by all types of boats.
Sanderson Bay getting shallower. 2 beacons alerting boats on an existing growing reef.	Some suggested that the growing reef be dredged out then take beacons out to facilitate navigation. A key informant suggested that prior to any dredging activity, under-water investigation must be done.
Sediments from landside contributes to the bay's getting shallower.	Dredge bay, but must conduct under-water investigation first.
Bay is deposited with debris coming from boats and litters from boat operators and passengers.	Signage on "no litters" and cleaning up of the area.
Public safety risk with people going in and out of boat while fuel-filled drums are being loaded onto the boats.	Terminal/waiting area only for passengers, so they board boat only when loading of drums are done.
Lack of security and theft/robbery quite a concern.	Secure the site probably with a fence and gate.
Drainage outfall discharging sediment-laden storm water.	Pave the road surfaces.
No access to potable water and sanitation facility. Water from existing tap is not treated water.	Provide: (i) taps from the nearest source of water having been treated; (ii) adequate toilets/shower facilities nearby.
Boats no holding tanks for toilets; sewage directly deposited into the bay while on dock for days.	Provide adequate toilets/shower facilities nearby. When these are available, install signage to prohibit and enforce a regulation prohibiting the: (i) use of boat toilets while on dock; and (ii) practice of publicly discharging into the bay.
Wharf no lighting and no side rails to protect people from falling during peak loading of cargoes and passengers.	Wharf must be provided with lighting. Guard rail on the sides, if possible.
During strong winds, dust from dry road surfaces are blown all around.	Pave all unpaved surfaces in the area.
Risk of oil spill and fire with bunkering operations at the wharf. And no capacity to respond to major fire. High risk.	Bunkering operations must be stopped.
The general hospital in Middle Town is connected to the drainage channels that discharge into Sanderson Bay.	Must investigate if liquid medical wastes are also drained into the channels, prior to formulating action.
Oil and grease from boat maintenance works while on dock, contaminating bay water and potentially, seabed	Conduct water and sediment quality investigation prior to formulating actions.

Raised Concerns	Suggested Improvements
Current operations at Sanderson Bay is disorganized, making the bay not good for tourism.	Introduce an anchorage spot at an accessible point in Milne Bay to ease traffic in the use of jetty for loading and unloading cargoes and passengers.

139. Consultations held in Mission 3 were oriented toward obtaining comments on the design options for the new wharf, anticipated impacts during construction and the benefits that will be derived from the new wharf. Consultations were a combination of a workshop, FGD and on-site random interviews. Annex D presents a list of stakeholders consulted in Missions 2 and 3.

Table 7.2: Anticipated Impacts during Construction and Perceived Benefits from a New Wharf (Mission 3)

Anticipated Impacts during Construction	Perceived Benefits from a New Wharf Preferred Option
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Dust/air pollution. - Noise. - Construction rubbish to get into the bay polluting the bay water. - Construction stockpiles and equipment/vehicles would be risks to public safety. - More potholes on the access roads. - Use of public toilet by construction workers will just make the toilet congested – competing with the market vendors and the public. - Limited land space for construction equipment and construction materials. - Lighting along the access road must be improved for public safety. - Drop off/loading of vehicles and parking must be looked at. - Congested area between jetty and wharf. - Vibration may be felt at Transit Hotel, which is felt presently each time a vehicle passes by the Hotel. - Traffic and congestion of activities (construction plus normal activities). - With restricted bay space during construction, boat ins and outs have to be managed. - Oil/grease from construction equipment, rusty metals from demolition and corroded piles to contaminate the bay water 	<p><u>Perceived benefits:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Informal market is expected to have increased earnings when tourists arrive. - If new wharf will also allow use by dinghies, then dinghy passengers will no longer have to wade in dirty water when getting off from and on to the dinghy. - If improvement of access road will follow, benefits will include: walking will be more convenient, no more flooding/puddles when it rains, no more dust, goods sold in the informal market and stores will be less/not dusty. - Local employment during construction, not only for Alotau folks but could also be for those from the outer islands. - Loading/unloading of goods will be better and easier. - Benefit of peace, having wharf that can be used anytime. - Vehicles could come in and bring cargoes closer to the boat (expected). - Bigger wharf. Improvement a big change to development. - Benefits the economy. Facilitates the access of outer islanders to services in Alotau. - Safer facility and faster movement. - Safer docking for dinghies, if dinghies allowed to use the new wharf. - Expect operations to be orderly. <p><u>Preferred design option</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Design option not too important as long as there would be a new wharf. - Option 4a better, because most boats are smaller. - Option 4a because its design is friendly to physically disabled people and in bringing in patients from the outer islands. - Option 4a, if accessible also to lower boats like dinghies.

140. Stakeholder consultations will continue through to Project implementation and operation. All stakeholders must be invited and encouraged to participate in community consultations. To facilitate the engagement of stakeholders, the BRCC-PMU and particularly the MBPA-PIU will maintain good communication and collaboration with stakeholder groups. The BRCC-PMU, MBPA-PIU, Contractor and Operator will be open to contact by the public on matters concerning the progress of the Project, adverse impacts, mitigation measures, environmental monitoring and grievances. Future stakeholder consultations will include the following:

- During detailed design to disclose the updated IEE and EMP through a public meeting to the affected communities and solicit feedback.

- Prior to construction, the BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU will jointly conduct an intensive information, education and communication (IEC) campaign to ensure sufficient level of awareness and information among the affected communities regarding the upcoming construction, its anticipated impacts, the grievance redress mechanism, contact details and location of the BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU, and status of compliance with Government's environmental safeguard requirements, among others, are attained and/or provided. Billboards about the subproject, implementation schedule, Environment Permit Number and Date of Issue, Construction Permit Number and Date of Issue, and contact details of the executing agency, BRCC-PMU, MBPA-PIU and Contractor will have been set up at strategic locations in the Project's main area of influence. The grievance redress procedure and details will have been posted at the offices of the BRCC-PMU, MBPA-PIU, AULLG, Provincial Transport Authority (MBPTA), Provincial Jetty, dinghy mooring area, Informal Market and Transit Hotel.
- During construction, regular random interviews will be jointly conducted by the BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU to monitor environmental and social concerns of the communities in the Project's main area of influence.
- During operation, for a period prescribed in the Stakeholder Communications Plan, duration, periodic random interviews will be jointly conducted by the BRCC-PMU, MBPA-PIU and Operator to monitor the environmental and social concerns of the communities in the main area of influence on the completed wharf.

B. Information Disclosure

141. To date, the following information have been disclosed: (i) through Mission 2, the plan to build a new climate-proofed wharf, and (ii) through Mission 3, the preferred design options.

142. During detailed engineering design, the updated IEE and EMP, and, if applicable, CEPA-approved EIS, will be made available at the offices of the BRCC-PMU/CCDA, MBPA-PIU and MBPTA for the perusal of interested parties. Copies may be made available upon formal request. The IEE and environmental monitoring reports will be disclosed on the ADB's website.

VIII. ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

143. The EMP will serve as the framework for the environmental management of the Project, commencing from the detailed design phase through to operation. It contains the following: (i) institutional arrangement and responsibilities for the various aspects of EMP implementation; (ii) mitigation and management; (iii) grievance redress mechanism; (iv) monitoring and reporting; and (v) EMP and monitoring matrices (Tables 8.2 and 8.3). The EMP will be updated by the Environmental Specialist (ES) of the DED Team based on the detailed design.

A. Institutional Arrangements and Responsibilities

144. The overall implementation of environmental safeguards including environmental management requirements is a joint responsibility of the: (i) Climate Change and Development Authority; (ii) Milne Bay Province Administration; (iii) Project Advisory Committee; (iv) Design and Supervision Consultant; (v) Contractor; and (vi) Asian Development Bank (ADB). Considering the

need for institutional strengthening of the BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU in the environmental management of the Project, it is recommended that an the Environmental Safeguards Specialist engaged under the BRCC PISC is assigned to provide: (i) technical assistance and support to the BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU in carrying out their responsibilities in the EMP; and (ii) to conduct and/or facilitate capacity building in the environmental management of a Project. Below are general descriptions of the responsibilities of the aforementioned entities. Table 8.1 provide additional responsibilities.

145. The **Climate Change and Development Authority (CCDA)**, as executing agency for the Project, shall be responsible for overall Project management and coordination through its BRCC Project Management Unit (BRCC-PMU). The CCDA shall be responsible for ensuring that: (i) its BRCC-PMU will have been staffed with an Environmental Safeguards Officer (ESO) prior to the detailed engineering design stage of the Project; (ii) the Environmental Specialist engaged as part of the PISC will be available to support the BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU in monitoring of the EMP; and (iii) adequate funding will be provided to enable its BRCC-PMU and particularly its ESO to fulfil her/his responsibilities.

146. The **BRCC-Project Management Unit (PMU)** of CCDA shall be responsible for ensuring compliance with the environmental safeguards identified in the EMP, loan documents and any document associated with the environment permit. Its ESO (with support from the PISC environmental advisor) shall oversee and monitor the progress of the environmental work stream to ensure: (i) that environmental safeguards, as set out in the EMP, are implemented; (ii) compliance with country safeguards requirements and the SPS of the ADB.

147. The **Milne Bay Province Administration (MBPA)** shall act as the implementing agency. It has established a **Project Implementation Unit (MBPA-PIU)**, consisting of relevant units and agencies at the provincial level, and shall be responsible for: (i) the day-to-day management of Project implementation; and (ii) coordination with CCDA and with project implementation teams. The Deputy Provincial Administrator has been assigned as the focal person for the Project and the Manager of the Milne Bay Province Transport Authority, as the alternate focal person. The Acting Provincial Environment Officer (EO) of MBPA shall be the focal person on environmental safeguard matters concerning the Project, supported as necessary by the BRCC-PMU ESO and PISC environmental specialist. The EO shall be responsible for monitoring the SEMP implementation, and with assistance from the PISC environmental specialist, responsible for preparing the reporting requirements for submission to the BRCC-PMU.

148. The **Project Steering Committee (PSC)**, established under the BRCC, shall steer and advise project teams and provide final endorsement on project recommendations and outputs, including recommendations on necessary institutional and capacity strengthening measures for the implementing agency, the MBPA. The **Provincial Advisory Committee (PAC)** established under BRCC will guide and coordinate project activities at the local (Provincial) level, including those related to environmental safeguards and compliance.

149. The **Detailed Design Engineering (DED) consultant team** will include an environmental specialist to: (i) ensure environmental safeguard concerns are incorporated in the detailed engineering design; (ii) prepare TOR for, and facilitate, the surveys required to complete the baseline (water quality, marine ecology, benthic flora and fauna); (iii) based on surveys and detailed design, update the IEE and EMP; (iv) ensure environmentally responsible procurement is carried out, as prescribed in the approved IEE and updated EMP such as integrating the updated EMP into the bidding and contract documents; and (v) provide inputs to bid evaluation in relation to bidders responses to the EMP provisions.

150. **The ESO of BRCC-PMU and EO of MBPA-PIU**, supported by the environmental advisor from the BRCC's PISC, will: (i) support the contractor, as required (provision of training for example), to finalize their SEMP; (ii) review and clear (when satisfactory) the contractor's SEMP; (iii) undertake audits of the contractor's compliance with the approved SEMP and reporting on the same, as necessary and from time to time; and (iv) assist and support the BRCC-PMU in complying with the CSS and SPS during project implementation.

151. The **Contractor** shall be responsible for: (i) engaging an environmental management officer to assist in contractor compliance with its SEMP; (ii) preparing a Contractor's EMP (SEMP) that addresses as minimum the requirements of the EMP; and (ii) implementing the ADB-cleared SEMP.

152. The **Asian Development Bank (ADB)** shall be responsible for undertaking reviews of relevant documents, such as the updated IEE and EMP, for clearance purposes, and will carry out periodic review missions to review, among others, the environmental aspects of the Project. The ADB may also be requested to provide some comments on the contractor's SEMP. The ADB will also undertake review missions that will check project compliance with CSS and SPS.

153. The **Milne Bay Province Transport Authority (MBPTA)**, as Operator, shall: (i) prepare (with assistance from the DED consultant) and implement the Operations Manual that will be prepared prior to the completion of construction works; (ii) engage the MBPA's Acting Environmental Conservation Officer (EO) as the focal person on environmental safeguard matters of the Project during operation. The EMO shall be responsible for: (i) ensuring effective implementation of the environmental management section of the Operations Manual; (ii) preparing the necessary reports for submission to the MBPA-PIU, which shall in turn review and submit report to the BRCC-PMU; and (iii) the observance of the grievance redress mechanism in addressing pertinent complaints lodged during operation.

