

**PROGRAM RESULTS FRAMEWORK**

Results Indicators	DLI (Yes/No)	Baseline Value	Baseline Year	Target Values of Results Indicators				
				2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Outcome: Effective senior high school system established and implemented</b>								
1. Target overall MPS for secondary exit assessment	No	MPS on NAT for grade 10 = 47.9%	2010	...	65%	...	...	65%
2. Target MPS for grade 12 exit assessment for mathematics	Yes/DLI 1	MPS on NAT at for grade 10 = 46.8%	2013	...	...	...	...	50%
3. Target MPS for grade 12 exit assessment for science	Yes/DLI 1	MPS on NAT at grade 10 level = 41.3%	2013	...	...	...	...	45%
4. Target grade 12 exit assessment passing rate for TVL students	Yes/DLI 2	TESDA certification rate HS highest = 56.7%	2010	...	...	...	...	85%
5. Cohort survival rate for secondary level (for grades 7-12) improved	No	79.4% for grades 7 to 10	2010	...	85%	...	...	90%
6. Transition rate from grade 10 to grade 11	No	Transition rate from grade 9 to 10 = 97%	2013	...	87%	...	...	88%
7. Net enrolment rate for grades 7 to 10	No	NER for secondary school = 64.7%	2010	...	71%	...	...	71%
8. Cohort completion rate for secondary level (7-12)	No	75.1% for grades 7 to 10	2010	...	80%	...	...	77%
<b>Outputs</b>								
9. Proportion of DepEd SHSs achieving MSS for qualified mathematics teachers for core subjects and STEM subjects	Yes/DLI 1	73% of DepEd JHSs met TSR MSS for mathematics subjects	2012	...	...	85%	...	85%
10. Proportion of DepEd SHSs achieving MSS for qualified science teachers for core subjects and STEM subjects	Yes/DLI 1	21% met TSR MSS for science subjects	2012	...	...	...	50%	50%
11. Proportion of DepEd SHSs achieving MSS for student:classroom ratio	Yes/DLI 3	36% of JHS met MSS for student:classroom ratio	2010	...	25%	...	50%	50%

Results Indicators	DLI (Yes/No)	Baseline Value	Baseline Year	Target Values of Results Indicators				
				2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
12. Proportion of SHS enrolments in non-DepEd schools	Yes/DLI 5	20% of JHS enrolments were in non-DepEd schools	2012-2013	...	...	30%	35%	40%
13. Proportion of DepEd divisions and regions submitting APCPI on time	Yes/DLI 6	No baseline	2013	25%	...	30%	35%	35%
<b>Other Results</b>								
14. Streamline government processes as per PDP results matrix	No	Reduce complexity of permit and licensing procedure for establishing new private SHSs	2013	Yes				
15. Linked on-line public procurement management system (PhilGEPS) with the Government Integrated Financial Management Information System (GIFMIS).	No	Electronic public procurement management system (PhilGEPS) designed	2006		Yes			
16. GIFMIS piloted at DepEd	No	GIFMIS tested	2014	Yes				
17. DAP GQMP implemented at school level	No	Percent of DepEd SHSs with ISO 9001 certification						70%

APCPI = Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator, DAP= Development Academy of the Philippines, DepEd = Department of Education, DLI = disbursement-linked indicator, GIFMIS = government integrated financial management information system, GQMP = government quality management program, ISO = International Organization for Standardization; JHS = junior high school, MPS = mean percentage score, MSS = minimum service standard, NAT = national assessment test, NER = net enrolment rate, PhilGEPS = Philippine government electronic procurement system, PPP = public-private partnership, SCR = student:classroom ratio, SHS = senior high school, STEM = science, technology, engineering and mathematics, TSR = teacher:student ratio, TVET = technical-vocational education and training, TVL = technical-vocational and livelihood, UACS = uniform account code structure

Source: Asian Development Bank