Table 8.1: Institutional Responsibilities

Institution	Prior to Construction	During Construction	During Operation
CCDA/BRCC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Firm up the necessary collaboration with the CEPA for the Project's compliance with PNG's environmental safeguard requirements and secure Environment Permit. ▪ Employ an Environmental Safeguards Officer (ESO) prior to the detailed engineering design (DED) stage. ▪ Ensure the availability of the PISC environmental specialist to support the project ▪ Ensure adequate funding enable its BRCC-PMU, particularly its ESO to fulfill her/his responsibilities. ▪ Disclose safeguard documents, as appropriate. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Submit Quarterly Progress Reports (QPRs) to ADB, which would include reporting on the progress of EMP implementation and potentially, results of some environmental quality monitoring -- on or before the prescribed date of submission. ▪ Submit semi-annual Environmental Monitoring Report (EMR) to ADB -- on or before the prescribed date of submission. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Submit report prepared by the Operator to ADB, on investigation of wharf structure: (i) after an extreme weather event; (ii) after an earthquake event; and (iii) after any accident/adverse incident that involved the wharf structure, caused by a ship/boat or another party.
BRCC-PMU	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Coordinate with the Detailed Engineering Design Consultant Team to ensure the DED incorporates the environmental safeguard concerns and requirements. ▪ Coordinate with the DED on the update of the IEE & EMP based on the DED. ▪ Ensure EMP is part of the bidding documents, EMP clauses are incorporated in bidding documents, contracts. ▪ Ensure Environment Permit has been secured prior to awarding of civil works. ▪ Evaluate Contractor's EMP (SEMP) against the EMP. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Coordinate closely with MBPA's MBPA-PIU. ▪ Conduct inspections and spot checks to monitor the performance of the Contractor in implementing the ADB-cleared SEMP. ▪ Review Monthly EMRs of Contractor. Ensure prompt feedback to Contractor on environmental safeguard issues and concerns. ▪ Review Project QPRs submitted by the MBPA-PIU. Finalize Project QPRs for CCDA's submission to ADB. ▪ Prepare the Project's Semi-Annual EMRs for submission to ADB. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Participate, as may be possible, in any investigation of the wharf structure to be conducted by the Operator: (i) after an extreme weather event; (ii) after an earthquake event; or (iii) after any accident/adverse incident that involved the wharf structure, caused by a ship/boat or another party. ▪ Review report on above prepared by the Operator prior to submission to endorsing the report to CCDA for submission to ADB.
MBPA-PIU	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Day-to-day management of Project implementation. ▪ Coordinate environmental safeguard matters with the BRCC-PMU and project teams. ▪ Firm up the necessary collaboration with relevant provincial agencies on matters concerning the environmental management of the Project. ▪ Ensure adequate funding to enable its MBPA-PIU, particularly its EO to fulfill her/his responsibilities. ▪ Disclose safeguard documents, as appropriate. ▪ Conduct intensive IEC to prepare the communities in Project's main area of influence for construction, as prescribed in the EMP. ▪ Monitor SEMP implementation. ▪ Prepare the reporting requirements for submission to the BRCC-PMU. ▪ Ensure environmental safeguard concerns are incorporated in the detailed engineering design. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Review Contractor's Monthly EMRs prior to submission to the BRCC-PMU. ▪ Review Contractor's QPRs and prepare Project's QPRs for submission to the BRCC-PMU. Submit on or before one week prior to CCDA's submission to ADB. ▪ Review Contractor's semi-annual EMR. Prepare semi-annual EMRs. Submit to CCDA on or before one week prior to CCDA's submission to ADB. ▪ Ensure/manage the observance of the GRM. ▪ Review the Operations Manual prepared by MBPTA for approval by the MBPA. ▪ Implement the cleared SEMP. ▪ Prepare and submit promptly the required MPRs, QPRs, semi-annual progress reports and EMRs, as prescribed in the EMP. ▪ Ensure the contractor observes the GRM. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Participate in any investigation of the wharf structure to be conducted by the Operator: (i) after an extreme weather event; (ii) after an earthquake event; or (iii) after any accident/adverse incident that involved the wharf structure, caused by a ship/boat or another party. ▪ Review report on above prepared by the Operator prior to submission to BRCC-PMU. ▪ Ensure/manage the observance of the GRM.

Institution	Prior to Construction	During Construction	During Operation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct baseline surveys to establish baseline environmental data, as prescribed in the EMP. Update the IEE and EMP based on the DED for clearance. ▪ Ensure environmental safeguard requirements for an environmentally responsible procurement are carried out, as prescribed in the ADB-cleared EMP. ▪ Assist the BRCC-PMU in complying with the country safeguard system during the DED stage ▪ Support preparation & implement a SEMP (by the contractor) that addresses as minimum the IEE/EMP requirements. 		
ADB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Review and clear updated IEE/EMP. ▪ Review bidding documents, clear SEMP. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Review Semi-Annual EMR. ▪ Carry out periodic review missions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Review Annual EMR. ▪ Carry out periodic review missions.
Environmental Safeguard Specialist (recommended for institutional strengthening)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Impart technical advice, guidance and support to the BRCC-PMU's ESO and MBPA-PIU's EO in carrying out their environmental safeguard responsibilities. ▪ Conduct and/or facilitate "hands-on training" to the BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU in environmental management of projects. ▪ Assist BRCC-PMU's ESO and MBPA-PIU's EO in monitoring contractor performance in executing SEMP. 		
MBPTA (Operator)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Prepare the environmental management section of the Operations Manual for review by the MBPA-PIU for approval by the MBPA. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ensure effective implementation of the Operations Manual. ▪ Prepare the necessary report for submission to the MBPA-PIU. ▪ Conduct investigation of wharf structure promptly: (i) after an extreme weather event; (ii) after an earthquake event; and (iii) after any accident/adverse incident that involved the wharf structure, caused by a ship/boat or another party. Prepare and submit report on the investigation to the MBPA-PIU. ▪ Observe the GRM.
PSC and PAC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Steer and advice project teams and provide final endorsement on project recommendations and outputs, including recommendations on necessary institutional and capacity strengthening measures for the implementing agency, MBPA. 		

B. Mitigation and Management

154. Section 5 of the IEE identifies the likely issues, concerns and impacts arising from the different stages of project implementation. Table 8.2 presents the Environmental and Social Management Plan.

C. Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)

155. The Project will elaborate and refine as required the grievance redress mechanism (GRM) set out in the environmental assessment and review framework (EARF) prepared for the overall program, i.e. the BRCC. The GRM is included in the EMP so it is clear what the contractor must do to resolve complaints and concerns. A grievance focal point will be established by the Alotau Urban Local Level Government, assisted and supported by the MBPA-PIU's Environmental Officer (EO), who will maintain a register of complaints, keep track of their status, and report to the CCDA through the BRCC-PMU.


156. The contact details of the grievance focal point (GFP) will be provided to all affected persons (Aps) and included in the billboards on the Project that will be displayed at strategic locations in Project's main area of influence. The GFP will be assisted and supported by the MBPA's EO, who will maintain a register of complaints, keep track of their status, and report to the BRCC-PMU. She/He will regularly track complaints received, actions taken and the status of resolution. All communications with the APs, and management actions taken to avoid community concerns in the future, will be documented. Complaint forms will be distributed to the GFP to facilitate recording of complaints.

157. **Grievance Redress Procedure.** APs will be informed that they should ask any questions or discuss grievances with their community leader or the district/town GFP by phone or in person, or with project staff visiting the area. The GFP is encouraged to discuss any issue with the Contractor and/or EO. Minor environmental impacts can often be remedied with immediate action. If questions/grievances are not addressed within 1 week, they should be prepared in writing (using the assistance of the local community leader, church, or school if necessary). The complainant will also be informed that national and international project staff could assist them with writing a grievance letter if necessary.

158. Written complaints can be sent or delivered to the BRCC-PMU/MBPA-PIU, where they will be registered as being received, and will be treated confidentially. The BRCC-PMU will have one week to deliver a resolution to the complainant. In the event that a satisfactory answer cannot be provided, the affected person may lodge the complaint with the CCDA and receive a reply within seven days.

159. In the event that the situation is not resolvable, or the complainant does not accept the decision, the affected person(s) may have recourse to the district court (or other relevant court). All court costs (preparation and representation) will be paid for by the project, regardless of the outcome. Figure 8.1 illustrates the grievance redress procedure.

160. In the post project period, there remains the potential for environmental harm to occur through the operation of the new wharf. In such cases, the GRM would revert to existing systems of environmental protection. Persons or groups can seek resolution of a grievance in relation to environmental harm through directly triggering the environmental complaint and investigation mechanism existing within CEPA.

Figure 8.1: Grievance Redress Procedure

Note: Above is an illustration (by the PPTA Team) of the GRM set out in the ADB-cleared EARF for the PNG: Building Resilience to Climate Change in Papua New Guinea. The signing of written confirmation for satisfactory action/resolution has been added by the PPTA.

AP (Affected Person)

BRCC-PMU (Building Resilience to Climate Change - Project Management Office) under CCDA

EO (Environment Officer) under Division of Planning of MBPA, also a member of the Project Team of MBPA.

GFP (Grievance Focal Point) under Alotau Urban Local Level Government

PT (Project Team) consisting of several provincial agencies and provincial government units established for the Project.

D. Monitoring and Reporting

161. Throughout Project implementation, CCDA and ADB will monitor the progress and impact of the Project, this includes evaluating the overall impacts and benefits of the project and monitoring the implementation and effectiveness of mitigation measures. CCDA is required to implement safeguard measures and to periodically submit monitoring reports on implementation performance. The MBPA-PIU will monitor contractor's compliance with the approved SEMP during construction, and report to BRCC-PMU for CCDA to in turn report to the ADB.

162. Baseline measurements will be undertaken by the MBPA-PIU during the DED stage and will be used in updating the IEE and EMP and as basis by MBPA-PIU and BRCC-PMU in monitoring the changes in the environmental indicators during its quarterly monitoring. In the preparation of the SEMP, the Contractor shall address as minimum the monitoring requirements in the updated EMP.

163. CCDA through its BRCC-PMU, with technical assistance from the PISC environmental advisor shall:

- Ensure the baseline conditions are recorded and elements to be monitored are properly benchmarked;
- Establish and maintain procedures to monitor progress of EMP implementation;
- Verify the compliance with environmental measures and whether they are achieving the intended outcomes (mitigated level of impact);
- Identify necessary corrective and preventive actions including actions required when the GRM has been triggered i.e. the report will outline where work has not complied with the EMP and what steps (and timeline) were taken to rectify it;
- Document and disclose the monitoring results;
- Follow up on these actions to ensure progress toward the required outcomes;
- Where required, retain qualified and experienced external experts or qualified NGOs to verify monitoring results; and
- Submit periodic monitoring reports on safeguard measures as agreed with ADB.

164. ADB will carry out the following monitoring actions to supervise safeguards implementation:

- Conduct periodic review and supervision missions (including site visits) with detailed review by ADB's safeguard specialists/officers or consultants;
- Review the quarterly progress reports and semi-annual monitoring reports submitted by CCDA to ensure that adverse impacts and risks are mitigated as planned and as agreed with ADB;
- Disclose the reports in compliance with the Public Communications Policy;
- Work with CCDA to rectify to the extent possible any failures to comply with their safeguard commitments, as covenanted in the legal agreements, and exercise remedies to re-establish compliance as appropriate; and
- Prepare project completion reports that assess whether the objective and desired outcomes of the EMPs have been achieved, taking into account the baseline conditions and the results of monitoring.

165. Following project effectiveness, the following monitoring actions will be taken: (i) the BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU, with technical assistance from the PISC, will jointly be responsible for reviewing and updating the monitoring program to ensure that it meets the intention of the EMP and that it identifies resources and arrangements suitable for carrying it out; (ii) the BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU will use the quarterly progress reports to prepare the semi-annual

safeguards monitoring reports; (iii) the semi-annual safeguards monitoring reports will be reviewed and cleared by ADB, and cleared reports disclosed on ADB's website; and (iv) after one year, the BRCC-PMU will arrange to review the monitoring program and suggest any adjustments to it, as required. The BRCC-PMU will inform the CCDA who will inform ADB of any changes that are recommended to be made prior to implementing any changes.

166. During operation, monitoring will be minimal. After each extreme weather event, earthquake event or any accident or adverse incident that involves the wharf structure (caused by a ship or boat or any party), the MBPTA (Operator) and MBPA-PIU (and thereafter MBPA) shall jointly conduct prompt investigation of the wharf structure and prepare report for submission to the BRCC-PMU (and thereafter CCDA), which will review the report and forward the report to CCDA for submission to the ADB.

167. Environmental monitoring reports will be prepared as follows: (i) a report at the end of project design, prepared by the MBPA-PIU for submission to the BRCC-PMU; (ii) a monthly report prepared by the Contractor during construction submitted to the MBPA-PIU, who in turn will submit to BRCC-PMU; (iii) a quarterly progress report prepared by BRCC-PMU for ADB which will cover safeguards matters; (iv) semi-annual safeguards monitoring reports prepared by BRCC-PMU for ADB; and (v) an annual report prepared by the operator during operation for as long as monitoring is specified in the EMP.

168. Environmental monitoring results will be evaluated against the following technical standards:

- For marine water quality, Environment (Water Quality Criteria) Regulation 2002.
- For ambient air quality, General Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines (EHSG): Environmental. WBG. IFC. 30 April 2007.
- For noise level, General EHSG: Environmental. WBG. IFC. 30 April 2007.
- For sediment quality, CCME Sediment Guidelines for Protection of Aquatic Life.

Table 8.2: Environmental and Social Management Plan

Issue/Activity	Mitigations				
	Measures and Actions	Standard/ Ref.	Responsible Entity	Timing	Cost
DESIGN AND PRE-CONSTRUCTION					
Limited open land in the project's vicinity to situate temporary construction facilities and for facilitated entry and exit of construction vehicles/equipment to/from the project site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Undertake adequate consultations and coordination with stakeholders and jointly plan on, among others: (i) location of temporary facilities and work areas, e.g., stockpiles, storage, site office, parking area; and (ii) measures to mitigate anticipated traffic along the access road to the wharf and congestion at the intersections of the access road with Abel Highway and the internal road beside the informal market leading to the Transit Hotel ---- to mitigate public health and safety risks and disruption of socio-economic activities in the vicinity. 	IEE/EMP, General EHSG, Public Health Act 1978	MBPA-PIU	During DED	c/o DED cost
Lack of baseline data on environmental quality (water quality, marine ecology, benthic flora and fauna).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> BRCC-PMU (supported by PIU management support) to prepare TOR for the baseline; Recruit suitable agency(ies) to undertake and report the surveys. Conduct the appropriate surveys to fill gaps and establish the baseline data for marine water quality, marine flora & fauna including benthic, seabed sediment; and (at Transit Hotel site) vibration. Update EMP, as required, based on the baseline results. 	IEE/EMP, General EHSG; PNG's Environment (Water Quality Criteria) Regulation 2002; EHSG Ports, Harbors & Terminals 2017 (sediment quality monitoring parameters); CCME Sediment Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life.	MBPA-PIU	During DED	Project cost
Institutional preparedness of executing and implementing agencies in implementing environmental safeguards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure BRCC-PMU has employed its Environmental Safeguard Officer (ESO) and MBPA-PIU, its Social Officer (SO) in the DED stage. Ensure support from PISC to PIU includes international and national environmental specialists Conduct an orientation workshop for BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU on EMP and training on monitoring and reporting on Contractor's performance in EMP implementation. Prepare the monitoring and reporting forms. PISC to deliver mentoring and training to BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU staff as required on environmental safeguards 	IEE/EMP	ESS & EO, BRCC-PMU, MBPA-PIU	During DED	Project cost
Project's compliance with CSS and SPS requirements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage with CEPA. Prepare and submit notice of preparatory works. Subject the project to screening and scoping by CEPA as per requirements of Environment Act 2000 ("the Act"). If required an environmental assessment report, submit the IEE/EMP cleared by ADB to CEPA for approval and subsequent application for an environment permit. 	EMA 2000. IEE/EMP	CCDA, BRCC-PMU	Following clearance by CEPA and prior to tender preparation	If Level 2A, permit application is PGK100 (USD 32). If Level 2B, application fees for EIA & permit is PGK 12,000 (USD 3,787).

Issue/Activity	Mitigations				
	Measures and Actions	Standard/ Ref.	Responsible Entity	Timing	Cost
Ensuring environmentally responsible procurement.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Include the updated EMP in the bidding and contract documents. ▪ Append the EMP to the Contract for basis in the preparation of the Contractor's EMP (SEMP) that will address as minimum the requirements in the ADB-cleared EMP. ▪ Ensure contractor prepares and submits for approval, their SEMP, at least one month before start of physical works; ▪ Ensure resident/supervision engineer, based on advice from MBPA-PIU & BRCC-PMU/PISC, approves the SEMP in writing prior to commencement of physical works; ▪ Ensure Contract requires the submission by Contractor of a monthly environmental monitoring report, outline to be appended to the Contract. ▪ Ensure Contract clearly identifies and stipulates penalties for non-compliance. 	IEE/EMP	BRCC-PMU	During DED in the preparation of tender/ bidding documents	c/o DED cost
Preparation of, and obtaining clearance for, the SEMP Level of preparedness of the Contractor's Team in SEMP/ EMP implementation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ensure Contractor has engaged his environmental management officer (EMO) before the preparation of the SEMP. ▪ Prepare SEMP, based on the updated EMP --- to include construction methodology, site-specific drawings and plans, and sub-plans as required: : (i) Aggregates Management Plan; (ii) Sediment Control Plan; (iii) Solid and Hazardous Materials and Wastes Management Plan; (iv) Spills Response Plan; (v) Marine Traffic Management Plan; (vi) Public Health and Safety Plan; (vii) Workers' Health and Safety Plan; and aggregates management plan (including applications for EPs) ▪ Evaluate SEMP quantitatively and qualitatively against the ADB-cleared EMP. ▪ Clear SEMP before start of any mobilization work. ▪ Prepare Contractor's Team on SEMP implementation (at the latest 1 week prior to construction mobilization) through the conduct of orientation on the SEMP/EMP. ▪ Ensure Contractor has set up & adequately equipped his Emergency Response Team (ERT) and has linked ERT to the MBP's Disaster Risk Response Team. 	IEE/EMP	MBPA-PIU MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO MBPA-PIU MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	After award of Contract, prior to start of any mobilization work	c/o Contractor's cost c/o CCDA counterpart budget -
Community preparation for construction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct intensive IEC (following the Stakeholders Communications Plan) at the latest 1 month prior to construction mobilization --- to inform the affected communities of the: (i) implementation period, contact and other details, such as probably restricted area to use along access road or potentially blocking of access road from pedestrians, (ii) potential communicable/ transmittable diseases brought with the entry of workers, (iii) overall health and safety hazards during construction, and (iv) GRM. ▪ Post details on project implementation at strategic location in the main area of influence at the latest one month prior to construction mobilization. Details to include, among others – implementation period, name 	IEE/EMP, Stakeholder Communications Plan	BRCC-PMU, MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	At latest 1 month prior to construction mobilization	c/o CCDA and MBPA counterpart budgets and

Issue/Activity	Mitigations				
	Measures and Actions	Standard/ Ref.	Responsible Entity	Timing	Cost
	and contact details of the Contractor and focal persons of BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU.				

Issue/Activity	Mitigations				
	Measures and Actions	Standard/ Ref.	Responsible Entity	Timing	Cost
CONSTRUCTION					
<p>Deposits on/contamination of seabed from the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Demolition of existing wharf and pile extraction ▪ Pile driving, sand compaction and concrete works associated with building the new wharf 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct seabed sediment quality monitoring, at least once, within 15 days after construction demobilization, following the EHSG Ports, Harbors and Terminals (sediment quality monitoring parameters). ▪ Assess monitoring results against standards in CCME Sediment Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life. ▪ Install containment booms fitted with turbidity/silt curtain, extending to the seabed and at least 0.15 m above water line, around the effective area for the construction works over water, prior to the pile extraction. ▪ Install a moveable silt curtain around the piles to be extracted each day in the event excessive turbidity is observed in the first few extractions. ▪ Monitor and record the effectiveness of the silt curtain at least twice daily. Promptly apply corrective actions, when necessary. 	IEE/EMP, EHSG Ports, Harbors and Terminals (on water and sediment quality monitoring parameters). CCME Sediment Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life.	MBPA-PIU	At least once, within 15 days after construction demobilization, Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost
<p>Reduction in local air quality due to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Suspended particulates/ dust in air from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - demolition works - transport & loading/unloading of cement, natural aggregates, demolition debris & rubble, dry solid wastes & other materials - movements of vehicles on unpaved roads/surfaces - stockpile of cement and dry natural aggregates and demolition debris - wind action on stockpiles of cement, fine natural aggregates, rubble and solid wastes - on-site concrete mixing for the reinforced concrete topping slab 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct air quality monitoring on quarterly basis, following the EHSG on air emissions & ambient air quality. ▪ Assess monitoring results against EHSG. ▪ Spray water on concrete decks and structural elements to be demolished. ▪ Avoid demolition & dust generating works during high winds. ▪ Securely cover trucks hauling aggregates, cement and other similar materials. Maintain min. 2 feet freeboard. ▪ Minimize drop heights when loading/unloading natural aggregates, demolition debris & rubble, solid wastes and residual soils onto trucks/ground. ▪ Spray water on access roads at least twice daily. ▪ Limit maximum speed of construction vehicles to 30 kph in Project's main area of influence. ▪ Manage the delivery of natural aggregates, cement and other similar materials to the site to minimize having more stockpiles than necessary. Water/cover stockpiles. ▪ Set up temporary fences/walls (as applicable) between work/stockpile areas and sensitive receptors at the provincial jetty and reclamation area (Transit Hotel) and along access road. ▪ Implement a prompt disposal of demolition and other construction debris and solid wastes to avoid stockpiling them on site for more than 2 days. ▪ Ensure concrete batch plants to have dust prevention equipment, e.g., water sprays, enclosures, hoods, curtains, fabric filters, among others. 	IEE/EMP, EHSG	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period (activities generating dust and gas)	c/o Contractor's cost
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Gas emissions from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - operation of construction equipment/vehicles, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reduce vehicular movements, such as through coordinated/managed transport of materials, spoils & 	IEE/EMP, EHSG	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost

Issue/Activity	Mitigations				
	Measures and Actions	Standard/ Ref.	Responsible Entity	Timing	Cost
CONSTRUCTION					
including generator sets and engine idling - burning of solid and hazardous construction wastes - storage and use of high VOC-emitting products such as fuel and specialty applications, e.g., coatings for corrosion protection	waste and use of bigger capacity trucks for hauling of wastes/spoils, where access roads allow. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ensure construction vehicles/equipment are regularly serviced and maintained to industry standards. ▪ Use only construction vehicles/equipment, with an emission test certificate. ▪ Turn off equipment/vehicle when not in use. Limit engine idling to a maximum of 5 minutes. ▪ Use clean-fueled (green) power generator sets. ▪ No burning of wastes. ▪ Adopt/use alternative low or no VOC-emitting processes & materials. 			(activities generating dust and gas)	
Noise generated by the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ processes/activities such as demolition of existing wharf deck and associated structural elements and pile driving and extraction ▪ operating equipment/vehicles (diesel-fed & without efficient mufflers) ▪ unloading of aggregates 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct noise monitoring on weekly basis following the EHSG on environmental noise management. ▪ Assess monitoring results against EHSG. ▪ Apply alternative concrete demolition techniques that emit lower noise, e.g., improved expansive grout, micro-blasting, hydrodemolition (whichever would be most applicable to the project situation) ▪ Avoid conventional pile extraction and driving. Apply lower noise alternative technologies, e.g., press-in piling. ▪ Set up noise barriers such as temporary fence (without gaps) around active work area. Barriers to be as close to the source or to the receptor location. ▪ Install sound-absorbing enclosures around generators. ▪ Select equipment with lower sound power levels, e.g., electrically powered equipment with efficient mufflers. ▪ Restrict use of noisy equipment from 8 AM-5 PM. ▪ Overtime work should not go past 10 PM, observe regulated noise level, not use noisy equipment, coordinated accordingly and informed to affected communities at least 2 days in advance. ▪ Turn off equipment/vehicles when not in use. ▪ Restrict heavy equipment/vehicles to move over the reclaimed area where the Transit Hotel is located. ▪ Install vibration isolation for mechanical equipment. 	IEE/EMP, EHSG	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period (activities generating noise)	c/o Contractor's cost
Vibration from the movement of construction vehicles and construction activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Undertake structure condition survey prior to the commencement of construction. ▪ Conduct vibration monitoring (only near Transit Hotel) on a weekly basis. ▪ Restrict heavy equipment/vehicles to move over the reclaimed area where the Transit Hotel is located. ▪ Ensure highly vibrating mechanical equipment have vibration isolation. 	IEE/EMP, EHSG	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period (activities generating noise)	c/o Contractor's cost
Impacts on marine water quality from the following:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct bay water quality monitoring on quarterly basis and after extreme rainfall events. ▪ Follow the EHSG Ports, Harbors, and Terminals (on water and sediment quality monitoring parameters). 	IEE/EMP. PNG's Environment (Water Quality Criteria) Regulation 2002.	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period (activities causing re-suspension of	c/o Contractor's cost

Issue/Activity	Mitigations				
	Measures and Actions	Standard/ Ref.	Responsible Entity	Timing	Cost
CONSTRUCTION					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Assess monitoring results on water quality against PNG's Environment (Water Quality Criteria) Regulation 2002. 	EHSG Ports, Harbors and Terminals (on water and sediment quality monitoring parameters).		sediments, introducing new sediments, & generating discharges)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Demolition of existing wharf and pile extraction, pile driving, sand compaction and concrete works associated with building the new wharf. ▪ Uncontrolled sediments from silt-laden runoffs from stockpiles, from accidental spills of fine aggregates. ▪ Inadequately managed debris/rubble, other solid wastes and hazardous wastes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Apply appropriate equipment and alternative techniques/ technologies in demolition, pile extraction and driving, sand compaction and deck construction/installation --- that generate least re-suspension of existing sediments; mitigate deposition of rubble/chips; and mitigate the occurrence of accidental spills. ▪ Avoid pouring concrete during wet weather. ▪ Provide proper formwork around cast-in-place concrete works to prevent concrete discharges. ▪ Implement the Sediment Control Plan in the SEMP accordingly. ▪ Use floating booms and barriers/silt curtains. ▪ Use any combination of the following to mitigate sedimentation from stockpiles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Stockpile natural aggregates on flat grounds and away from, not obstructing, main surface drainage routes. - Use silt fences, sandbags, barrier nets at effective side/s of stockpiles. - Divert offsite runoff around the project site. - Locate stockpile at least 20m from the bay edge. ▪ Implement the Solid & Hazardous Wastes Management Plan in the SEMP accordingly and be guided by the EHSG on waste management. ▪ Implement an eco-friendly system of managing solid and hazardous wastes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enforce waste minimization, reuse and segregation. - Have adequate covered storage bins/containers, color-coded, clearly marked to avoid mixing, especially hazardous wastes. - Have separate enclosed storage areas for solid & hazardous wastes that can contain spills, clearly marked/labelled. - Link with private entities that are into waste recovery & recycling to reduce wastes brought to landfills. - Dispose of residual wastes at the appropriate or designated disposal site. - Coordinate with the AULLG for the disposal of hazardous wastes. - Enforce upon workers and waste contractors to observe safety measures/systems when handling wastes, particularly hazardous wastes. - Require waste contractor to promptly submit a manifest from the AULLG for every disposal, from the recyclers/junkshops for every delivery of re-usable construction spoils/wastes. 	IEE/EMP. PNG's Environment (Water Quality Criteria) Regulation 2002. EHSG Ports, Harbors, and Terminals (on water and sediment quality monitoring parameters).	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period (activities causing re-suspension of sediments, introducing new sediments, & generating discharges)	c/o Contractor's cost

Issue/Activity	Mitigations				
	Measures and Actions	Standard/ Ref.	Responsible Entity	Timing	Cost
CONSTRUCTION					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Inadequate wastewater management ▪ Inadequate management of hazardous materials ▪ Accidental spills on site 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provide adequate sanitation facilities, adequate water supply. ▪ Strictly enforce observance of good sanitation practices. ▪ Implement the Hazardous Materials Management Plan in the SEMP accordingly and be guided by the EHSG on hazardous materials management. ▪ Use any combination of the following to mitigate impacts from hazardous substances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use less hazardous substances. Ensure all are legibly marked and labelled. - Have safe storage for hazardous substances, installed with visible caution signage, secure from unauthorized entry or use, can contain spillage and away from the bay edge (at least 20 m). - Have equipment clearly leaking oil repaired at once but off-site or replaced. - Restrict vehicle/equipment repair, maintenance and refueling on-site. - Have the appropriate spill kit in every vehicle transporting hazardous substances. Have appropriate number of trained staff for spill response. ▪ Implement the Spills Response Plan in the CESMP in the event of spillage accordingly. ▪ Set up an on-site first-response team equipped with qualified staff. ▪ Provide for a response station equipped with adequate spill clean-up materials/kits for all types of hazardous substances used in the works. Have kits readily available on site, but only for access and use by authorized trained response staff during spillage. 	IEE/EMP, EHSG	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost
<p>Impacts on marine ecology from the following::</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <u>Re-suspension of sediments</u> during extraction of existing piles, driving of new piles and sand compaction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct monitoring of marine flora and fauna, including benthic, at least once, within 15 days from construction demobilization. ▪ Assess against the baseline data established during the DED. ▪ Implement the following plans in the SEMP accordingly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sediment Control Plan - Solid and Hazardous Waste Management Plan - Hazardous Materials Management Plan - Spills Response Plan ▪ Implement the recommended measures to mitigate impacts on marine water quality. 	IEE/EMP, Baseline data on flora & fauna established during the DED	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost

Issue/Activity	Mitigations				
	Measures and Actions	Standard/ Ref.	Responsible Entity	Timing	Cost
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Uncontrolled sediments from the demolition of concrete decks and structural elements of existing wharf; from on-site concrete works; from silt-laden runoffs from stockpiles; from accidental spills of fine aggregates. ▪ Inadequately managed debris/rubble, other solid wastes and hazardous wastes ▪ Inadequate wastewater management ▪ Inadequate management of hazardous materials ▪ Accidental spills on site. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement the following plans in the SEMP accordingly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sediment Control Plan - Solid and Hazardous Waste Management Plan - Hazardous Materials Management Plan - Spills Response Plan ▪ Implement the recommended measures to mitigate impacts on marine water quality. 	IEE/EMP, Baseline data on flora & fauna established during the DED	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost
<p>Impacts on the sustainability of urban services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ drainage channels along the access road and potentially along Abel Highway from wastes, silt and aggregate stockpiling ▪ solid waste collection services and disposal services at Gehu from the <u>large volumes of solid waste generated, particularly from jetty deck demolition and pile extraction works</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Manage stockpiles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Stockpile natural aggregates away from main surface drainage routes. - Use silt fences, sandbags, barrier nets at the effective side/s of stockpiles. - Divert offsite runoff around the project site. - Dispose of excess soil as soon as possible. ▪ Manage solid waste, as suggested in succeeding row. ▪ <u>Manage the large volume of wharf demolition waste:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enforce waste minimization, reuse and segregation. - Arrange with private recyclers for the recovery of recyclables and the management of the recyclables as soon as these are generated to mitigate concerns on storage and disruptions in the Project's main are of influence – especially steel piles. Require a manifest on the volume recovered. - Arrange with a private contractor for the prompt collection of residuals and hazardous wastes. - Offer residual rubble as free filling materials for other projects, as appropriate. - Ensure coordination with AULLG on the solid and hazardous waste management and agreement with AULLG on the disposal site/s for these wastes. - Require residual waste contractor to promptly submit a manifest from the AULLG for every disposal. 	IEE/EMP, EHSG	Contractor	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost
<p>Traffic congestion (vehicular & pedestrian) at the intersection of the access road to the wharf with Abel Highway and the road leading to the Transit Hotel.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement the Traffic Management Scheme in the SEMP accordingly. ▪ Coordinate traffic management scheme implementation with the local traffic authorities & affected communities. ▪ Post traffic (flag) persons during entire working hours. 	IEE/EMP, EHSG (Traffic Safety)	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Spread out schedule for materials delivery in non-peak hours. ▪ Manage arrivals/departures of trucks. ▪ Ensure stockpiles do not impede/obstruct traffic flow. 				
Local flooding from indiscriminate stockpiles and other blockage.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Stockpile natural aggregates on flat grounds and away from, not obstructing, main surface drainage routes. ▪ Implement a prompt disposal of demolition and other construction debris and solid wastes to avoid stockpiling them on site for more than 2 days. 	IEE/EMP	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost
Potential social conflicts from hiring workers from outside.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Coordinate with AULLG and District LLG for the hiring of locals skilled in construction works. ▪ Ensure awareness of construction workers regarding potential social conflict. 	IEE/EMP	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost
Disruption of socio-economic activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provide safe alternative access for pedestrians, for patrons and vendors of the informal market, for patrons of business establishments in the main area of influence. ▪ In case of accidental damage to existing water and power lines, advise concerned utility company at once for action. 	IEE/EMP	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost

Issue/Activity	Mitigations				
	Measures and Actions	Standard/ Ref.	Responsible Entity	Timing	Cost
Public health and safety hazards.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement the Public Health and Safety Plan in the SEMP accordingly and be guided by PNG's Public Health Act 1978 and EHSG on community health and safety. ▪ Ensure stockpiles do not pose public safety hazard. ▪ Provide safe access for communities. ▪ Install adequate temporary lighting to augment the existing lighting in the main area of influence. ▪ Install adequate, legible, reflectorized signage relevant to public safety. ▪ Do not allow children to swim near the effective construction area at Sanderson Bay. ▪ Observe good sanitation practices. ▪ Observe the GRM. 	IEE/EMP, EHSG (Community Health & Safety). PNG's Public Health Act 1978.	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost
Workers' health and safety hazards.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement the Workers' Health and Safety Plan in the SEMP accordingly and be guided by PNG's Employment Act 1978 and EHSG on occupational health and safety. ▪ Strictly enforce use of PPE, e.g., eye & nose masks, ear muffers, helmets gloves, appropriate footwear. ▪ Install adequate lighting, safe access to/from work areas. ▪ Provide safe accommodations with reliable supply of potable water, adequate sanitation facilities. ▪ Set up emergency response team equipped with adequate staff, equipment, tools & supplies, including for fire-fighting. ▪ Ensure appropriate frequency of emergency drills (e.g., fire, disaster management) are conducted. 	IEE/EMP, EHSG, PNG's Employment Act 1978	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o Contractor's cost
OPERATION					
Extreme weather event, earthquake event, and/or any accident or adverse incident involving the wharf structure caused by a ship/boat or any party.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct prompt investigation of the wharf structure: (i) after every extreme weather event; (ii) after every earthquake event; and/or (iii) after an accident or adverse incident involving the wharf structure caused by a ship or boat or any party. ▪ Conduct regular inspection of wharf's structure and elements. Act on any damage/s promptly. ▪ Submit report promptly to MBPA-PIU, which shall forward report to the BRCC-PMU for CCDA to submit to ADB. 	IEE/EMP.	MBPTA (Operator) and MBPA-PIU to conduct joint investigation and prepare report	After every extreme weather event, earthquake event or any accident or adverse incident involving the wharf structure caused by a ship/boat or any party.	c/o MBPTA annual budget for operations

ADB=Asian Development Bank; ULLG=Alotau Urban Local Level Government; CCDA=Climate Change and Development Authority; CCME=Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment; SEMP-contractor's environmental management plan; CEPA=Conservation and Environmental Protection Authority; DED=detailed engineering design; EHSG=Environmental, Health and Safety Guidelines; EMP=Environmental Management Plan; ESS=Environmental Safeguard Specialist; GRM=grievance redress mechanism; IEE=Initial Environmental Examination; LLG=Local Level Government; MBPA=Milne Bay Provincial Administration; MBPTA=Milne Bay Province Transport Authority; BRCC=PMU-Project Management Unit; PNG=Papua New Guinea; PPE=personal protective equipment; MBPA=PIU-Project Implementation Unit; PISC=Project Implementation Support Consultant.

Table 8.3: Environmental Monitoring Plan

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
DESIGN AND PRE-CONSTRUCTION					
Limited open land in the project's vicinity to situate temporary construction facilities and for facilitated entry and exit of construction vehicles/equipment to/from the project site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Undertake adequate consultations and coordination with stakeholders and jointly plan on, among others: (i) location of temporary facilities and work areas, e.g., stockpiles, storage, site office, parking area; and (ii) measures to mitigate anticipated traffic along the access road to the wharf and congestion at the intersections of access road with Abel Highway and internal road beside the informal market leading to the Transit Hotel --- to mitigate public health & safety risks & disruption of socio-economic activities in the vicinity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes of Consultations, with attendance sheets and photos taken. 	BRCC-PMU, ESS & EO	During DED	c/o CCDA counterpart budget
Lack of baseline data on environmental quality.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conduct the appropriate surveys to establish the baseline data for ambient air quality, marine water quality, marine flora & fauna including benthic, seabed sediment; and (at Transit Hotel site) vibration. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Baseline data on air quality, marine water quality, flora & fauna including benthic, seabed sediment; & (at Transit Hotel) vibration, established. 	BRCC-PMU, ESS & EO	During DED (for input into updated EMP)	c/o CCDA counterpart budget
Supply (and extraction) of gravel, sand, soil, crushed rock to meet construction demand.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare an Aggregates Management Plan (AMP), confirming locations of sources, estimating supply of and demand for aggregates during construction. This will serve as framework for Contractor's AMP. Specify in bidding documents Contractor's obligation to obtain aggregates only from quarries & crushing plants still operating within allowed threshold per an active permit to operate. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aggregates Management Plan prepared. Such Contractor's obligation is specified in the bidding documents. 	BRCC-PMU, ESS & EO	During DED (prior to start of procurement process)	c/o CCDA counterpart budget
Institutional preparedness of executing and implementing agencies in monitoring and reporting on EMP implementation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure BRCC-PMU has employed its Environmental Safeguard Officer (ESO) and MBPA-PIU, its Social Officer (SO) in the DED stage. Conduct an orientation workshop for BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU on EMP and training on monitoring and reporting on Contractor's performance in EMP implementation. Prepare the monitoring and reporting forms. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ESO in BRCC-PMU & SSO in MBPA-PIU employed. Documentations on orientation workshop & training available. Monitoring & reporting forms finalized. 	ADB	During DED (after completion of updated EMP)	c/o ADB
Project's compliance with country's legal environmental safeguard requirements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage with CEPA. Subject the project to screening and scoping by CEPA as per requirements of Environment Act 2000 ("the Act"). If required an environmental assessment report, submit the IEE/EMP cleared by ADB to CEPA for approval and subsequent application for an environment permit. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project's Environment Permit from CEPA 	ADB	Prior to tender preparation	c/o ADB

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
DESIGN AND PRE-CONSTRUCTION					
Ensuring environmentally responsible procurement.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Include the ADB-cleared EMP in the bidding documents. ▪ Append the EMP to the Contract for basis in the preparation of the Contractor's EMP (SEMP) that will address as minimum the requirements in the ADB-cleared EMP. ▪ Ensure Contract requires the submission by Contractor of a monthly environmental monitoring report, outline to be appended to the Contract. ▪ Progress payments and performance bond tied to SEMP performance and compliance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ ADB-cleared EMP integrated in the bidding documents ▪ Contract form stipulating: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Contractor's obligation to submit monthly EMR - Tie up of progress payment & collection of performance bond with contractors performance against SEMP 	Combination of the following entities, whichever is appropriate to item monitored: BRCC-PMU, ESS & EO, ADB	During DED in the preparation of tender/ bidding documents	c/o ESS cost

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure Contract stipulates some tie up of progress payment and collection of performance bond with the performance in SEMP implementation. 	performance in SEMP implementation.			
Preparation of, and obtaining clearance for, the SEMP Level of preparedness of the Contractor's Team in SEMP/ EMP implementation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure Contractor has engaged his environmental management officer before the SEMP preparation. Prepare SEMP, based on the updated EMP --- to include construction methodology, site-specific drawings and plans, and sub-plans as required: : (i) Aggregates Management Plan; (ii) Sediment Control Plan; (iii) Solid and Hazardous Materials and Wastes Management Plan; (iv) Spills Response Plan; (v) Marine Traffic Management Plan; (vi) Public Health and Safety Plan; (vii) Workers' Health and Safety Plan; and aggregates management plan (including applications for EPs) Evaluate SEMP quantitatively and qualitatively against the ADB-cleared EMP. Clear SEMP before start of any mobilization work. Prepare Contractor's Team on SEMP implementation (at the latest 1 week prior to construction mobilization) through the conduct of orientation on the SEMP/EMP. Ensure Contractor has set up & adequately equipped his Emergency Response Team (ERT) and has linked ERT to the MBP's Disaster Risk Response Team. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PMU-cleared SEMP which contains the prescribed plans/ scheme. ADB review 	BRCC-PMU, ESS & EO, ADB (review)	Prior to start of construction mobilization	c/o CCDA counterpart budget
Community preparation for construction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conduct intensive IEC (following the Stakeholders Communications Plan) at the latest 1 month prior to construction mobilization --- to inform the affected communities of the: (i) implementation period, contact and other details, such as probably restricted area to use along access road or potentially blocking of access road from pedestrians, (ii) potential communicable/ transmittable diseases brought with the entry of workers, (iii) overall health and safety hazards during construction, and (iv) GRM. Post details on project implementation at strategic location in the main area of influence at the latest one month prior to construction mobilization. Details to include, among others – implementation period, name and contact details of the Contractor and focal persons of BRCC-PMU and MBPA-PIU. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Documentations on the conduct of IEC with attendance sheets and photos taken Posters & billboards on project implementation details posted/installed at strategic locations in the main area of influence 	ESS & EO, ADB	At latest 1 month prior to construction mobilization	c/o ESS cost
Level of preparedness of the Contractor's Team in SEMP/ EMP implementation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure Contractor has engaged his environmental and social safeguards before the preparation of the SEMP. Prepare Contractor's Team on SEMP implementation (at the latest 1 week prior to construction mobilization) through the conduct of orientation on the SEMP/EMP. Ensure Contractor has set up & adequately equipped his Emergency Response Team (ERT) and has linked ERT to the MBP's Disaster Risk Response Team. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ESS and SSS employed as part of the construction team Documentation on SEMP orientation with attendance sheets and photos taken. Contractor's ERT is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> set up linked with MBP's DRR Team 	BRCC-PMU, MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Completed at latest 1 week prior to construction mobilization	c/o CCDA counterpart budget

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
CONSTRUCTION					
<p>Deposits on/contamination of seabed from the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Demolition of existing wharf and pile extraction ▪ Pile driving, sand compaction and concrete works associated with building the new wharf 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct seabed sediment quality monitoring, at least once, within 15 days after construction demobilization, following the EHSG Ports, Harbors and Terminals (sediment quality monitoring parameters). ▪ Assess monitoring results against standards in CCME Sediment Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life. ▪ Install containment booms fitted with turbidity/silt curtain, extending to the seabed and at least 0.15 m above water line, around the effective area for the construction works over water, prior to the pile extraction. ▪ Install a moveable silt curtain around the piles to be extracted each day in the event excessive turbidity is observed in the first few extractions. ▪ Monitor and record the effectiveness of the silt curtain at least twice daily. Promptly apply corrective actions, when necessary. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Report on sediment quality monitoring available 1 month after construction demobilization. ▪ Monitoring reveal results to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Within CCME standards, - Within baseline values, OR - Within agreed on X% exceedance over baseline values. (acceptable X% exceedance over baseline value to be agreed on during DED) ▪ No grievance lodged on seabed sediment quality concern. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM. 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Only once, one month after construction demobilization	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
<p>Reduction in local air quality due to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <u>Suspended particulates/dust</u> in air from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - demolition works - transport & loading/unloading of cement, natural aggregates, demolition debris & rubble, dry solid wastes & other materials - movements of vehicles on unpaved roads/surfaces - stockpile of cement and dry natural aggregates and demolition debris 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct air quality monitoring on quarterly basis, following the EHSG on air emissions & ambient air quality (in the absence of PNG national guidelines). ▪ Assess monitoring results against EHSG. ▪ Spray water on concrete decks and structural elements to be demolished. ▪ Avoid demolition & dust generating works during high winds. ▪ Securely cover trucks hauling aggregates, cement and other similar materials. Maintain min. 2 feet freeboard. ▪ Minimize drop heights when loading/unloading natural aggregates, demolition debris & rubble, solid wastes and residual soils onto trucks/ground. ▪ Spray water on access roads at least twice daily. ▪ Limit maximum speed of construction vehicles to 30 kph in Project's main area of influence. ▪ Manage the delivery of natural aggregates, cement and other similar materials to the site to minimize having 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Report on ambient air quality monitoring available within 15 days after end of each quarter. ▪ Monitoring reveal results to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Within EHSG standards, - Within baseline values, OR - Within agreed on X% exceedance over baseline values (acceptable X% exceedance over baseline value to be agreed on during DED) ▪ No grievance lodged on air quality concern. If any: (i) confirmation of 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - wind action on stockpiles of cement, fine natural aggregates, rubble and solid wastes - on-site concrete mixing for the reinforced concrete topping slab <p>▪ <u>Gas emissions</u> from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - operation of construction equipment/vehicles, including generator sets and engine idling - burning of solid and hazardous construction wastes - storage and use of high VOC-emitting products such as fuel and specialty applications, e.g., coatings for corrosion protection 	<p>more stockpiles than necessary. Water/cover stockpiles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Set up temporary fences/walls (as applicable) between work/stockpile areas and sensitive receptors at the provincial jetty and reclamation area (Transit Hotel) and along access road. ▪ Implement a prompt disposal of demolition and other construction debris and solid wastes to avoid stockpiling them on site for more than 2 days. ▪ Ensure concrete batch plants to have dust prevention equipment, e.g., water sprays, enclosures, hoods, curtains, fabric filters, among others. <p>▪ Reduce vehicular movements, such as through coordinated/managed transport of materials, spoils & waste and use of bigger capacity trucks for hauling of wastes/spoils, where access roads allow.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ensure construction vehicles/equipment are regularly serviced and maintained to industry standards. ▪ Use only construction vehicles/equipment, with an emission test certificate. ▪ Turn off equipment/vehicle when not in use. Limit engine idling to a maximum of 5 minutes. ▪ Use clean-fueled (green) power generator sets. ▪ No burning of wastes. ▪ Adopt/use alternative low or no VOC-emitting processes & materials. 	<p>satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM.</p> <p>(See previous page. This row a continuation of last item in previous page)</p>			
<p>Noise generated by the following:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct noise monitoring on weekly basis following the EHSG on environmental noise management. ▪ Assess monitoring results against EHSG. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Report on noise monitoring available within 7 days after each monitoring. 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ processes/activities such as demolition of existing wharf deck and associated structural elements and pile driving and extraction ▪ operating equipment/vehicles (diesel-fed & without efficient mufflers) ▪ unloading of aggregates 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Apply alternative concrete demolition techniques that emit lower noise, e.g., improved expansive grout, micro-blasting, hydrodemolition (whichever would be most applicable to the project situation) ▪ Avoid conventional pile extraction and driving. Apply lower noise alternative technologies, e.g., press-in piling. ▪ Set up noise barriers such as temporary fence (without gaps) around active work area. Barriers to be as close to the source or to the receptor location. ▪ Install sound-absorbing enclosures around generators. ▪ Select equipment with lower sound power levels, e.g., electrically powered equipment with efficient mufflers. ▪ Restrict use of noisy equipment from 8 AM-5 PM. ▪ Overtime work should not go past 10 PM, observe regulated noise level, not use noisy equipment, coordinated accordingly and informed to affected communities at least 2 days in advance. ▪ Turn off equipment/vehicles when not in use. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Monitoring reveal results to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Within EHSG standards, - Within baseline values, OR - Within agreed on X% exceedance over baseline values. (acceptable X% exceedance over baseline value to be agreed on during DED) ▪ No grievance lodged on noise concern. If any: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, 			

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Restrict heavy equipment/vehicles to move over the reclaimed area where the Transit Hotel is located. ▪ Install vibration isolation for mechanical equipment. 				
Vibration from the movement of construction vehicles and construction activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Undertake structure condition survey prior to the commencement of construction. ▪ Conduct vibration monitoring (only near Transit Hotel) on a weekly basis. ▪ Restrict heavy equipment/vehicles to move over the reclaimed area where the Transit Hotel is located. ▪ Ensure highly vibrating mechanical equipment have vibration isolation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Report on vibration monitoring available within 7 days after each monitoring. ▪ Monitoring reveal results to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Within EHSG standards, - Within baseline values, OR - Within agreed on X% exceedance over baseline values. (acceptable X% exceedance over baseline value to be agreed on during DED) ▪ No grievance lodged on vibration concern. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Turn off equipment/vehicles when not in use. ▪ Restrict heavy equipment/vehicles to move over the reclaimed area where the Transit Hotel is located. ▪ Install vibration isolation for mechanical equipment. 	progress of actions taken in line with GRM.	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
<p>Impacts on marine water quality from the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Demolition of existing wharf and pile extraction, pile driving, sand compaction and concrete works associated with building the new wharf. ▪ Uncontrolled sediments from silt-laden runoffs from stockpiles, from accidental spills of fine aggregates. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct bay water quality monitoring on quarterly basis and after extreme rainfall events. ▪ Follow the EHSG Ports, Harbors, and Terminals (on water and sediment quality monitoring parameters). ▪ Assess monitoring results on water quality against PNG's Environment (Water Quality Criteria) Regulation 2002. ▪ Apply appropriate equipment and alternative techniques/ technologies in demolition, pile extraction and driving, sand compaction and deck construction/installation --- that generate least re-suspension of existing sediments; mitigate deposition of rubble/chips; and mitigate the occurrence of accidental spills. ▪ Avoid pouring concrete during wet weather. ▪ Provide proper formwork around cast-in-place concrete works to prevent concrete discharges. ▪ Implement the Sediment Control Plan in the SEMP accordingly. ▪ Use floating booms and barriers/silt curtains. ▪ Use any combination of the following to mitigate sedimentation from stockpiles: 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Report on water quality monitoring available within 15 days after each monitoring. ▪ Report on sediment quality monitoring available within 21 days after monitoring. ▪ Monitoring reveal results to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Within PNG's or CCME standards, - Within baseline values, OR - Within agreed on X% exceedance over baseline values. (acceptable X% exceedance over baseline value to be agreed on during DED) 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Inadequately managed debris/rubble, other solid wastes and hazardous wastes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Stockpile natural aggregates on flat grounds and away from, not obstructing, main surface drainage routes. - Use silt fences, sandbags, barrier nets at effective side/s of stockpiles. - Divert offsite runoff around the project site. - Locate stockpile at least 20 m away from the bay edge. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement the Solid and Hazardous Wastes Management Plan in the SEMP accordingly and be guided by the EHSG on waste management. ▪ Implement an eco-friendly system of managing solid and hazardous wastes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enforce waste minimization, reuse and segregation. - Have adequate covered storage bins/containers, color-coded, clearly marked to avoid mixing, especially hazardous wastes. - Have separate enclosed storage areas for solid & hazardous wastes that can contain spills, clearly marked/labelled. - 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No grievance lodged on water or sediment quality concern. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM. ▪ Presence (at the project site) of adequate covered storage bins/containers, color-coded, clearly marked. ▪ Copies of manifests for having disposed of wastes at Alotau Town's dumpsite and for having delivered recyclables to junkshops or recyclers received at BRCC-PMU. ▪ No grievance lodged on concern associated with 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Inadequate wastewater management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Link with private individuals/entities that are into waste recovery & recycling to reduce wastes brought to landfills. - Dispose of residual wastes at the appropriate or designated disposal site. - Coordinate with the AULLG for the disposal of hazardous wastes. - Enforce upon workers and waste contractors to observe safety measures/systems when handling wastes, particularly hazardous wastes. - Require waste contractor to promptly submit a manifest from the AULLG for every disposal, from the recyclers/junkshops for every delivery of re-usable construction spoils/wastes. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provide adequate sanitation facilities, adequate water supply. ▪ Strictly enforce observance of good sanitation practices. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> wastes. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM. ▪ Adequate sanitation facilities and water supply available at the project site. ▪ No grievance lodged on sanitation concern. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM. 	MBPA-PIU, ESS	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Inadequate management of hazardous materials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement the Hazardous Materials Management Plan in the SEMP accordingly and be guided by the EHSG on hazardous materials management. ▪ Use any combination of the following to mitigate impacts from hazardous substances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use less hazardous substances. Ensure all are legibly marked and labelled. - Have safe storage for hazardous substances, installed with visible caution signage, secure from unauthorized entry or use, can contain spillage and away from the bay edge (at least 20 m). - Have equipment clearly leaking oil repaired at once but off-site or replaced. - Restrict vehicle/equipment repair, maintenance and refueling on-site. - Have the appropriate spill kit in every vehicle transporting hazardous substances. Have appropriate number of trained staff for spill response. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Presence of safe storage facilities for hazardous substances, with visible caution signage, secure from unauthorized entry or use, can contain spillage, situated at min. 20m away from the bay. ▪ No grievance lodged on hazardous substance concern. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM. 			

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accidental spills on site 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement the Spills Response Plan in the CESMP in the event of spillage accordingly. Set up an on-site first-response team equipped with qualified staff. Provide for a response station equipped with adequate spill clean-up materials/kits for all types of hazardous substances used in the works. Have kits readily available on site, but only for access and use by authorized trained response staff during spillage 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presence of a first-response team and adequately equipped response station on-site. No grievance lodged on spillage concern. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM. 	MBPA-PIU, ESS	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts on marine ecology from the following:: Re-suspension of sediments during extraction of existing piles, driving of new piles and sand compaction Uncontrolled sediments from the demolition of concrete decks and structural elements of existing wharf; from on-site concrete works; from silt-laden runoffs from stockpiles; from accidental spills of fine aggregates. Inadequately managed debris/rubble, other solid wastes and hazardous wastes Inadequate wastewater management Inadequate management of hazardous materials Accidental spills on site 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conduct monitoring of marine flora and fauna, including benthic, at least once, within 15 days from construction demobilization. Assess against the baseline data established during the DED. Implement the following plans in the SEMP accordingly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sediment Control Plan Solid and Hazardous Waste Management Plan Hazardous Materials Management Plan Spills Response Plan Implement the recommended measures to mitigate impacts on marine water quality. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Report on flora and fauna including benthic available within 21 days after monitoring and assessment against baseline data. Assessment reveals reveal results to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change from baseline, OR Within agreed on X% exceedance over baseline data. (acceptable X% exceedance over baseline data to be agreed on during DED) 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	<p>At the end of construction works or post demobilization</p> <p>Throughout construction period</p>	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts on the sustainability of urban services: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> drainage channels along the access road and potentially along Abel Highway from wastes, silt and aggregate stockpiling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Manage stockpiles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stockpile natural aggregates away from main surface drainage routes. Use silt fences, sandbags, barrier nets at the effective side/s of stockpiles. Divert offsite runoff around the project site. Dispose of excess soil as soon as possible. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No grievance lodged on flooding due to ineffective drainage. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of 	MBPA-PIU	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Manage solid waste, as suggested in succeeding row. 	actions taken in line with GRM.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ solid waste collection services and disposal services at Gehu from the <u>large volume of solid waste generated, particularly from demolition and pile extraction works</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Manage the huge volume of solid waste: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enforce waste minimization, reuse and segregation. - Arrange with private recycler for the recovery of recyclables (especially steel piles) and for the management of the recyclables as soon as these are generated to mitigate concerns on storage and disruptions in the Project's main are of influence. Require a manifest on the volume recovered. - Arrange with a private contractor for the prompt collection of residuals and hazardous wastes. - Offer residual rubble as free filling materials for other projects, as appropriate. - Ensure coordination with AULLG on the solid and hazardous waste management and agreement with AULLG on the disposal site/s for these wastes. ▪ Require residual waste contractor to promptly submit a manifest from the AULLG for every disposal. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Contract with private recycler/s. ▪ Contract with private contractor for the collection and disposal of residual wastes (including hazardous wastes). ▪ Copies of manifests for having disposed of wastes at Gehua or at other disposal site/s designated by AULLG. ▪ Copies of manifests from contracted recycler/s for volume recovered. ▪ No grievance lodged on concern associated with solid wastes. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM. 			
<p>Traffic congestion (vehicular & pedestrian) at the intersection of the access road to the wharf with Abel Highway and the road leading to the Transit Hotel</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement the Traffic Management Scheme in the SEMP accordingly. ▪ Coordinate traffic management scheme implementation with the local traffic authorities & affected communities. ▪ Post traffic (flag) persons during entire working hours. ▪ Spread out schedule for materials delivery in non-peak hours. ▪ Manage arrivals/departures of trucks. ▪ Ensure stockpiles do not impede/obstruct traffic flow. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No grievance lodged on spillage concern. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM. 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
<p>Local flooding from indiscriminate stockpiles and other blockage</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Stockpile natural aggregates on flat grounds and away from, not obstructing, main surface drainage routes. ▪ Implement a prompt disposal of demolition and other construction debris and solid wastes to avoid stockpiling them on site for more than 2 days. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Current extent of puddles during rains not worsened. Must have baseline photos taken during mobilization. ▪ No grievance lodged on flooding concern. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM. 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
<p>Potential social conflicts from hiring workers from outside</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Coordinate with AULLG and District LLG for the hiring of locals skilled in construction works. ▪ Ensure awareness of construction workers regarding potential social conflict. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No grievance lodged on non- or low-hiring of locals. If any: (i) confirmation of 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
		satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM.			
Disruption of socio-economic activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provide safe alternative access for pedestrians, for patrons and vendors of the informal market, for patrons of business establishments in the main area of influence. ▪ In case of accidental damage to existing water and power lines, advise concerned utility company at once for action. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Presence of safe alternative accesses for people. ▪ No grievance lodged on blocking of access. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
Public health and safety hazards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement the Public Health and Safety Plan in the SEMP accordingly and be guided by PNG's Public Health Act 1978 and EHSG on community health and safety. ▪ Ensure stockpiles do not pose public safety hazard. ▪ Provide safe access for communities. ▪ Install adequate temporary lighting to augment the existing lighting in the main area of influence. ▪ Install adequate, legible, reflectorized signage relevant to public safety. ▪ Do not allow children to swim near the effective construction area at Sanderson Bay. ▪ Observe good sanitation practices. ▪ Observe the GRM. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No grievance lodged on public health and safety concern. If any: (i) confirmation of satisfactory action signed by AP; or (ii) if not yet resolved, progress of actions taken in line with GRM 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
Workers' health and safety hazards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement the Workers' Health and Safety Plan in the SEMP accordingly and be guided by PNG's Employment Act 1978 and EHSG on occupational health and safety. ▪ Strictly enforce use of PPE, e.g., eye & nose masks, ear muffers, helmets gloves, appropriate footwear. ▪ Install adequate lighting, safe access to/from work areas. ▪ Provide safe accommodations with reliable supply of potable water, adequate sanitation facilities. ▪ Set up emergency response team equipped with adequate staff, equipment, tools & supplies, including for fire-fighting. ▪ Ensure appropriate frequency of emergency drills (e.g., fire, disaster management) are conducted. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No record of any one accident causing serious injury, disability or death. ▪ No worker on duty without wearing the appropriate PPE. ▪ Secure workers' camps with reliable supply of potable water, adequate sanitation facilities. 	MBPA-PIU, ESS & EO	Throughout construction period	c/o MBPA counterpart budget
OPERATION					
Extreme weather event, earthquake event, and/or any accident or adverse incident involving the wharf structure caused by a ship/boat or any party.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct prompt investigation of the wharf structure: (i) after every extreme weather event; (ii) after every earthquake event; and/or (iii) after an accident or adverse incident involving the wharf structure caused by a ship or boat or any party. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Photos taken during investigation. ▪ Report on the investigation and action planned and made 	BRCC-PMU, ADB	During operation	c/o CCDA counterpart budget

Issue/activity	Monitoring				
	Measures and actions	Performance Indicator	Responsibility	Timing	Cost
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct regular inspection of wharf's structure and elements. Act on any damage/s promptly. ▪ Submit report promptly to MBPA-PIU, which shall forward report to the BRCC-PMU for CCDA to submit to ADB. 				

IX. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

169. This IEE concludes that the proposed Project is: (i) not environmentally critical; and (ii) not adjacent to or within environmentally sensitive/critical areas. The extent of adverse impacts during construction is expected to be local, confined within the Project's main area of influence. Except during windy days, heavy rainfall and extreme weather event, fugitive dust, fine aggregates, sediments and wastes would not be transported beyond the Project's main area of influence. With mitigation measures in place and ensuring that bulk of the works are completed (or at least almost complete) prior to the onset of the rainy season, the potential adverse impacts during construction would be modest and more site-specific.

170. The few significant adverse impacts during construction will be temporary and short-term (i.e., most likely to occur only during peak construction period). These will not be sufficient to threaten or weaken the surrounding resources. The preparation and implementation of a Contractor's EMP that will address as a minimum the requirements of the ADB's SPS, and comply with PNG national environmental standards will mitigate the anticipated impacts. Simple and uncomplicated mitigation measures, basically integral to socially and environmentally responsible construction practices, are commonly used at construction sites and are known to Contractors. Hence, mitigation measures would not be difficult to design and institute.

171. Based on the above conclusions, the Project's classification as Category B is confirmed.

Sources of Information

2011 National Population and Housing Census of Papua New Guinea - Final Figures. National Statistical Office. Papua New Guinea. <https://www.nso.gov.pg/>

A Rapid Biodiversity Assessment of the Coral Reefs of Milne Bay Province, Papua New Guinea. Conservation International. 1998.

Australian Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO, 2011. Climate Change in the Pacific: Scientific Assessment and New Research. Volume 1: Regional Overview. Volume 2: Country Reports.

Environment Act 2000. Environment (Prescribed Activities) Regulation 2002. Environmental (Permits and Transitional) Regulations 2002. Conservation and Environmental Protection Authority. <http://pngcepa.com/>

Environmental and Social Management Framework. Papua New Guinea Tourism Sector Development Project. Incorporating Indigenous Peoples' Planning Framework and Resettlement Policy Framework. PNG Tourism Promotion Authority. 17 November 2016.

Environmental Assessment and Review Framework. PNG: Building Resilience to Climate Change in Papua New Guinea. Project No. 46495-002. March 2015.

Government of Papua New Guinea (2015) National Marine Conservation Assessment for Papua New Guinea; Conservation and Environment Protection Authority, 51pp.

"Information on Alotau – Sanderson Bay". Information on the existing environment collated by the Environment Unit, Milne Bay Provincial Administration. 21 March 2017.

Initial Environmental Examination (IEE). Proposed Upgrading and Rehabilitation of Gurney Airport. Milne Bay Province. Papua New Guinea. June 2009.

Memorandum of Understanding. PNG: Building Resilience to Climate Change in PNG (BRCC) – Additional Financing. Review Mission. Port Moresby and Alotau, Papua New Guinea. 10-13 January 2017.

Natural Hazards and Disasters in Papua New Guinea. Sue Lauer. 2004.

Samanta, S. and Pal, D.K. (2016) Change Detection of Land Use and Land Cover over a Period of 20 Years in Papua New Guinea. Natural Science, 8, 138-151. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ns.2016.83017>

Sanderson Bay Foreshore Development Environment Impact Brief. Milne Bay Province Administration, Division of Planning and Coordination. 18 Feb 2010.

Sheppard, S and Cranfield, LC, 2012, Geological framework and mineralization of Papua New Guinea — an update: Mineral Resources Authority, Papua New Guinea, 65p.

Wave Climate Report – Alotau. Waves and Coasts in the Pacific. Obtained from <http://gsd.spc.int/wacop/>

World Database of Protected Areas 2016. www.protectedplanet.net

CEPA website, <http://pngcepa.com/about-us/divisions>

Annex A: Details on Wave Climate and Wind²⁴

Average wave conditions

In Alotau, the average sea state is calm, dominated by wind and seas from the Southeast. There are seldom more than a few different wave directions/period components. Wave conditions tend to be consistent, meaning that they vary little within a few hours.

Table 1.2 Mean wave conditions calculated between 1979 and 2012 for Alotau

Mean wave height	0.09m
Mean wave period	2.23s
Mean wave direction [° True North]	121° 
Mean number of wave components	0.46
Mean annual variability [m] (%)	0.02 m (21.5 %)
Mean seasonal variability [m] (%)	0.10 m (110.1 %)

Annual mean wave rose

The waves reaching Alotau are generally produced by the trade winds blowing the wave across hundreds of kilometers. The conditions are often calm, often calm and almost never rough. The principal direction, where waves occasionally come from is the Southeast (120°).




Figure 2 Annual wave rose for Alotau. Note that direction are where the wave are coming from

Seasonal wave rose summary

In summer the dominant wave condition (occurring frequently) is calm, the waves are frequently calm and almost never rough and the principal wave direction is from the East (100°). In autumn the dominant wave condition (occurring often) is calm, the waves are often calm and almost never rough and the principal wave direction is from the Southeast (120°). In winter the dominant wave condition (occurring sometimes) is smooth, the waves are occasionally calm and almost never rough and the principal wave direction is from the Southeast (120°). In spring the dominant wave condition (occurring often) is calm, the waves are often calm and almost never rough and the principal wave direction is from the Southeast (120°).

²⁴ Extracted from: Wave Climate Report – Alotau. Waves and Coasts in the Pacific. Obtained from <http://gsd.spc.int/wacop/>




Figure 3 Seasonal wave roses for Alotau

Wave variation - monthly wave height, period and direction




Figure 4 Monthly wave height (Black line), wave period (Red line) and wave direction (arrows). The grey area represents the range of wave height between calm periods (10% of lowest wave height) and large wave events (10% of highest wave height)

Wave variation - annual wave height, period and direction

In Alotau, the inter-annual variability (or coefficient of variation) for wave height is 21.5%, The Pacific average region variability is typically 7%. In Alotau the mean annual wave height has remained relatively unchanged since 1979.




Figure 5 Annual wave height (Black line), wave period (Red line) and wave direction (arrows). The grey area represents the range of wave height between calm periods (10% of lowest wave height) and large wave events (10% of highest wave height)

Large and Severe Waves

In Alotau the threshold for large waves is 0.3m; for severe waves is 0.4m. The dominant direction for wave height larger than 0.3m is from the Southeast (140°).




Figure 6 Large, severe and extreme wave roses for Alotau

Largest events

The largest event that reached Alotau since 1979 was on the 19-03-1997 and exceeded 1m, which is considered smooth.

Table 3 List of the 30 largest wave events in Alotau .

30 largest events				
Rank	Date	Height (m)	Period (s)	Dir. (°)
1	19-03-1997	0.99	4	249 ↘
2	26-09-1983	0.75	3	132 ↘
3	25-09-1993	0.73	5	128 ↘
4	15-03-1997	0.72	3	253 ↘
5	09-09-1998	0.72	5	123 ↘
6	06-08-1999	0.69	4	138 ↘
7	14-08-1985	0.68	5	127 ↘
8	08-08-1980	0.67	4	141 ↘
9	22-07-2005	0.65	4	134 ↘
10	17-06-1985	0.64	3	134 ↘
11	11-08-1991	0.63	4	135 ↘
12	14-05-1993	0.63	4	124 ↘
13	09-08-2006	0.61	4	139 ↘
14	27-07-1988	0.59	4	124 ↘
15	15-08-2001	0.59	3	153 ↘
16	28-06-1993	0.59	3	133 ↘
17	22-07-2006	0.59	3	143 ↘
18	23-06-1988	0.58	5	129 ↘
19	03-09-1994	0.58	5	129 ↘
20	05-07-1990	0.57	3	140 ↘
21	10-08-1995	0.57	3	140 ↘
22	21-06-1995	0.57	3	147 ↘
23	06-07-2003	0.57	5	133 ↘
24	30-12-1992	0.57	3	244 ↘
25	28-05-1998	0.57	3	136 ↘
26	02-09-1981	0.56	5	126 ↘
27	07-09-1980	0.56	3	144 ↘
28	11-07-1982	0.56	3	136 ↘
29	23-07-1988	0.55	4	127 ↘
30	09-08-1999	0.55	3	136 ↘

Wind

Wind is the origin of all waves and although swells are created by distant wind events, local winds can significantly affect the local waves. In Alotau the prevailing wind is dominated by South Easterly trade winds, with a mean wind speed of 3.64ms^{-1} (7.08knts) from the 134° .




Figure 11 Annual wind rose for Alotau. Note that directions are where the wind is coming from.





Figure 12 Monthly wind speed (Black line) and wind direction (arrows).

Annex B: Results of Ambient Noise Level Survey (March 2017 & April 2017) *



Location 1: Look-Out Point, Middle Town: 320 m from wharf (March 2017)

	10 March 2017 (dB)				13 March 2017 (dB)				14 March 2017 (dB)			
	AM		PM		AM		PM		AM		PM	
Time	06:37	06:57	02:18	02:30	06:46	07:00	01:34	01:45	06:52	07:03	01:34	01:45
Min (dB)	31	30	27	18	30	28	17	41	33	33	37	17
Avg (dB)	42	41	45	44	40	42	42	47	42	42	47	38
Max (dB)	66	73	83	80	70	70	79	72	77	75	77	79
Sources of Sound												
10 Mar AM	06:37	Birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, roosters crowing, people talking										
10 Mar PM	06:57	Birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, roosters crowing, people talking, dog barking, hammering wood										
	02:18	Birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, hammering wood, a loud whistle										
13 Mar AM	02:30	Birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, 2 children talking nearby										
	06:46	Birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, roosters crowing, people talking, dog barking										
13 Mar PM	07:00	Birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, roosters crowing, people talking, dog barking, dinghy arriving										
	01:34	Birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, roosters crowing, people talking, dog barking, dinghies departing										
14 Mar AM	01:45	and arriving, Lukianos' engine running while refilling, windy										
	06:52	Birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, roosters crowing, people talking, dog barking, dinghies departing and arriving, Lukianos' engine running while refilling, vehicle backing up with sound										
14 Mar PM	07:03	Birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, roosters crowing, people talking, dog barking, dinghies departing and arriving, Lukianos' engine running while refilling										
	01:34	Few birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, hammering wood, dinghies departing/arriving,										
	01:45	rain on umbrella										

Location 2: NAKO: 150 m from wharf (March 2017)

	10 March 2017 (dB)				13 March 2017 (dB)				14 March 2017 (dB)			
	AM		PM		AM		PM		AM		PM	
Time	07:31	07:42	03:27	03:38	07:29	07:41	02:06	02:18	07:27	07:38	02:11	02:23
Min (dB)	31	24	31	34	38	36	43	41	47	46	22	18
Avg (dB)	43	40	41	45	48	44	50	50	52	51	41	39
Max (dB)	72	75	75	72	80	76	74	71	76	70	78	75
Sources of Sound												
10 Mar AM	07:31	Boat loading NAKO products, ship engine running, people talking, birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, dinghy departing, transistor radio, bay water splashing on sea wall and anchored/moored boats										
10 Mar PM	03:27	Boat loading cargo, people talking, birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, bay water splashing on sea wall, hammering										
	03:38	Boat loading cargo, people talking, birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, bay water splashing on sea wall, hammering, person talking on mobile phone nearby, dinghy starting motor and departing Sanderson Bay										
13 Mar AM	07:29	Engine idling of a small boat docked at NAKO, bay water splashing on sea wall and anchored/moored boats, people talking, birds, vehicles on Abel Highway, boat discharging water										
	07:41	People talking, birds, vehicles running on Abel Highway, bay water splashing on sea wall, dinghy passing along the provincial jetty, boat passing between the two beacons, another boat departing										
13 Mar PM	02:06	People talking, birds, bay water splashing on sea wall, dinghy departing, Lukianos' engine running while refilling										
	02:18	People talking, birds, bay water splashing on sea wall, dinghy arriving, Lukianos' engine running while refilling, electric tool noise from NAKO										
14 Mar AM	07:27	People talking, dinghy arriving/departing, Lukianos' engine running while refilling, small craft within 25 m had engine running while anchored										
14 Mar PM	02:11	People talking, birds, vehicles on Abel Highway, noise inside NAKO (hammering, truck backing up and loading noise, departed)										
	02:23	People talking, birds, vehicles on Abel Highway, noise inside NAKO (hammering), dinghy departing/arriving, small craft departing, water splashing on sea wall										

* Note:

The March 2017 measurements were taken using an Android apps Sound Meter to establish initial data. This data was validated using a calibrated hand held sound meter manufactured to the IEC651 Type 2 standard in April 2017.

Location 3: Abel Highway: 175 m from wharf (in front of dinghy mooring area) (March 2017)

	10 March 2017 (dB)				13 March 2017 (dB)				14 March 2017 (dB)			
	AM		PM		AM		PM		AM		PM	
Time	08:18	08:30	04:05	04:20	08:24	08:35	02:34	03:07	08:56	09:11	02:40	02:51
Min (dB)	43	46	43	44	44	43	18	17	45	38	18	40
Avg (dB)	54	54	53	52	54	53	51	50	54	51	49	53
Max (dB)	81	69	79	76	81	77	82	80	79	71	80	71
Sources of Sound												
10 Mar AM	08:18	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, bay water splashing on shore, people talking, birds										
10 Mar PM	08:30	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, bay water splashing on shore, people talking, birds										
	04:05	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, birds, dinghy departing										
13 Mar AM	04:20	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, birds, dinghy departing										
	08:24	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, bay water splashing on shore, people talking/shouting, birds, boat departing, dogs barking										
13 Mar PM	08:35	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, birds, dinghy departing, dogs barking										
	02:34	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, birds, bay water splash on shore, windy										
	03:07	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, dinghies starting their engines, PMV engine idling while waiting for passengers										
14 Mar AM	08:56	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, birds, dinghy departing/arriving, water splash on shore										
14 Mar PM	09:11	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, birds, dinghy departing/arriving										
	02:40	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, birds, dinghy departing/arriving										
	02:51	Vehicles running on Abel Highway, people talking, birds, dinghy departing/arriving										

**Location 4: In front of Pick-N-Pay Supermarket: 50 m from wharf (across the provincial jetty)
(March 2017)**

	10 March 2017 (dB)		13 March 2017 (dB)				14 March 2017 (dB)			
	AM	PM	AM		PM		AM		PM	
Time	Not monitored.		09:24	09:35	03:27	03:41	09:33	09:45	03:08	03:19
Min (dB)			50	28	47	53	52	52	38	49
Avg (dB)			57	57	60	60	58	58	58	58
Max (dB)			75	79	78	79	75	73	77	81
Sources of Sound										
10 Mar AM	Not monitored									
10 Mar PM										
13 Mar AM	09:24 09:35	People talking/singing/shouting, large electric fan, Lukianos' engine running while refilling, vehicles coming and going, cargo loading at jetty, truck's backing up sound, garbage storage bins being picked up and returned in place								
13 Mar PM	03:27 03:41	People talking, large electric fan, Lukianos' engine running while refilling, vehicles coming and going, music in the store								
14 Mar AM	09:33 09:45	People talking, large electric fan, Lukianos' engine running while refilling, vehicles coming and going, music in the store								
14 Mar PM	03:08 03:19	People talking, large electric fan, vehicles coming and going, loud music inside the store People talking, large electric fan, vehicles coming and going, loud music inside the store, garbage storage bin pulled for disposal and returned in place								

Location 5: Transit Hotel: 100 m from wharf (March 2017)

	10 March 2017 (dB)				13 March 2017 (dB)				14 March 2017 (dB)			
	AM		PM		AM		PM		AM		PM	
Time	09:37	09:54	05:07	05:23	09:56	10:07	04:00	04:11	10:33	10:44	04:13	04:24
Min (dB)	17	42	38	39	53	53	53	53	52	46	41	43
Avg (dB)	51	55	53	52	57	59	60	58	60	56	53	52
Max (dB)	78	77	80	79	64	73	75	76	74	67	81	75
Sources of Sound												
10 Mar AM	09:37	People talking, passing truck										
	09:54	People talking, taxi arriving and departing										
10 Mar PM	05:07	People talking, taxi arriving and departing, loud music, helicopter										
	05:23	People talking, taxi arriving and departing, vehicle passed by										
13 Mar AM	09:56	Lukianos engine running, people talking, hammering inside transit hotel, public motor vehicle (PMV) arrived with loud radio sound										
	10:07	Lukianos engine running, people talking, hammering inside the transit hotel, PMV backed up with sound, another PMV left.										
13 Mar PM	04:00	Lukianos engine running, people talking, cruise ship at the Alotau International Port sounded horn, PMV passed by, windy										
	04:11	Lukianos engine running, people talking, windy										
14 Mar AM	10:33	Lukianos engine running, people talking, NMSA construction noise, vehicles passing by										
	10:45	Lukianos engine running, people talking, NMSA construction noise, vehicles passing by, music inside TH										
14 Mar PM	04:13	People talking, child shouting while running in front of me, dinghies passing by										
	04:24	People talking, vehicles passing by, dinghies passing by										

Location 6: Informal Market: 175 m from wharf (March 2017)


	10 March 2017 (dB)		13 March 2017 (dB)			14 March 2017 (dB)				
	AM	PM	AM	PM		AM	PM			
Time	Not obtained		10:28	10:41	04:30	Phone's battery ran out.	10:56	11:07	05:00	05:11
Min (dB)			53	19	46		50	53	52	54
Avg (dB)			62	57	56		59	61	60	62
Max (dB)			81	80	80		78	80	81	78
Sources of Sound										
10 Mar AM	Not monitored									
10 Mar PM										
13 Mar AM	10:28 10:41	People talking, vehicles coming in and out of the parking area and passing by the informal market								
13 Mar PM	04:00 -	People talking, at least 6 PMVs passing by the informal market, use of construction electrical tool. Phone's battery ran out.								
14 Mar AM	10:56 11:07	People talking, vehicles coming in and out of the parking area and passing by the informal market, loud music inside								
14 Mar PM	05:00 05:11									

April 10 2017 at 4 pm at locations shown below in dB


Observation	Sanderson Bay Supermarket	4 Provincial Wharf	3 Dinghy Jetties	2 Sanderson Bay Main Road	5 Transit Hotel	6 Informal Market
Max impulsive noise	74	79	72	81	77	88
Max Continuous noise	74	65	72	77	72	86
Min continuous noise	63	53	47	58	58	55
Ave continuous noise	65	59	60	67	66	70

All readings were taken using the "A" weighted dBA scale on the low noise scale (30-100dBA). Maximum impulsive noise measurements were made using the maximum hold function and FAST time weighting (125mS logarithmic average) and the continuous noise measurements of maximum, minimum and average noise levels were made using SLOW time weighting (1 sec logarithmic average).

Annex C: Relevant Maps from National Marine Conservation Assessment for Papua New Guinea²⁵




Map 2: Marine Ecoregions and Bioregions for PNG
 Map of deep water ecoregions and shallow water bioregions for PNG's marine area. Developed through the regionalization exercise described in Green et al. (2014).




Map 3: Turtle and Whale Critical Areas
 Leatherback and green turtles aggregation areas (WWF-Malaysia and seaturtle.org) and Blue Whale critical habitat (Kahn and Vance-Borland 2014)


²⁵ Government of Papua New Guinea (2015) National Marine Conservation Assessment for Papua New Guinea; Conservation and Environment Protection Authority, 51 pp.




Map 4: Important Bird Areas (IBA) for seabirds and shorebirds (Birdlife International 2012)




Map 5: Indicative map of coral reefs classification (Millennium Coral Reef Mapping Project: Andrefouet et al., 2006; UNEP-WCMC, WorldFish Centre, WRI, TNC 2010)



Map 6: Distribution of Mangroves in PNG
(World Mangrove Atlas: Spalding et al. 1997a, 1997b)



Map 7: Indicative map showing classification structure for deep water features
(based on GEBCO global 30 arc-second grid: IOC, IHO and BODC 2003 and GRID-Arendal: Harris et al., 2014)



Map 11: Conservation priorities analysis – MPAs "locked-in"
Sum solution with existing protected areas "locked in" (n=100)

Annex D: Stakeholders Consultations and Participation during the PPTA *

A. Mission 2 (15-23 February 2017) - Alotau

Date	Organization/Group	Person/s Met	Consultant	Activity/Comments/Outcome
15 Feb	BRCC-PMU/ CCDA MBPA MBPTA PDAL Alotau DDA PPCL	Ms. Joy Samo, Planning Officer Mr. Michael Viula, Deputy Administrator, Technical Services Ms. Lulu Osembo, Environment Officer Mr. Wesley Katobwan, Project Officer, Works Supervision Unit Mr. Didimus Epo, Principal Advisor, Commerce & Mine Mr. Alfred Kidjon, Acting Principal Advisor, Community Development Mr. Billy Camillo, Manager Mr. Jonathan Kapoka, Principal Advisor Mr. Lindsay Alesana, District Administrator Mr. Peter Ruing, Business Manager	Izha Lao, Delfa Uy, Robert Brown	Attended the briefing on Mission 2 – objectives, intended outcomes and activities.
15 Feb	MBPTA MBPA BRCC-PMU/ CCDA Provincial Jetty users	Mr. Billy Camilo, Manager Ms. Lulu Osembo, Environment Officer Ms. Joy Samo, Planning Officer Mr. Andy Lawasi, owner, MV WASE Mr. Willie Eluida, worker, MV MELTELI Mr. Denis George, boat engineer, MV RAYJAY II	Izha Lao, Delfa Uy, Robert Brown	Participated in joint (PPTA/MBPTA/MBPA/CCDA) site reconnaissance. Discussed current issues and concerns on the wharf and provincial jetty and, in general, on Sanderson Bay.
16 Feb	DOW	Mr. Thomas Dei, Provincial Works Manager Mr. Harry Maiua, Provincial Civil Engineer	Delfa Uy	Discussed the required permits for construction, sources of natural aggregates, disposal site for construction wastes, basic infrastructure services in Alotau Town, environmental and other issues concerning the wharf and surrounding areas, existing and proposed projects in Alotau.
16 Feb	IOM-PNG	Mr. Brian Kanini, DRR Coordinator	Delfa Uy	Discussed the state of disaster response in Alotau, outer islands and Milne Bay Province.
16 Feb	MBPA – Provincial Lands and Physical Planning Division	Mr. Laino Awalomwai, Principal Advisor Mr. David Newaget, Provincial Physical Planner	Izha Lao, Delfa Uy	Discussed the procedure for obtaining building permit, status of land occupied by the existing wharf, existing grievance redress procedure (if any), and other projects (ongoing and proposed) in Alotau Town.
17 Feb	Tourism Bureau	Mr. Mooa Kula, OIC	Izha Lao, Delfa Uy, Robert Brown	Discussed the tourism sector (particularly the arrivals of tourists on board cruise ships), environmental issues/concerns on the wharf and surrounding areas
17 Feb	Alotau District Development Authority	Mr. Leleki Tarosomo, 1st Secretary to the Minister Mr. Lindsay Alesana, District Administrator	Izha Lao, Delfa Uy	Discussed the issues and concerns on the wharf, associated facilities and surrounding areas. Also discussed the importance of 1 st preference to locals for labor during construction.
17 Feb	PPCL-Alotau	Mr. Peter Ruing, Business Manager Ms. Scholly Masueng, M. Planner Mr. Andrew Tamadeo, Works Officer	Izha Lao, Delfa Uy, Robert Brown	Discussed the land occupied by existing Provincial Wharf at Sanderson Bay (the Project), obtaining construction permit, coast line cleanup activity with a New Zealand-based charity organization, upgrade of main wharf of the Alotau International Port. Visited the newly built facilities for the transfer of Island Petroleum.

Date	Organization/Group	Person/s Met	Consultant	Activity/Comments/Outcome
18 Feb	Provincial Jetty user Dinghy mooring area user	Mr. Bobby Baloioloi, boat passenger Mr. Simmy Joseph, dinghy owner	Delfa Uy	Discussed the environmental issues and concerns of existing wharf, Provincial Jetty dinghy mooring area.
20 Feb	AULLG	Mr. Mickey Gehinem, Town Manager	Delfa Uy	Discussed the basic infrastructure services available in Alotau Town, environmental issues and concerns of existing wharf.
20 Feb	Eco-Custodian Advocates	Mr. David Mitchell, Director	Delfa Uy	Discussed available professional services of a marine biologist associated with Eco-Custodians to do baseline survey of Sanderson Bay, natural hazards experienced in Alotau,
20 Feb	MBPA MBPTA	Mr. Michael Viula, Deputy Administrator, Technical Services Ms. Lulu Osembo, Environment Officer Mr. Billy Camillo, Manager		Discussed the presentation of Mission 2 findings in the next day's focus group discussion, for their comments.
21 Feb	PNGWIMA PNG Customs MBPHA MBTB Alotau DDA MBPTA Disaster Office BRCC-PMU/CCDA MBPA	Ms. Gwen Jack, member Ms. Dorothy Malana, member Ms. Jane Iobu, President Ms. Sarah Mogi, Secretary Mr. Michael Touuokon, PEHO-Health Mr. Moda Kula Kunuyobu, Tourism Officer Mr. Lindsay Alesana, District Administrator Ms. Angela Nelson, Women's Representative Mr. Billie Camillo, Manager Ms. Mauri Kavop, Executive Assistance Mr. Steve Tobessa, Coordinator Ms. Silina Tagagau, Social & Gender Specialist Ms. Lulu Osembo, Environment Officer Mr. Wesley Katobwan, Project Officer, Works Supervision Unit	Izha Lao, Delfa Uy	Attended the focus group discussion on the initial findings of Mission 2 (results of environmental and social due-diligence).
22 Feb	Alotau-Water PNG	Mr. Tau Siamweni Lauwasi, Team Leader, Customer Service	Delfa Uy	Discussed the water supply system in Alotau Town, the number of HHS connected to the system
22 Feb	MBPA	Mr. Wesley Katobwan, Project Officer, Works Supervision Unit	Delfa Uy	Discussed the basic infrastructure services in Alotau Town
23 Feb	MBPA MBPTA	Mr. Michael Viula, Deputy Administrator, Technical Services Ms. Lulu Osembo, Environment Officer Mr. Billie Camillo, Manager	Izha Lao, Delfa Uy	Presented and discussed the 4 design options.

B. Mission 2 (24 February 2017) – Port Moresby

Date	Organization/Group	Person/s Met	Consultant	Activity/Comments/Outcome
24 Feb	CEPA BRCC-PMU/CCDA	Mr. Walimu Apaka, Sr. Scientific Officer Ms. Rebecca Rani, Sr. Scientific Officer Mr. Audesia Aiyo, Sr. Scientific Officer Mr. Peter Iki, Sr. Project Officer, CCDA Ms. Silina Tagagau, Social and Gender Specialist Ms. Joy Samo, Planning Officer, CCDA	Delfa Uy	Discussed the potential level of the proposed project and requirements to comply with the country's environmental safeguard system.
24 Feb	PPCL BRCC-PMU/CCDA	Ms. Hane Kila, Chief Maritime Compliance Officer Ms. Silina Tagagau, Social and Gender Specialist Ms. Joy Samo, Planning Officer	Delfa Uy	Discussed the securing of construction permit for the proposed project.
24 Feb	ADB-PNG Resident Mission	Mr. Jack Stanley	Izha Lao, Delfa Uy	Presented and discussed the findings of Mission 2.
24 Feb	BRCC-PMU/CCDA	Mr. Jacob Einye, Project Director Mr. Joseph Kunda, Project Coordinator Ms. Silina Tagagau, Social and Gender Specialist Mr. Rob Richard, Financial Specialist	Izha Lao, Delfa Uy	Presented and discussed the 4 design options.

C. Mission 3 (08-14 March 2017) – Alotau

Date	Organization/Group	Person/s Met	Consultant	Activity/Comments/Outcome
09 Mar	Transit Hotel and Informal Market Informal Market	Mr. Kiaron Peter, Transit Hotel lodger and patron of the Informal Market, from West Ferguson Mr. Petueli Budihara, Transit Hotel lodger and patron of Informal Market, from Normoanby Mr. Tala Tardsi, security officer Mr. Rohan Bate, vendor, from Alotau Town Ms. Molly, vendor, from Sariba	Delfa Uy	Discussed Options 3a and 4a, anticipated impacts during construction and design features that they wished to be incorporated.
10 Mar	Transit Hotel Provincial Wharf user Provincial Jetty user Dinghy mooring area user Nako Fisheries, Ltd MBPTA	Mr. Peter Ewens, caretaker, Maramatana Transit Unit Mr. Sylvester Locrasae, caretaker, Goodenough Transit Unit Ms. Aida de la Cruz, lodger, from Yabam Mr. Mark Lese, boat owner, MV Rosenty Mr. Moses Gada, boat captain, MV Two Nahs, from Misima Mr. Arthur Peter, small craft passenger, from Ware Mr. Niko David, dinghy operator, ROXY Mr. David Masepa, dinghy passenger, from Sideia Mr. Murray Abel, Marine Manager Mr. James Reuben	Delfa Uy	Discussed Options 3a and 4a, anticipated impacts during construction and design features that they wished to be incorporated.

Date	Organization/Group	Person/s Met	Consultant	Activity/Comments/Outcome
13 Mar	Dinghy mooring area user Pik-n-Pay Supermarket	Mr. Kaina Ananna, dinghy owner, from Logea Mr. James Lin, member of management team	Delfa Uy	Discussed Options 3a and 4a, anticipated impacts during construction and design features that they wished to be incorporated.
14 Mar	Provincial Wharf user Provincial Jetty user Dinghy mooring area user Transit Hotel NMSA Nako wharf user	Mr. Dyson Stanley, Boat Captain, MV Jazz III, from Misima Mr. Moses Yawsihi, Supervisor, MV Sara Lee, from Misima Mr. Abel Atau, Boat Captain, MV Triumph, from Fergusson Mr. Japeth Kenneth, Water Police, from Fergusson Mr. David Taudui, Dinghy Operator, FIN Mr. Windsor Mata, dinghy passenger, from Fergusson Mr. Isaac, dinghy passenger, from Fergusson Mr. Simeon Isaac, lodger, from Nuakata Mr. Matthew Dailu, lodger, from Topura Village Mr. Bent, lodger, Goodenough Mr. Elami Wilson, employee Mr. Henry Kawesila, small craft passenger, from Sudest Mr. Matthew Tau, small craft passenger, from West Fergusson	Delfa Uy	Discussed Options 3a and 4a, anticipated impacts during construction and design features that they wished to be incorporated.

Note: Includes mostly those conducted by the PPTA Environmental safeguard Specialist and those jointly conducted with the PPTA Social Safeguard Specialist and Economist.

AULLG Alotau Urban Local Level Government
BRCC-PMU Building Resilience to Climate Change – Project Management Unit
CCDA Climate Change and Development Authority
CEPA Conservation and Environmental Protection Authority
DDA District Development Authority
DOW Department of Works
DRR Disaster Risk Reduction
IOM International Organization for Migration
MBPA Milne Bay Province Administration

MBPHA Milne Bay Province Health Authority
MBPTA Milne Bay Province Transport Authority
MBTB Milne Bay Tourism Bureau
NMSA National Maritime Safety Administration
PDAL Provincial department of Agriculture and Livestock
PNG Papua New Guinea
PNGWIMA PNG Women in Maritime Association
PPCL PNG Ports Corporation Limited